Statistics Part III

Part III: Tables, Statistics Accounts etc.

Previous references.

199

File No 88--10

Serial Nos. 3-10. 14-17. 19-23 27

(Impl.).

Later references

Annual 13 Sec 1-3 Sec 413-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for November 1914

SUBJECT

Audit of the Accounts of the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board.

G R S 58

Parts 1-3

A

The Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

Statement shewing omissions in accounts, of adjustments affecting the Revenue and expenditure of the Board to end of June '08

(Referred to in para 27 of appendix A to the Audit report)

7	44	189	1839	May '04	"	3-0-0	"	"	"
38	4 Stal	20	122	—do—	"	"	"	"	"
				Total	"	3-0-0	"	"	"
17	91	66	1711	June '04	"	2-0-0	"	"	"
18	20	100	2546	—do—	"	2-0-0	"	"	"
19	55	183	2549	—do—	"	1-0-0	"	"	"
20	136	888	"	—do—	"	2-0-0	"	"	"
22	44	41	388	—do—	"	6-0-0	"	"	"
9+30	24	126	2/193	—do—	"	3-0-0	"		"
40	57A	1/86	"	—do—	"	"	"		
				Total	"	16-0-0	"		"
19	[illegible]	30	2751	July '04	"	14-8-0	"		"
20	243	Suppl	2/577	—do—	"	52-0-0	"		"
				Total	"	66-8-0	"		"
13	1	223	2865	September '04	"	"	5-0-0		"
2	114	108	1365	—do—	"	"	186-0-0		"
				Total	"	"	191-0-0		"
2	22	256	1578	November '04	"	"	5-0-0		"
				Total	"	"	5-0-0		"
3	15	17	3261/1	December '04	"	"	145-12-0		"
				Total	"	"	145-12-0		"
18	66		2489	January '05	"	5-10-0	"	"	"
21	21	1/333	27	—do—	"	"	"	"	" -10
"	2	328	"	—do—	"	"	"	"	27-9-4 "
				Total	"	5-10-0	"	"	27-9-4 -10
8	74	"	2986	February '05	"	26-12-0	"	"	" "
9	18	27	"	—do—	"	"	"	"	" -5 " "
				Total	"	26-12-0	"	"	" -5 " "

	3-0-0					
		108-0				Over adjustment
	3-0-0	108-0-0				
	2-0-0					
	2-0-0					
	1-0-0					
	2-0-0					
	6-0-0					
	3-0-0					
10-0-0	10-0-0					
10-0-0	26-0-0					
		14-8-0				
		52-0-0				
		66-8-0				
	5-0-0					
		-196-0-0				— do — This Charge has been
		-196-0-0				cancelled & omitted
	5-0-0					
	5-0-0					
			45-12-0			
			45-12-0			
		5-10-0				
	-18-0-0					Difference between mil ad-
		27-9-4				probable cost & of adjusted for value of materials
	-10-0-0	38-3-4				
		26-12-0				
		-8-0				
		27-4-0				

21½	90	Suffk	350	March '05	"	27-20	"	"	"	
81	63	28	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	
17	175	326	1120	— do —	"	25-0-0	"	"	"	
"	182	Suffk	"	— do —	"	3-00	"	"	"	
"	211	do	1440	— do —	"	2-12-0	"	"	"	
				Total	"	57-14-0	"	"	"	1
89	53	48	293	April '05	"	"	"	"	"	
81	439	"	419	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	1
"	496	1/269	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	2
"	301	261	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	
				Total	"	"	"	"	"	2
81	545	92	"	May '05	"	"	"	"	"	10
"	128	441	41	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	23
156	Shuma			— do —	10-00	"	"	"	"	
				Total	10-00	"	"	"	"	33
80	198	"	581	June '05	"	17-0-0	"	"	"	
				Total	"	17-00	"	"	"	
7	95	"	2833	July '05	"	"	116-00	"	"	
81	593	122	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	36
"	594	1/430	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	23
"	595	40	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	15
"	596	1/446	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	8
"	599	268	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	
"	486	172	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	4
"	489	173	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	4
6	132	Vacant land		— do —	"	"	136-0-0	"	"	114
"	133	"	1700	— do —	"	"	17-8-0	"	"	
				Total	"	"	263-8-0	"	"	12

	27-2-0					
	12-0-0					
	15-0-0	10-0-0				
	3-0-0					
		2-12-0				
	57-2-0	12-12-0				
		-5-0-0				Difference between Rs 42-1-4 adjustable & Rs 47-1-4 adjusted
	1-0-0					
		-22-4-0				—30 — Rs 23-10-0 & Rs
	37-8-0	+37-8-				48-14-0 —— 89
	-36-8-0	10-4-0				
		10-0-0				Difference between Rs 82/4/- adjustable & Rs 72-4-0 adjusted
		23-15-4				
	10-0-0					Difference between Road & Metaled adjustable & Rs 7-15- adjusted
	16-4-0	33-5-4				
	15-0-0	2-0-0				
	15-4-0	2-0-0				
		116-0-0				
		86-1-4				
		23-5-4				
		15-10-8				
		8-12-0				
		48-9-0				
		-14-6-8				Difference between Rs 43-15- adjustable & Rs 58-6-0 adjusted
		14-6-8				
	130-0-0					
		17-8-0				
	130-0-0	265-14-4				

POA											
93	Schelum alias Kalyeum			August '05	20-13-4	"	"	"	"		
				Total	20-13-4	"	"	"	"		
Blak											
81	39	260	228	September '05	"	"	"	"	"	3/	
5	141	Vacant Lands	Suffk	— do —	"	"	10-00	"	"		
24	33	73	"	— do —	"	"	7-4-0	"	"		
				Total	"	"	17-4-0	"	"	3/	
LOD											
137	Mucullamp			October '05	12-8-0	"	"	"	"		
BC											
14	10	75	"	November '05	"	"	3-8-0	"	"		
24	51	101	4829	— do —	"	"	50-0-0	"	"		
				Total	"	"	53-8-0	"	"		
13	106	Suffk	2304	December '05	"	"	6-8-0	"	"		
				Total	"	"	6-8-0	"	"		
25	1	1/47	"	March '06	"	"	12-00	"	"		
				Total	"	"	12-00	"	"		
9	71	184	2013	May '06	"	"	90-00	"	"		
				Total	"	"	90-00	"	"		
81	67	132	423	June '06	"	"	"	"	"	27	
8	77	1/96	"	— do —	"	"	69-00	"	"		
81	61	B	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	"	3/	
				Total	"	"	69-00	"	"	6/-	
81	70/1	306	807	July '06	"	"	"	"	"	6/	
2	294a	1/441	"	— do —	"	"	94-00	"	"		
				Total	"	"	94-00	"	"	5	
LOD											
198	Kelsaetty			September '06	18-12-0	"	"	"	"		
				Total	18-12-0	"	"	"	"	"	
BC											
33	50	135	3399	December '06	"	"	"	107-00	"		
				Total	"	"	"	107-00	"		

"	"	20-13-4	"	"	"	Adjustment of the value of Lots in Lot 29-13-4 has been deducted from Compensation as not traceable
"	"	20-13-4	"	"	"	
"	"	37-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	10-00	"	"	"	
"	"	7-4-0	"	"	"	
"	"	54-12-0	"	"	"	
"	"	12-8-0	"	"	"	Adjustment of the cost of Lots viz Rs 12-8-0 is not traceable in the adjustment book
"	"	3-8-0				
"	"	50-00				
"	"	53-8-0				
"	"	6-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	6-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	12-0-0	"	"	"	
"	"	12-00	"	"	"	
"	"	"	90-0-0	"	"	
"	"	"	90-00	"	"	
"	"	27-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	69-0-0	"	"	"	
"	"	35-13-4	"	"	"	
"	"	132-5-4	"	"	"	
"	18-00	"	"	"	"	
"	"	94-00	"	"	"	
"	18-0-0	94-00	"	"	"	
"	"	18-12-0	"	"	"	Adjustment of the cost of Lots viz Rs 18-12-0 is not traceable in the adjustment Book
"	"	18-12-0	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	107-00	"	
"	"	"	"	107-00	"	

93	Chalmers alias Haley				August '05	20-13-4	"	"			
					Total	20-13-4	"	"	"	"	
Blal											
61	39	260	228		September '05	"	"	"	"	"	3/
5	141	Vacant Land	Suffolk		— do —	"	"	10-00	"	"	
124	33	73	"		— do —	"	"	7-4-0	"	"	
					Total	"	"	17-4-0	"	"	3/
L.O.M. 137	Murnahan				October '05	12-8-0	"	"	"	"	
Bl											
4	10	75	"		November '05	"	"	3-8-0	"	"	
24	51	101	4829		— do —	"	"	50-00	"	"	
					Total	"	"	53-8-0	"	"	
13	106	Suffolk	2304		December '05	"	"	6-8-0	"	"	
					Total	"	"	6-8-0	"	"	
25	6	1/47	"		March '06	"	"	12-00	"	"	
					Total	"	"	12-00	"	"	
9	71	184	2013		May '06	"	"	90-00	"	"	
					Total	"	"	90-00	"	"	
81	67	133	423		June '06	"	"	"	"	"	97
8	77	1/76	"		— do —	"	"	69-00	"	"	
81	61	B	"		— do —	"	"	"	"	"	35-
					Total	"	"	69-00	"	"	63-
81	70/1	306	507		July '06	"	"	"	"	"	18
2	294a	1/441	"		— do —	"	"	94-00	"	"	
					Total	"	"	94-00	"	"	18
L.O.M. 198	Kalaeetly				September '06	18-12-0	"	"	"	"	
					Total	18-12-0	"	"	"	"	
Bl 33	50	135	3399		December '06	"	"	"	107-00	"	
					Total	"	"	"	107-00	"	

		20-13-4	"	"	"	Adjustment of the value of Lite viz Rs 29-13-4 has been deducted from Compensation is not traceable
"	"	20-13-4	"	"	"	
"	"	37-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	10-00	"	"	"	
"	"	7-4-0	"	"	"	
"	"	574-12-0	"	"	"	
"	"	12-8-0	"	"	"	Asymatt of the cost of Lite viz Rs 12-8-0 is not traceable on the adjustment book
"	"	3-8-0				
"	"	50-00				
"	"	53-8-0				
"	"	6-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	6-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	12-00	"	"	"	
"	"	12-00	"	"	"	
"	"	"	90-00	"	"	
"	"	"	90-00	"	"	
"	"	27-8-0	"	"	"	
"	"	69-00	"	"	"	
"	"	35-13-4	"	"	"	
"	"	192-5-4	"	"	"	
"	18-00	"	"	"	"	
"	"	94-00	"	"	"	
"	18-00	94-00	"	"	"	
"	"	18-12-0	"	"	"	Asymatt of the cost of Lite viz Rs 18-12-0 is not traceable on the adjustment Book
"	"	18-12-0	"	"	"	
"	"	"	"	107-00	"	
"	"	"	"	107-00	"	

64	9	58	843	March '07	"	"	"	"	20-0-0	
	(10)	64	767	—do—	"	"	"	"	20-00	
	(10)	64	"	—do—	"	"	"	"	20-00	
87	76	374	608	—do—	"	"	"	"	"	20
				Total	"	"	"	"	60-00	20
13	82+84	112	290	April '07	"	"	94-5-4	"	"	
				Total	"		94-5-4	"	"	
87	115	55	847	May '07	"	"	"	"	"	{40 {30
"	116	120	726	—do—	"	"	"	"	"	72
"	120	177	594	—do—	"	"	"	"	"	40
3	122	"	439-40	—do—	"	"	178/-	"	"	
81	121	145	791	—do—	"	"	"	"	"	40
				Total	"	"	178-00	"	"	222
9	78	166	"	June '07	"	"	44-00	"	"	
				Total	"	"	44-00	"	"	
7	155	"	2682	July '07	"	"	13-8-0	"	"	
59B	42	111	559	—do—	"	"	"	"	30-00	
64	132	61	839	—do—	"	"	"	"	30-00	
				Total	"	"	13-8-0	"	60-00	
5	179	"	2162	September '07	"	"	7-8-0	"	"	
11	131	Suffl	2082	—do—	"	"	23-00	"	"	
				Total	"	"	30-8-0	"	"	
49	14	118	619	November '07	"	"	"	325-0-0	"	
45	11	172	1545	—do—	"	"	"	30-00	"	
				Total	"	"	"	355-0-0	"	
81	80(a)	"	"	December '07	"	"	"	"	"	120-
				Total	"	"	"	"	"	120

"	"	20-00	"	"	"
"	"	20-00	"	"	"
"	"	20-00	"	"	"
"	20-00	"	"	"	"
"	20-00	60-00	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	94-5-4	"
"	"	"	"	94-5-4	"
"	40-00	30-0-0	"	"	"
"	72-00	"	"	"	"
"	"	40-00	"	"	"
"	"	"	178-00	"	"
"	"	40-00	"	"	"
"	112-00	110-00	178-00	"	"
"	"	64-00	"	"	"
"	"	64-00	"	"	"
"	"	13-8-0	"	"	"
"	"	30-00	"	"	"
"	"	30-00	"	"	"
"	"	73-8-0	"	"	"
"	"	7-8-0	"	"	"
"	"	23-00	"	"	"
"	"	30-8-0	"	"	"
"	"	325-00			
"	"	30-00			
"	"	355-0-0			
"	"	120-00			
"	"	120-00			

Difference between Rs 18 p. p. adjustable & Rs 20 adjusted

— 00 — 00

— 00 — 00

— 00 — 00

The amount adjusted in Ishwarsingh's is refunded in April 04

5	184	"	1235	February '08	"	"	8-8-0	"	"
62	37	12-15	"	— do —	"	"	"	"	109-0-0
40	116	Sarnes	pp. 172	— do —	"	"	"	"	"
	117	1/19		— do —	"	"	"	"	"
33	73	1/16	1/2064	— do —	"	"	"	175-0-0	"
				Total	"	"	8-8-0	175-0-0	109-0-0
28	55	"	148	March '08	"	"	17-0-0	"	"
				Total	"	"	17-0-0	"	"
36	132	227	478	Not known	"	"	"	"	"
				Total	"	"	"	"	"
				Grand Total	62-1-4	172-12-0	662-10-8	637-0-0	257-1-4 62
									2,385-15-4

"	"	8-8-0	"	"	"
"	"	109-00	"	"	"
5-00	"	165-00	"	"	"
"	"	"	"	"	"
"	"	175-00	"	"	"
5-00	"	337-8-0	"	"	"
"	"	17-00	"	"	"
"	"	17-00	"	"	"
"	4-7-0	"	"	"	"
"	4-7-0	"	"	"	"
5-00	359-1-0	1700-7-8	213-12-0	12-10-8	"
		2,385-15-4			

No value so set upon the land as no mutchalka or forthcoming the value of land for area shown in exchange & the connection of Sokaray are not known

24.

6/6/20

f Saulkon's Stone work ma	992	do 5 of 7-8-05.
ying water to Silga Hotel	668	do 6 of 27-12-05
nnecting drains in block	525	do 3 of 31-10-06
do in Hotel	5,628	do VIII (a) of 29-4-08
nstalling Cement Concrete		
Block No	590	Board Resolution No 77
Block No	355	of 5-9-07.
	9,295	

no tender and the amount of
efore taken take that off

No 2

The Bryan City Improvement Trust

Statement showing cost of work advertised; the amount of the lowest tender and that of the tender accepted

(Extract from para 29 of Appendix to the audit report)

Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

Statement showing cases in which materials of demolished houses have been observed to have been given gratis to owners of properties (Para 30 of the report)

No	Plan No	Door No	Name of owner	Amount awarded			Remarks
87	"	1558	Gulam Ahmad	124	"	"	17-5-05 S
63	86	"	Hazath Bee	156	"	"	17-8-04 ~~17-5-05~~
90	"	1655	Mohamad Akbar	71	"	"	17-5-05 S
19	73	1387	Mayoma	109	2	"	20-3-07 S
76	"	"	Khasimkhan	94	12	"	2-4-05 S 05-9-3-05
243	"	2/577	Mr Sab & Mr Hussain	52	"	"	Adjustment
4	1/721	1271	Lakshmiah	34	12	"	12-11-04
7	"	1391	Sankrappa	220	"	"	7-[illegible]-01 S
187	642	1167	Venkatiah	150	"	"	16-4-05
196	105	1362	Subbachary	75	"	"	1-2-05
1	"	517	Thimmachary	125	"	"	9-12-04 S
77	"	545	Puttuswamy Achary	137	"	"	3-12-04 S
64	19	3260	Mr Khasim & Mr Peer	390	"	"	18-4-05
35	286	1198	Marianna	30	"	"	5-[illegible]
50	68	703	Narayachary	132	"	"	[illegible]
51	"	774	Kempiah	36	"	"	[illegible]
104	124	802	Moodliah	179	"	"	[illegible]
92	305	189	Venkata Dasappa	130	"	"	[illegible]
104	83	707	Ibrahim Sab	176	"	"	[illegible]
271	315	272	Kempachary	355	"	—	
290	662	1183	Venkata Dase	50	"	"	2
388	1/462	1/901	Lakkamma	95			"
394	"	941	Thimmiah	100	"	"	2
[illegible]	"	748 ½ 749	Doddamma	230	"	"	
				2	1	10	

2	484	482	8/934	Dasamma	225	"	"
2	423	513		Dod Sibbiah	80	"	"
3	123			Mariah	130	"	"
4	70	23	3255	Khasim Bee	275	"	"
4	87	194	3272	Md. Ibrahim	210	"	"
4	88	186	3259	Abdul Khasim	350	"	"
5	108	"	1260	Kathiya Puttiah	24	"	"
5	148	"	821	Subrayappah	30	"	"
5	168	"	728 / 729	Lingamma	122	"	"
6	124	152	159	Sivappa	179	"	"
6	164	"	1899	C Ramachandra Rau	50	"	"
6	165	124	"	S Krishnasawmy Naidu	86	"	"
87	3	"	1/357	Madamma	26	8	8
87	4	357	"	Sibbayya	31	"	"
87	8	365	2680	Sibba	68	"	"
87	24	301	"	Chellamma	70	"	4
87	30	"	1/370	Chick Pedda	25	"	"
87	32	"	3/354	Sibba Son of Racha	28	"	"
87	43	"	2856-7	Veerasawmy	86	"	"
87	51	"	294	Chick Chinnappa	28	"	"
87	57	289	742	Rangama	83	"	"
87	60	"	371	Perma	213	7	4
87	61	"	1/354	Venkte	13	"	"
87	63	"	314	Thimmi	66	8	"
87	64	"	1/306	Munuswamy	19	"	"
87	71	379	"	Mudda	62	"	"
87	72	1/295	"	Thimma	34	"	"
87	77	"	293	Thambo	42	"	"
87	82	"	2/370	Yelle	28	"	"
					5956	9	"

2	230		Nanjagamma	28	"	"
5	C		Dodda Mudappa	29	5	4
10	264		Chinnappa	68	"	"
88	"	629	Chickappa	200	"	"
7	3/370		Chick Perumma	31	"	"
59	25		Kachi Sibba	38	"	"
95	116		Chaluvi	25	"	"
53	132	420	Kenchana Chami	28	8	"
69	148	"	Hari Sibba	52	"	"
92	235	212	Mari basappa	31	"	"
97	253		Mancha	58	"	"
301	261		Manchappa	88	8	"
323	37		Masa Son of Venkta	72	8	"
382	244		Mancha	126	"	"
394	427		Munsi	28	4	"
27	2/87		Thooma	56	8	"
41	1/171		Chicka Venu + Doddavenu	34	"	"
64	1/459		Chicka Samba	35	8	"
10	93		Ms. Peer + others	52	"	"
59	326		Yerrakatte and others	42	"	"
48	67	702	Nappachoachary	200	"	"
			Total Rs	1253	4	or 1/5 of at 10
						Rs 1254-7-4

V.S.
6/6/10

24 7-10

No. 4

Mysore City Improvement Trust

Statement shewing the no of sites given free of

No. 1 Drainage areas and Soga Extension (Para 4 of

Site No	To whom given and for what purpose	Extent in feet East & West	North & South	Area in Sq ft	Rate at which the adjoining sites sold			Approximate value as per this rate			
13	Lakshmanbhoyi for Ramaswamy Math	30	60	200	"	4	"	50	"	"	✓
19	Bada Bee " Makhan	$\frac{15+12}{2}$	67	101½	"	8	"	50	12	"	✓
27	The Members " Garady	46	42	215	"	4	"	53	12	"	✓
53	The Palace " Sypee Lines	120	26	464	"	2	"	58	"	"	✓
54	— " — " — " —	120	26	464	"	2	"	58	"	"	✓
55	The Palace " Sypee Lines	120	26	464	"	2	"	58	"	"	✓
56	— " — " — " —	120	26	464	"	2	"	58	"	"	✓
57	— " — " — " —	120	40 ~~26~~	533 ~~464~~	"	2	"	66 ~~58~~	10	"	✓
59	— " — " — Sahukhana	28	$\frac{35+37}{2}$	112	"	2	"	14	"	"	
109	The members " A Temple	27	40	120	"	1	"	7	8	"	✓
3	Bada Bunke Rajan — do — — do —	30	50	166⅔	"	1	6	15	10	"	
				Total				490	4	"	

6/6/10

24 7.10

	12-5-05	Lakka	50	50													1	10		51	10		4
	— " —	Kenchappa	50	50													1	10		51	10		18
	— " —	Gibbappa	40	40													1	10		41	10		30
	25-5-05	Lala	60	60					2	13							1	10		64	7		2
3	28-5-05	Chickchannappa	50	50													1	10		51	10		14
9	25-5-05	Dod Sidda	60	60													1	10		61	10		10
0	— " —	Dod Racha	70	66													1	10		67	10		32
1	— " —	Jeenna Racha	80	66													1	10		67	10		32
2	17-6-05	Pagani	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	34
3	— " —	Chinna	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	32
4	— " —	Kala	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	36
5	— " —	Kallappa	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	32
6	— " —	Muga	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	20
7	26-6-05	Sivalinga	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	18
8	22/6/05	Gooli Thimma	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	29 10
9	— " —	Karadi Linga	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	33 11
0	— " —	Chick Linga	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	14
1	— " —	Kanji Chalma	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	17 15
2	— " —	Thimmalakkam	30	30					1	4	1									31	4	1	3 7
3	— " —	Yusabella Kam	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	4
4	— " —	Bora	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	8
5	27-6-05	Jaara	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	
6	— " —	Kuvalah	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	24
7	— " —	Pakka Baci	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	24
8	— " —	Ailadale	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	16
9	— " —	Nyavan Sidda	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	16
0	— " —	Channi Linga	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	
1	— " —	Kalamma Sidda	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	10 1
2	— " —	Sidda	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	7 14
3	29-6-05	Linga	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	22
4	— " —	Chick Channappa	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	18
5	— " —	Konaru	30	30						12	1									30	12	1	4
6	— " —	Sanni Sidda	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	17
8	— " —	Rangappa	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	30
9	— " —	Chick Eera	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	19 1
0	— " —	— " — Dwaraja	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	24
1	— " —	Kari Sidda	50	50					1	14	4									51	14	4	16
2	— " —	Ramu &c	40	40					1	4	2									41	4	2	

II

5-7-05	Thathayya				10	11	9	10-1-07			30	12	1				
—"—	Chick Hyda				36	11	11	10-11-07			30	12	1	12	14	8	
—"—	Sopana Sibba				16	2	2	—"—			41	4	2	8			
—"—	Rama				34	13	4	—"—			41	4	2				
7-7-05	Bhadra		1	10	27	10		—"—			41	4	2	6			
—"—	Honnura		1	10	44	12	2	10-10-07			30	12	8	20			
—"—	Venkata		1	10	25	10		10-6-07			30	12	8	3	9	4	
—"—	Sobba Rao		1	10	35	10		10-5-07			41	4	11	14			
—"—	Masha		1	10	20	13	11	10-10-07			57	15	3	22			
19-7-05	Chick Sibba				30	8	2	10-7-07			41	4	2				
—"—	Nagunelli Singa				51	14	4	10-12-07			30	12	1	14			
22-7-05	Deva				39	10	2	—"—			52		3	24			
27-7-05	Chick Hyda				24	5	1	—"—			57	14	1	38			
—"—	M Racha				51	14	4	10-12-07			41	3	11	32			
—"—	Putta Sibba				47	8	11	—"—			52	2	7				
—"—	M Sibba				16	2	2	10-2-07			52	2		2			
—"—	S Sibba				18	2	9	10-7-07			52	3		30			
2-8-05	Anchua Rao				29			10-1-08			52	3	3				
7-8-05	C B Ranga				36	11	11	10-7-07			52	2	4	18	9		
10-8-05	Putta				20	3	6	—"—			81	11	1	5	9		
—"—	Hulle Mancha				38	13	4	—"—			52	2		30	1		
—"—	Motayya				8	0	7	10-2-07			54	14	4				
7 —"—	Musibba				30	10	7	—"—			82	7	7	24	6		
19-8-05	Ranga				27			10-6-07			52	2	3	3	14		
9 —"—	Hulla Rao				18			—"—			41	5	7	14			
0 —"—	Kole Basava				36			—"—			41	5	7	14			
1 —"—	Hancha Rao				10		11	10-3-07			68	10	7	4			
2 —"—	Chowda				30	12	1	—"—			43	0	7	10			
3 —"—	Hombale				6		4	10-8-07			42	15	4				
4 —"—	Yalakki				24	14	3	—"—			70	10					
5 —"—	Chuka Hosu				22	4	3	10-8-07			53	8		12			
6 —"—	A Hala				12	8	2	10-3-07			53	9	3	20			
7 —"—	S Hancha				41	4	2	10-8-07			64	7		8			
8 —"—	Madanna				36	11	11	—"—			27	8	6	3	10		
											64	6	3	10			
											57	7	9	12			
											43	0	7	26			
											27	8	8	2			

| | | |

| | | |

70	73	5-7-05	Thathappa	30	30	"	"	"	11	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	11	9	19
71	74	—"—	Chetti Hyda	50	50	"	"	1	13	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	13	9	14
72	75	—"—	Appana Sibba	50	50	"	"	1	13	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	13	9	34
73	76	—"—	Rama	50	50	"	"	1	13	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	13	9	15
74	77	7-7-05	Bhadra	50	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10	"	51	10	"	22
75	79	—"—	Honnura	50	50	"	"	2	0	4	"	"	"	1	10	"	53	10	4	8
76	80	—"—	Venkata	40	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10	"	41	10	"	16
77	81	—"—	Doddathamma	40	40	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	1	10	"	41	10	"	6
78	82	—"—	Masha	50	50	"	"	2	0	4	"	"	"	1	10	"	53	10	4	31
79	83	19-7-05	Chick Sibba	40	40	"	"	1	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	4	2	10
80	84	—"—	Nagappalli Singa	50	50	"	"	1	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	14	4	
81	85	22-7-05	Deva	50	50	"	"	1	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	14	4	11
82	86	27-7-05	Chick Hyda	50	50	"	"	1	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	14	4	26
83	87	—"—	A Racha	50	50	"	"	1	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	14	4	
84	88	—"—	Putta Sibba	50	50	"	"	1	14	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	51	14	4	14
85	89	—"—	A Sibba	30	30	"	"	"	12	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	12	1	14
86	90	—"—	S Sibba	40	40	"	"	1	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	4	2	22
87	91	2-8-05	Krishna Rao	100	100	"	"	4	2	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	104	2	11	71
88	92	7-8-05	C B Ranga	40	40	"	"	1	3	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	3	8	4
89	94	10-8-05	Putta	40	40	"	"	1	2	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	2	1	20
90	95	—"—	Kulla Mancha	40	40	"	"	1	2	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	2	1	2
91	96	—"—	Motappa	30	30	"	"	"	10	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	10	7	22
92	97	—"—	Musibba	30	30	"	"	"	10	7	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	10	7	"
93	98	19-8-05	Ranga	50	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	50	"	"	23
94	99	—"—	Kulla Cara	50	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	50	"	"	32
95	100	—"—	Kole Bacuva	50	50	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	50	"	"	14
96	101	—"—	Kencha Bacu	30	30	"	"	"	12	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	12	1	20
97	102	—"—	Chowda	30	30	"	"	"	12	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	12	1	"
98	103	—"—	Hombale	40	40	"	"	1	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	4	2	34
99	104	—"—	Yalakki	40	40	"	"	1	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	4	2	15
100	105	—"—	Chicka Hosur	40	40	"	"	1	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	4	2	14
101	106	—"—	A Kala	30	30	"	"	"	12	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	30	12	1	17
102	107	—"—	S. Kencha	40	40	"	"	1	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	4	2	"
103	108	—"—	Madappa	40	40	"	"	1	4	2	"	"	"	"	"	"	41	4	2	4

| | | |

104	109	17-8-05	Venkatai	30	30	-	-	-	12	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	1	-	-
105	110	—"—	Kumar Sitta	30	30	-	-	-	12	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	1	12	1
106	111	—"—	Kulla Ekka	40	40	-	-	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	2	8	-
107	112	17-8-05	Dodda Ameka	40	40	-	-	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	2	-	-
108	113	—"—	Mankara Sitta	40	40	-	-	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	2	6	-
109	114	9-7-05	Bora	30	30	-	-	-	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	8	20	-
110	115	—"—	Chicka Yalakki	30	30	-	-	-	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	8	3	9
111	116	—"—	Yela Ekka	40	40	-	-	1	4	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	11	14	-
112	117	—"—	Basa	50	50	-	-	1	15	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	15	3	22	-
113	118	18-7-05	Dolla	40	40	-	-	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	2	-	-
114	119	—"—	Chennana Jarara	30	30	-	-	-	12	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	1	28	-
115	120	—"—	Dodda Ranga	30	30	-	-	-	12	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	1	14	-
116	121	25-7-05	Mallanna	50	50	-	-	2	0	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	-	3	24	-
117	122	30-7-05	Darage Ameka	50	50	-	-	1	14	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	14	1	98	-
118	123	—"—	Chari Sitta	40	40	-	-	1	3	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	3	11	32	-
119	124	3-10-05	Bori Ranga	40	40	-	-	2	2	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	2	7	-	-
120	125	—"—	Rayappa	50	50	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	2	-	2	-
121	126	—"—	Jogi Siddappa	50	50	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	3	-	30	-
122	127	—"—	Dara also Goola	50	50	-	-	2	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	3	3	-	-
123	128	—"—	Kapini	50	50	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	3	-	18	9
124	129	17-10-05	Kinpanna de	50	50	-	-	2	2	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	2	4	35	9
125	130	—"—	Krishnappa	80	78	-	-	3	11	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	81	11	1	5	9
126	132	—"—	Somah Yekappa	50	50	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	2	-	30	1
127	133	—"—	Pichi Franno	60	52	-	-	2	14	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	54	14	4	-	-
128	134	18-10-05	Jarananna	90	78	-	-	4	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	82	7	7	24	6
129	135	—"—	Munnappa	50	50	-	-	2	2	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	2	3	3	4
130	137	21-10-05	Munu Rama	40	40	-	-	1	5	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	5	7	14	-
131	138	—"—	Nanjammah	40	40	-	-	1	5	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	5	7	14	-
132	139	28-10-05	Galam Swamy	80	64	-	-	4	10	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	68	10	7	4	-
133	140	—"—	Hubeka	40	40	-	-	1	6	7	-	-	-	1	10	-	43	-	7	10	-
134	141	—"—	Mala	40	40	-	-	1	5	4	-	-	-	1	10	-	42	15	4	-	-
135	142	—"—	Chikka Kameka	80	66	-	-	4	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	10	-	-	
136	144	—"—	Nanja	50	50	-	-	1	14	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	53	8	-	12	-
137	145	—"—	Madure	50	50	-	-	1	15	3	-	-	-	1	10	-	53	9	3	20	-
138	146	—"—	Narada	60	60	-	-	2	13	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	64	7	-	8	-
139	147	—"—	Jamalullaka	25	25	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	27	5	-	17	
140	148	—"—	Bhujanga	60	60	-	-	2	12	3	-	-	-	1	10	-	64	6	3	10	-
141	150	—"—	Munigamma	50	50	-	-	1	13	9	-	-	-	1	10	-	5[illegible]	[illegible]	9	1[illegible]	-
142	151	—"—	Kathiya Bee	40	40	-	-	1	6	7	-	-	-	1	10	-	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	26	
143	152	—"—	Lambatti Bha	25	25	-	-	-	14	8	-	-	-	1	10	-	27	6	8	[illegible]	

| | | |

70	73	5-7-05	Thathayya					10	11	9	10-1-07			30	12	1	
71	74	—"—	Chick Hyda					36	11	11	10-11-07			30	12	1	12
72	75	—"—	Bypana Sibba					16	2	2	—"—			41	4	2	
73	76	—"—	Rama					34	13	4	—"—			41	4	2	
74	77	7-7-05	Bhadra			1	10	29	10		—"—			41	4	2	6
75	79	—"—	Honnura			1	10	44	12	2	10-10-07			30	12	8	20
76	80	—"—	Venkata			1	10	25	10		10-6-07			30	12	8	3
77	81	—"—	Sobba Bacum			1	10	35	10		10-5-07			41	4	11	14
78	82	—"—	Masha			1	10	20	13	11	10-10-07			51	15	3	22
79	83	19-7-05	Chick Sibba					30	8	2	10-7-07			41	4	2	
80	84	—"—	Nagunelli Singa					51	14	4	10-12-07			30	12	1	28
81	85	22-7-05	Deva					39	10	2	—"—			30	12	1	14
82	86	27-7-05	Chick Hyda					24	5	1	—"—			52		3	24
83	87	—"—	A Racha					51	14	4	10-12-07			51	14	1	38
84	88	—"—	Putta Sibba					47	3	11	—"—			41	3	11	32
85	89	—"—	A Sibba					16	2	2	10-2-07			52	2	7	
86	90	—"—	S Sibba					18	2	9	10-7-07			52	2		2
87	91	2-8-05	Krishna Rau					29			10-1-08			52	3		30
88	92	7-8-05	C B Ranga					36	11	11	10-7-07			52	3	3	
89	94	10-8-05	Putta					20	3	6	—"—			81	11	1	5
90	95	—"—	Kulli Mancha					38	13	4	—"—			52	2		30
91	96	—"—	Mobayya					8	0	7	10-2-07			54	14	4	
92	97	—"—	Musibba					30	10	7	—"—			82	7	7	24
93	98	19-8-05	Ranga					27			10-6-07			52	2	3	3
94	99	—"—	Kulla Rao					18			—"—			41	5	7	14
95	100	—"—	Kole Bacum					36			—"—			41	5	7	14
96	101	—"—	Kancha Rau					10		11	10-3-07			68	10	7	4
97	102	—"—	Chowda					30	12	1	—"—			43	0	7	10
98	103	—"—	Hombale					6		4	10-8-07			42	15	4	
99	104	—"—	Yalakka					24	14	3	—"—			70	10		
100	105	—"—	Chicka Hosur					22	4	3	10-8-07			53	8		2
101	106	—"—	A Kala					12	8	2	10-3-07			64	7		8
102	107	—"—	S Kancha					41	4	2	10-8-07			27	8		17
103	108	—"—	Madayya					36	11	11	—"—			64	6		16
														53		7	12
														43		7	20
														27	8	8	2

| | | |

144	154	28-1-05	B Ramma	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	41	10	-	26	-		
145	155	—"—	Venkata	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	51	10	-	18	-		
146	156	—"—	Munuga	40	40	-	-	1	6	4	-	-	-	1	10	-	43	-	4	4	-	-	
147	157	—"—	Chick Ranga	40	40	-	-	1	6	4	-	-	-	1	10	-	43	-	4	12	-	-	
148	158	—"—	Dod Venkata	40	40	-	-	1	6	4	-	-	-	1	10	-	43	-	4	-	-	-	
149	159	—"—	Ranga & C	40	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	41	10	-	4	-	-	
150	160	28-1-05	Rami Reddy	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	51	10	-	11	-	-	
151	161	—"—	Thatha	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	51	10	-	16	-	-	
152	162	—"—	Thimmanna	60	60	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	61	10	-	8	-	-	
153	163	—"—	Nanja	40	40	-	-	1	5	10	-	-	-	1	10	-	42	15	10	16	11	9	
154	164	—"—	Thimmah	50	50	-	-	1	14	4	-	-	-	1	10	-	53	8	4	37	1	-	
155	165	—"—	Mari	40	40	-	-	1	4	2	-	-	-	1	10	-	42	14	2	12	-	-	
156	166	—"—	Venkata	70	70	-	-	2	12	4	-	-	-	1	10	-	74	6	4	-	-	-	
157	167	16-4-05	Ninga	50	50	-	-	1	15	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	15	3	8	-		
158	168	—"—	Mancha	50	50	-	-	2	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	3	3	8	-		
159	169	20-4-05	Kondana Ranga	50	50	-		1	14	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	14	4	18	-		
160	170	—"—	Dodda Ranga	40	40	-	-	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	2	16	-		
161	171	—"—	Jarara	30	30	-	-	-	12	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	1	7	14		
162	173	5-12-05	Nonnamma	50	50	-	-	1	15	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	15	3	20	-		
163	174	7-12-05	Venkata	50	50	-	-	1	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	15		42	-	-	
164	175	—"—	Ranga	50	50	-	-	1	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	15	-	10	-	-	
165	176	9-12-05	Molle Sidda	50	50	-	-	1	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	15	-	27	12	4	
166	177	15-12-05	Chickdaya	50	50	-	-	1	14	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	14	4	20	-		
167	178	23-12-05	Thimma	50	50			1	13	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	51	13	9	18	-		
168	179	4-1-06	Mankalaiah	40	40	-	-	1	4	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	11	12	-	-	
169	181	20-1-06	Hazathkhan	40	40	-	-	1	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	5	4	24	-	-	
170	182	17-2-06	Garapper Rau	30	30	-	-	-	12	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	12	1	8	7	-	
171	183	17-2-06	Jarum Sidda	50	48	-	-	1	14	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	49	14	3	10	10	2	
172	184	20-2-06	Chick Pattha	40	40	-	-	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	-	14	-	-	
173	185	—"—	Dodda Kala	40	40	-	-	1	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	-	12	-	-	
174	186	26-3-06	P Nanja	50	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	14	-	-	
175	187	—"—	B Rasha	50	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	14	-	-	
176	188	—"—	Siva	50	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	46	-	-	24	-	-	
177	189	11-4-06	Chari Mada	50	44	-	-	1	14	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	14	8	-	-	-	
178	190	—"—	Bora	40	40	-	-	1	4	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	8	-		-	
179	191	12-4-06	Md. Mudaya	50	48	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	-	-	36	-	-	

III

| | | |

14	180	172	18-4-06	Bojanna Kumba	40	40	-	-	1	4	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	4	2	4	-
14	181	173	21-4-06	Joga	50	44	-	-	1	13	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	45	13	8	-	-
14	182	174	23-4-06	Dobba Ram Sett	30	30	-	-	-	11	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	11	10	8	-
14	183	175	1-5-06	Pakka Dasa	40	40	-	-	1	3	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	41	3	8	15	15
14	184	176	17-5-06	Dod Venkatramana	17-2-6	17	2	6	-	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	5	5	8	-
14	185	177	—"—	Sannappa	30-12-10	30	12	10	-	9	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	31	6	4	8	-
15	186	178	30-7-06	Halasetty	40-00	38	-	-	1	3	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	39	3	7	-	-
15	187	179	2-8-06	Ganalias Pakka	20-00	20	-	-	-	6	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	6	5	-	-
15	188	200	7-9-06	Akilandamma	100-00	85	-	-	3	6	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	88	6	7	35	-
15	189	201	6-4-07	Chelusetty	50-00	22	-	-	1	6	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	23	6	9	-	-
15	190	202	—"—	Lachmanasetty	40-00	20	-	-	1	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	2	2	-
15	191	203	17-3-07	Salam Bachagina	40-00	20	-	-	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	2	1	14	15
15	192	204	15-5-07	Ataka Setty	60-00	27	-	-	1	7	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	7	8	-	-
15	193	205	11-6-07	Hassam Sheriff	50-00	16	-	-	1	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	3	2	9	2
16	194	206	27-6-07	Bojamma	50-00	14	-	-	1	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	4	6	16	16
16	195	207	4-6-07	Mo Peer	60-00	24	-	-	1	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	6	4	-	-
16	196	208	—"—	Shaik Ibram	50-00	32	-	-	1	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	10	-	-
16	197	209	11-6-07	Javarasetty	80-00	24	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	2		-	-
16	198	210	6-7-07	Dodmudlesh	40-00	14	-	-	-	15	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	15	10	-	-
16	199	211	—"—	Lingah	40-00	14	-	-	-	15	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	15	10	-	-
16	200	212	—"—	Dod Dasa	40-00	14	-	-	-	15	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	15	9	-	-
16	201	213	—"—	Ningah	50-00	14	-	-	1	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	4	6	-	-
16	202	214	—"—	Thambo	25-00	14	-	-	-	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	8	8	-	-
16	203	215	—"—	Maru Lakka	40-00	14	-	-	-	15	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	15	9	-	-
16	204	216	—"—	Dod Thimma	50-00	14	-	-	1	4	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	4	6	-	-
17	205	218	9-10-07	Hara Thimma	50-00	6	-	-	1	0	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	0	2	4	-
17	206	226	28-11-07	Badakhan	30-00	8	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	-	-	-
17	207	227	9-8-07	Javana	80-00	18	-	-	1	10	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	10	4	9	-
17	208	228	—"—	Halappa	40-00	12	-	-	-	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	8	2	-
17	209	229	—"—	Chicka	40-00	12	-	-	-	12	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	12	8	6	-
17	210	230	—"—	Thopa	80-00	18	-	-	1	10	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	10	4	7	-
17	211	232	23-1-07	Shemsa Bee	30-00	2	-	-	-	7	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	7	11	-	-
17	212	233	25-11-07	Gaib Sab	30-00	2	-	-	-	7	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	7	10	-	-
17	213	234	26-11-07	Fathmabee	30-00	2	-	-	-	7	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	7	9	5	

| | | |

| | | |

| | | |

No. 6

Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

Statement showing loans granted to Jalagaru (Lunghers) the Municipal Conservancy establishment) from whom interest has been recovered for the loans.

(Para 62 of the report)

Loan Order date	Name of party	Amount Rs.	Interest chargable to end of June '10			Remarks
19-5-05	Ganda	60	4	10	9	
	Ranjunda	50	4	5	9	
	Lakka	50	2	9	9	
	Gidda	40	2	12	5	
	Kenychanja	50	3	11	10	
25-5-05	Munnja	50	3	6	2	
	Dadda Sidday	60	4	12	2	
	Dadda Raja	70	5	10	8	
	Yenman Racha	80	6	7	0	
7-1-06	Bhadra	50	3	8	9	
	Venkata	40	2	12	8	
	Dadda Baseya	40	3	1	0	
19-8-05	Venkata alias Ranga	50	3	0	2	
	Kulandra	50	3	6	1	
	Kale Basava	50	2	10	9	
15-10-05	B. Thimma	40	2	9	9	
	Venkata alias Dadda Govinda	50	3	11	6	
	Ranji Basava	40	1	9	9	
	Kari Basava	50	2	10	8	
	Thatta	50	2	8	1	
	Thimmanja	60	2	9	2	
26-3-06	B. Ranji	50	2	0	8	
	B. Lakka	50	2	0	9	
	Sira	50	2	12	3	
	Total Rs.	1230	78	13	10	

6/6/10 24.7.10

No. 7.

Statement shewing classified expenditure incurred on works to end of June 08

(Paragraph of the report)

Statement Showing classified expenditure incurred on the

Serial No.	Name of work	Amount of Estimate	Survey charges	Compensation			Sanded and Amount of debris	
1	No I Drainage area	1 14.589	-	47 679	4	8	4312	5
2	Ittigegudi Drainage area	53.073	-	28.873	15	10	1.733	14
3	Nazarabad Drainage area	82.293		40.304	15		3 105	4
4	No II Drainage area	5,43,319	-	2.59.918	11	3	17.143	
5	Forming new Doddaholagere	49 271	-	12 279	-			
6	Acquiring of old Doddaholagere	1.36 793	-	1 03368	14	-	2.477	14
7	Filling in the Northern Arm of Doddakere	42.622		2.829	-	-		
8	New Idga Extension	54 490	-	2 995				
9	Purchase of Stone-ware pipes	1.17.282	-	733	8	8		
10	No III Drainage area	1.89 000	-	57 309	12	9	2.334	1
11	Krishnaraja Mohalla	82 710	-	81.243	14	8	7796	1
12	Reframing blocks of No II Drainage area	2.79 585	-	28970	5	3	1.659	1
13	Acquiring outside the Trust Board Operations		-	31.927	8	10	37	6
14	Cutting and forming roads in Chamarajpalli Extension	8 709	-	3.842	9	6		
15	Jalpuri Extension	17 694	-	855	4			
16	Idga	13 441	-	3 486	7	9		
17	Experimental Horses in Jalpuri Extension	9.139	-	-	-	-		
18	Experimental Horses in Idga Extension	15.691	-	-	-	-		
	Carried over	[illegible]	-	7,06618	4	2	40601	[illegible]

Drainage	Construction of Sewers	Water Supply	Miscellaneous	Total	Remarks.
- - 62,358 4 2	-	- -	- -	1,14,349 14 1	In progress
- 25,280 7 3	- -	- - -		55888 5 2	do
- - 88855 2 7	-	- -	-	82265 6 -	do
1 156 2,12,335 3 11	-	- - -	- -	4 91,139 - 7	do
- - 39,914 3 8	- - -	- -	- -	52,493 3 8	do
- - - -	- -	- - -	- - -	1,05,846 12 6	do
2 14 8 - -	- -	- - -	- - -	38,767 14 8	do
- - 16,692 14 10	- -	- - -	- - -	19,687 14 10	do
- 1,05,059 9 7	-	- -	- - -	105,789 2 3	do
4 11 30,431 8 4	- -	- - -	- -	92341 6	do
9 7 7	-	- - -	- - -	89 620 6 1	do
1 1 8 352 8 4		- - -	- - -	31,164 8	do
- - - - -	- - -	- -	- - -	31965 10	do
5 16 5 - - -	- -	- -	- - -	8 018 8 11	Completed
- - 16,759 8 3	- - -	- - -	- -	17 614 12 3	do
- - - 9,343 13 7	- - -	- - -	- -	13 030 5 4	do
- - - ~~[illegible]~~	6,438 12 6	- - -	- - -	6,438 12 6	do
- - - - - -	16 070 8 1	- - -	- - -	16 070 8 1	do

	Brought forwards	1,09,701	-	-	-	-	7,06,618	4	2	40,601	12
19	Surveying	1,200	754	2	9						
20	Water Supply to New Doddi Halagere	8,572									
21	do Jalpura	1,483									
22	do Idga	750									
23	Weavers' Lines	6,000					131	12			
24	Water Supply to Idga Extension	12,000									
25	The models of the parts of the Mysore Town	500									
26	Blocks 92 & 93 in 1125 Drainage area	6,132									
27	A covered Septic tank in 1105 Drainage area	5,750					400				
28	Coomber grading Permah's Nala	7,470									
29	Water supply to Chabnahalli Extension	7,251									
30	Sanitary Exhibits at the Industrial and Agricultural Exhibition during dasara of 1907	500									
	Total	1,86,309	754	2	9		7,07,150	5	2	40,601	12

Annexure

June 1908.

Lo	Drainage	Construction of houses	Water Supply	Miscellaneous	Total	Remarks
	657579 4 6					
14 10	657579 4 7	22509 4 7	28		14,72,191 8 3	
					754 2 9	In progress
			8,281 10 6		8,281 10 6	Completed
			1,433 10 6		1,433 10 6	do
			745 12 5		745 12 5	do
	6,413 12 11				6,413 12 11	do
			10,801 1 5		10,801 1 5	do
				324 6 3	324 6 3	do
	7,030 15 5				7,030 15 5	do
	4,954 2 9				5,354 2 9	do
	7,195 1 -				7,195 1 -	do
			7,251 - -		7,251 - -	do
				524 14 1	524 14 1	do
32 14 10	657579 4 7	22509 4 7	28,513 2 10	849 4 4	14,28,433 14 3	

Also 24 7 10

Statement shewing excess of more 200/- over subheads of Lawathone &c

~~[illegible]~~ June 08

(Para 77 of the report)

Madras City

Statement showing excess of more than Rs. 200/- over estimates

No	Name of work		Sub-works
	Sanitary Improvement of B-I Drainage area		
	Amount of Sanction	Rs	
	Estimate	1,14,589	
	Recorded outlay	1,14,350	Constructing flushing tanks Constructing man-holes Cutting and forming Burnt stone slabs for covering drains at road crossing Laying pipe sewers
2	Sanitary Improvements to Ethiraja Drainage area	Rs	Cutting and forming a gravelling road to the South
	Amount of Estimate	53,073	
	Recorded outlay	55,888	do
			Burnt stone covering slabs 3" to 6" at road crossings Constructing manholes Constructing bathrooms of slabs

t Trust Board.

ept to end of June 1908.

Heads	Sanctioned provision	Expenditure	Excess or amount	
Lining with Cement rendering	6,662	8,097	1,435	
Lining Shafts from old drains	106	397	291	
Drains, Doors, Covers &c. Complete	500	700	200	
Erection of Ventilating Shafts.		333	333	
Lining Ordinary Solid Soil	2,051	4,667	2,616	
New Slabs	1,280	1,658	378	
Cost of Cement Pipes	1698	3890	2192	
				7445
Earthwork	438	1208	770	
do	118	991	873	
Filling and forming roads	385	765	380	
	300	631	331	
Ventilating shafts		213	213	
Lavatorie pans		238	238	
Carried Over			2805	

		~~Cutting down stone work~~ ~~Construction Parapet wall~~
		~~Compensation~~ ~~Demolition~~
	Sanitary Improvements to Nazareth Drainage Area Amount of Sanctioned Estimate Rs 83,293 Recorded Outlay 82,265	Latrines
4	Sanitary Improvements to No II Drainage area Amount of Estimate Rs 5,43,319 Recorded Outlay 4,91,139	Laying Faulton's Stoneware pipes Construction of 83 manholes

t Trust Board.

ept to end of June 1908.

Sub-heads	Sanctioned grant	Expenditure	Excess or saving	
of 9" Stoneware pipe			2805	7445
and laying		541	541	
t brick in Surki				
mortar		214	214	
ing for the parapet walls		312	312	
	22,366	28874	6,508	
	1322	1744	422	
				10,802
Shing and freing				
ladders slabs for				
labours		291	291	
nspection	37,954	40,305	2,351	
				2,642
ting laying and fitting				
6" pipes	1341	1915	574	
t of Stoneware pipes		666	666	
nt bricks in machine				
Ground Surki mortar	930	1603	673	
achine Ground Surki				
mortar placing	167	603	436	
t of Iron Circular frame				
with covers to 1½ diam	1.660	2,081	421	
ebating Shafts		1.116	1116	
Carried over			3886	20889

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Trust Board.

ipts and of June 1908.

ub-heads	Sanctioned provision	Expenditure	Excess in amount	
Brought forward			3886	20889
thwork Ordinary Soil	1.440	1830	390	
st brick in machine ground Surki mortar		361	361	
ent Plastering		893	893	
aving two wells and Cost of 9" pipes laid		338	338	
ching ground Surki mortar ~~for~~ Concrete	40	351	311	
plastering Hardening Cement	160	379	219	
6' doors including frames &c complete	640	1.079	439	
ching granite Surki mortar Concrete	420	1.946	1,526	
ing with armoured Concrete		305	305	
ing Plat farm latrine		2.305	2,305	
ment plastering		317	317	
fe Corrections		1.235	1,235	
ature Entrance		942	942	
ying pipes 2" and framing latrine Seats and their cost		376	376	
rthwork cutting	2.278	3.800	1.522	
arting earth		432	432	
t of Kadappa Slabs used		425	425	
Carried over			16,222	20,889

Filling with earth Cusna
Nala.
Forming and Gravelling
roads
Miscellaneous

Mortar & Bill charges

Formation of new Doddapolageri Amount of Estimate Rs 49,271. Recorded Outlay Rs 52,193	Constructing Bridges

Brought forward			16,222	20889
...ing and for preparing angle iron frames & their cost		380	380	
achine ground curti... mortar concrete	132	486	354	
...ping with Burnt-Stone Slabs	72	537	465	
ast iron pipes 8" dia. do. lateral work, 16"				
Cast iron pipes 1" thick	960	1345	385	
Cat forms		251	251	
...ting tipping trough		767	767	
...work filling	6,982	9248	2266	
...ming only of roads		1430	1430	
...ne parapets		8898	8898	
Insurance Establishment		517	517	
...ng of Temporary Establishment		263	263	
	2,100	3,352	1,252	
ompensation	2,57,332	2,59,919	2587	
				36037
...work excavation	389	845	456	
achine Ground Curtain mortar Concrete	16,562	11,231	669	
Carried Over			1125	56,926

		Cutting and forming covering to drains at Rail crossings Latrines. Flushing tanks Pipe Line
6	Filling in the northern arm of Doddakere and running a road across it from Dodda Kere to Ittigud Rs Amount of Estimate 42,622 Recorded outlay 38,768	Doddakere filling Eastern portion. Road way, Embankment Constructing a culvert 3 vents across the new road way.
7	New Idga Extension to the north of Mysore City Rs Amount of Estimate 54,490 Recorded outlay 19,688	Forming roads and Conservancy lanes

Brought forward			1125	56,926
hand Ground Surface mortar plastering				
Cement rendering	2602	3341	739	
Erecting of parapet walls		1.162	1162	
Ironwork	.000	5041	4.041	
to 6" burnt stone slabs		522	522	
Erecting latrines of 6 seats each	1074	3258	2184	
Erecting flushing tanks	505	879	374	
	1.020	2.545	1.525	
				11672
Ironwork	19.643	21.439	1.796	
ing side of roadway with burnt Stone Slabs	3.006	4.135	1129	Estimate for Rs 41 Tanks for Construction & Supply remaining on the Northern side of Saddar Road approved in Resolution 11 of Board's Proceedings Dated 25-4-06.
nt Stone Slabs newly dressed Set in mortar 6" x 9" thick	493	712	219	
				3.444
Ironwork cutting for roads and Conservancy lanes	6835	7,225	390	
				390
Carried over				72132

13	Covering grading Pusumiah Nala in Mayapur Rs. Estimate 7470 Outlay 7195	Felling and levelling
14	Setting and forming roads and boundary lines in Chatrabadi Extension Rs. Amount of Estimate 3709 Expenditure to date forward 8018	
15	Sanitary Improvements of Idgah Bramanpara area. Rs Amount of Estimate 12,441 Expenditure 13,030	
16	Acquisition and demolition of insanitary houses in apartments of Hrishikesh Mohalla. Rs Estimate 82,710 Expenditure 89,620	Compensation Demolition roads

Brought forward				1,02,377
Sale	5326	6767	1441	
				1441
Rough Stone				
Compensation		3842	3842	
Demolition		4176	4176	
				8,018
Compensation	3173	3486	313	
				313
	76,095	81244	5149	
	6,615	7797	1,182	
		579	579	
				6910
Total				1,19 059

t Trust Boards.

ept to end of June 1908.

	Sanctioned grants	Expenditure	Excess in amount
ti-heads			

No 9 Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

Statement showing Cases in which the area acquired was in excess of that of the full property as fixed (Para 82 of the report)

No	Sy No	Area acquired	Compensation per Award	Excess over estimate	Remarks
	Mark		81		
50	"	135	176 4/9	40 5/9	
450	"	82			
309	"	121	180	23	
309	"	82			
268	"	159 1/2	178	70	
		47 1/2			
		41			
330	"	266	108	158	X
239	"	100	90	10	
139	408	64	56 2/9	7 7/9	
161	"	130	112	18	
407	136	60	50 2/3	9 1/3	
440	15	28(c)	20	8	
287	190	35 2/3	26	9 2/3	
130	416	205	236	21	
130	416	52			
67	"	60	108	12	
67	"	60			
1/352	"	75	60	15	
483	2117	45 1/2(a)	184 4/9	758 1/3	X
483	2117	482			
"	435	86	63	23	

Block 81 (Contd.)

(9-10)	93		200	171	29
(21)	1/85		90	80	10
(25)	1/523		121	"	121
26	1/454	Vacant	Land 60	(a)	60
29	429	V. Land	63	109 1/3	33 2/3
2	429	—do—	80	11	11
30	1/517		154	168	154
443	1/517		168		
33	1/243		60	88 7/9	51 1/9
189	243		80		
34	Bldg	482	143 3/4		143 3/4
39	260	228	201	208 4/9	232 5/9
114	260	228	240		
40	209	V. Land	142	55	109
137	209	—do—	22		
41	Bldg	School	40	(b)	40
	Vacant	301			
42	2nd 452	V. Land	287 1/2	(c)	287 1/2
49	Bldg	457	145		145
		482			
57	237	267	125	112	13
60	144	"	241	205 1/3	35 2/3
61B	Vacant	Land	120	"	120
458	459	"	100	50	50
66	19	347	21	17 7/9	26 2/9
462	19	347	23		
67	Bldg	283	20		20
69	133	423	110	87	23
28	1/306		61	"	61
545	92		228	350	39 2/3
554	92		161 2/3		

Block 87

2	40	285	198	106	92
3	10	262	150	89	61
5	44	297	119	104	15

1	"	140	73 1/3	66 2/3
3	298	144	123	21
4	277	135	114	21
5	"	169 1/3	116	53 1/3
2	253	232	117	114
3	"	110	72	38
6	363	220	184	36
1	300	352	300	52
0	230	140	74	66
9	"	126	63 1/3	62 2/3
3	273	316 2/3	200	116 2/3
6	"	120	68	52
"	62	542 2/3	303	239 2/3
Block no 81				
237	"	137 1/2	112	25 1/2
237				
"	125	365	325 1/2	39 1/2
Kaarl Lan		20	"	20
22	"	567	444 1/3	564 2/3 (64)
22	"	42		
21	227	126	29 5/9	126 4/9 (64)
21	"	30		
Kaarl Lan		126	"	126
Kabar	14 1/15			
136	426	169	140	29
Block no. 87				
"	1/378	60	39 1/3	20 2/3
1/367	"	45 1/2	36	9 1/2
	292	73	64 1/12	8 1/12
	1/268	54	25	29
	1/375	25 1/2	22 1/2	21 4/9
	1/375	18 4/9		
	375	26 5/18	34	21 5/18
	375	26		
"	273	139 1/2	91	48 1/2
1/240	168	82	60	22

111		266	75	62	73		
113	113	267	201	72	129	(d) 4146 3/4	1036-11-0
	Block		21	62	Total	4173 3/4 at 0-4-0	= ~~1043-4-6~~
20	97	638	182	92	90	Eggs 0-8-0	= 45-0-0
	Block		21	64			
94	31	876	181	80	101	Eggs at 0-8-0	= 50-8-0
	Block		21	20			
133	131	653	10	3 7/9	10 4/9	Eggs at 0-2-0	= 1-4-10
110	131	653	4			Total Rs	~~4173-4-10~~

B.S.
6/6/10

24.7.10

Statement showing 16 instances ~~[illegible]~~ in which overpayments have occurred owing to wrong tabulations having been passed (para 89 of the report)

Plan No.	Post No.	Amount			Remarks
Block No 19					
30	2751	14	8	-	Value as in mortgage given, not deducted
Block No 20					
126	1-3/646	-	4	-	2 Sq yds paid in excess at -/2/-
415	795-6	-	8	-	4 —— do —— do ——
418	800	1	4	-	10 —— do —— do ——
-	581	16	-	-	Value of land paid, though separate land was given
Block No 2					
329	288	30	-	-	Correct total is Rs 340 & not Rs 370
20	75	20	-	-	Over paid for terraced portion
Block No 4					
41	3132	20	-	-	6 antamanas of tiles house at Rs 18 amount to Rs 108/- (as 3 not Rs 128)
Block No 5					
668	47	5	-		45 Sq yds at -/8/- amount to Rs 22-8-0 and not 27/8/-
Block No 7					
1	2613	1	4	-	45 Sq yds of wall at -/6/- amount to Rs 16-14-0 & not 18/6/-
73	2685	1	-	-	13 —— do —— at 1½ Rs amount to Rs 19/5/- & not Rs 20/5/-
Block No 8					
150-2	1394	2	-	-	Correct total is Rs 48 & not Rs 50/-
Block No 9					
174	-	5	-	-	72 Sq yds at -/8/- & not 82 Sq yds
Block No 10					
-	2521	-	2	-	41 Sq yds at -/2/- amount to Rs 5-2-0 & not 5-4-0
-	1/2317	-	10	-	11 yds of mud wall at -/12/- load Rs 8-4-0 & not Rs 8/4/-
226	2344	-	2	-	26 Sq yds at -/2/- load Rs 3-4-0 & not Rs 3-6-0
Block No 12					
129	2289	1	14	-	19 Sq yds of mud wall at -/6/- load Rs 7-2-0 & not 9-0-0
260	2406	10	-	-	130 Sq yds at -/8/- load Rs 65 & not Rs 75

			Block No 22 & 23				
11	59	-	16	1	4	-	18 Kyds of mud wall cost Rs 6-12-0 & not Rs 7
11			Block No 23				
	-	7	6	1	-	-	correct total is Rs 430 & not Rs 431
			Block No 24				
2	-	-	59	1	-	-	Correct total Rs 24 and not Rs 25
			Block No 32 (I)				
9	5	1/25	3078	10	2	-	188 cft of brick wall cost Rs 17-10-0 & not Rs 23/
							The correct total is Rs 72 and not Rs 76
1			Block No 81				
1	155	141	421	9	14	-	This amount was omitted to be credited towards
	279	269	-	2	-	-	88 sqyds at -/4/- overpaid.
	363	373	-	-	12	-	against 13½ sqyds, value of only 7½ sqyds at -/2/-
	415	255	207	3	5	4	Deduction of value of 26⅔ sqyds at -/2/- not accounted
	476	90	467	8	15	4	71⅔ sqyds at -/2/- Adjustment of omitted outturn
			Block No 37				
	68	97	532	3	2	-	Correct total is Rs 152-14-0 and not 156/-
			Block No 36				
	14	-	379	-	4	-	Correct total is 164-12-0 & not 165
			Block No 42-43				
	127	59	607	1	-	-	45 kyds of mud wall at -/8/- cost Rs 16-14-0 & not 17-14
			Block No 81				
	485	393 1/393	-	4	10	-	value of land
			Block No 40				
	10	Vacant land	-	4	-		449 sqyds at -/4/- cost Rs 112-4-0 & not 112-8-0
			Block No 81				
	44	1/377	-	-	6	-	Correct total is Rs 29-10-0 & not Rs 30/-
	84	21	1227	-	4	-	Difference between Rs 43 awarded & Rs 42-12-0 awarded
			Block No 87				
	68	-	256	10	4	-	82 sqyds sold at -/2/- instead of at -/4/-
	75	278	-	17	12	8	158 1/3 sqyds at -/2/- less charged
	86	273	-	17	6	8	139 1/3 ——— -/2/- ——— do
	88	261	-	8	12	-	70 ——— -/2/- ——— do
	92	280	-	5	8	-	44 ——— -/2/- ——— do
	93	291	745	7	4	-	58 ——— -/2/- ——— do

14		10	12	0	86	Supply at	0/12/0	Loss Charged
83		3	0	0	24	—	0/8/0	—
73	752	7	4	0	58	—	0/8/0	—
79		19	12	8	158 2/3	—	0/8/0	—
71		12	0	0	96	—	0/8/0	—
85		11	0	0	88	—	0/8/0	—
		Stock	22	1	(c)			
0-11	45	60	5	4	114 2/3	Supply 7 not 175	Supply at Rs 1	
		370	12	0				

24.7.10

4/6/10

Statement No: 11.

Statement showing excess paid over 15 p.c. of Compensation on account of compulsory nature of acquisition under Section 15 of the Land Acquisition Regulation.

(Para 91 of the report)

Village No	Plan No.	Door No.	15 p.c. of Valuation			Amount paid in excess of Valuation			Excess paid			
			Block No. 2									
	329	285	31	0	0	36	0	0	5	0	0	Correct total of Valuation is Rs 340 & not Rs 370
	141	1408	3	12	0	5	0	0	1	4	0	
	316	273	14	0	0	28	0	0	11	0	0	
	302	1647	9	0	0	20	0	0	11	0	0	
	301	0	70	0	0	88	0	0	18	0	0	
	0	1643	28	0	0	30	0	0	2	0	0	
	304	257	127	8	0	150	0	0	22	8	0	
	157	1424	10	8	0	30	0	0	19	8	0	
	2/42	6	55	8	0	65	0	0	9	8	0	
	546	1025	19	8	0	22	0	0	2	8	0	
	1489	0	28	8	0	40	0	0	11	8	0	
	295	335	25	8	0	84	0	0	58	8	0	
	1290-1	0	61	8	0	92	0	0	30	8	0	
	538	1014	13	8	0	30	0	0	16	8	0	
	557	1042	15	0	0	22	8	0	7	8	0	
	0	1041	10	0	0	15	8	0	5	8	0	
			Block No. 3.									
	106	573-6	127	0	0	159	0	0	32	0	0	
			Block No. 4									
	1/33	0	7	0	0	23	8	0	16	8	0	
			Block No. 6									
	0	1776	28	8	0	60	0	0	31	8	0	
	157	0	78	0	0	182	0	0	104	0	0	
	0	1420	64	8	0	90	0	0	25	8	0	
	260	1473	39	0	0	75	0	0	36	0	0	
	0	2201	18	12	0	25	10	8	6	14	8	
	258	0	21	12	0	37	0	3	15	4	3	
	195	1835	24	12	0	60	0	0	35	4	0	
			Block No. 7									
	115	0	7	8	0	10	0	0	2	8	0	

			Block No. 8								
61	41	1/2920	19	0	0	24	0	0	5	0	0
84	0	2946	3	12	0	58	0		1	12	0
			Block No. 9								
69	0	1494	12	0	0	15	0	0	3	0	0
			Block No. 13								
94	163	2257	7	8	0	10	0	0	2	8	0
			Block No. 21-2								
33	1/3	0	17	4	0	30	0	0	18	12	0
			Block No. 24								
0	24	95	8	9	0	13	0	0	4	3	0
		Total	1033	9	0	1534	11	0	550	1	11

Jan. 7. 10.

W. 8
1/6/10

No 12 - Upper City Improvement Trust Board

Statement showing cases in which no steps have been taken to recover value of land and houses in excess of compensation paid (Para 93 of the report)

No.	Plot No.	Amount			Remarks
	Block No. 20				
177	-	6	2	-	Value of land in excess of compensation
	Block No. 6				
35	1708	349	-	-	Value of Trust House
75	-	57	8	-	Value of land in excess of compensation
	Block No. 9				
-	1949	43	3	2	Cost of brick wall has not been deducted in excess of compensation of Rs 28
	Block No. 13				
79	2198	42	-	-	Value of 42 sq yds of land
203	2342	240	-	-	Value of Trust House in excess of ~~compensation~~ compensation and Rs 15 subsequently recovered
	Block No. 51				
132	-	8	8	-	Value of land from has been paid
258	-	8	12	-	Value of 70 sq yds at -/12/-
250	-	7	10	-	—— do —— } a compromise probably out cases
254					
127	-	16	-	-	—— do ——
-	368	4	6	-	Value of land in excess of compensation
-	419	1	-	-	Cost of materials not deducted
-	519	6	4	-	Cost of extra land
58	-	6	4	-	50 sq yds at 0—2-0
41	437	2	15	4	Excess value of land over compensation a compromise probably out.
17	309	11	13	4	Value of land in excess of compensation
Vacant	Land	5	13	4	—— do ——
1/506	-	8	5	4	—— do -
19	347	5	6	6	Value of materials still due
57	Block No. 9	2	5	4	Value of land 18 2/3 sq yds at -/2/-
	Total	833	4	4	

Rs 24-7-10

No. 13. The Mysore City Improvement Trust Board.
Leases showing Marshalitas signed by persons different from those by whom the documents purport to have been executed

(Para 104 of the report)

No.	Plot No.	Lease No.	Name of Marshalitas	Name of the person that has signed the marshalita
			No. I Devaraja area	
1	42	2011	Kullanna	Venkata
2	21	1664	Ramji on behalf Bhimasingh	Ramji has not produced any authority to sign for Bhimasingh
3	22	1665	Narayan Singh	Narayan Singh does not seem to have obtained any authority to sign for Bhima Singh.
1	216	1937	Venkatasami	Rafiah
2	88	2595	Jullakhan	Abdul Rajak.
	89	2594	Banambi	do
3	57	2024	Jaiprasad	Ganesh Prasad
4	231	758	Chennappa	Chenna Naika.
5	416	794	Nagappa.	Puttaiah Siddhanna & Chennappa
6	124	644	Chikkanna	Shankappa.
7	400	916	Ranappa	Ranjachari
8	158	341	Venkatesh	Sidhah
9	39	148	Narasimha Singh	Narasingh Khan
			No. II Devaraja area.	
1	66	199	Venkatappa & Ranjah	Keshamma
2	109	2928	Bommaji	Gnanaji.

Sd/-
24/1/10

Sd/-
7/6/10

Statement
not recovered

Mukhtar No.	Khata No.	Khasra No.
256	600	1111
261	600	1112
281	472	915-6
298	467	907
299	466	906
296	465	905
31	151	3389
35	165	3307-8
108	163	3305
59	51	3085
67	"	689
104	"	802
137	"	1218
150	"	1763
182	167	154
"	80	29
56	117	869
10	26	32
234	5	
248-9	411	1284
364	1	
384	141	141

81	538	30	43	Karuna Nidhi Syamma
	531	405	137	Mahima Chandra
	542	130	216	Manikala
	563	239	"	Siddaiya
	590	274	"	Bora Khan of Kali Sai Sahib Haji
	48	483	Vacant land	
	75	559	"	Abdul Rajak Guardian of Mahamed Hussain
	78	502	"	Galatki

5-5-05	190	a	a	13	6	a
8-5-05	199	2	8	5	6	a
9-5-05	73	10	a	14	a	a
12-5-05	220	10	a	a	14	a
Ha 22-6-05	43	4	a	a	14	a
16-11-05	60	8	a	30	a	a
19-10-06	22	3	a	3	a	a
11-3-07	308	12	a	a	14	a
Total Rs	972	a	a			

7.10

No: 15

Improve City Improvement ... Municipal taxes remained ...
Municipality.

(Para 105 of the report)

Mohallah No.	Plan No.	Door No.	Name of payee	Date of payment	Amount paid			Arrears of Municipal taxes, if any, that may remain to be realised	
50	11	1141	Kassim Saib	Incorrect	8	10	0	1	6
7	101	642	Venkataramaiah	adjusted	612	4	0	1	3
43	Vacant land		Mallamma	7-5-04	29	0	0	1	0
40	104	984	Venkatappa	9-6-04	104	12	0	2	4
41	0	957	Thimmaiya	do	65	8	0	1	8
50	36	430	Chennaiah & Lingappa	11-6-04	114	12	0	2	4
48	23	420	Jagi Siddaiya	9-6-04	69	4	0	0	12
48	350	155	Kutta & Timmappa	do	50	0	0	0	6
				11-6-04	149	8	0	3	0
			Total C.P.					14	1

24.7.10

No: 16

Statement
ue and Grace

	Block No	Plan No	Door No:	
	17	262	1912 & 1913	
3	17	253	1902	
	19	11	a 2085	
3	12	322	1126	
	22		614	
	20	11	530	

Peshawar City Improvement Trust Board

Tenancy shewing cases in which resumption of sites which has been treated in accounts as fresh acquisitions. (Para 10 of the report)

Serial No.	To whom sold	Amount recovered on sale.			Amount of Trust's original outlay on sites which have already been resumed			Amount paid			Remarks.
1	Daci alias Dadda	80	0	0	28	2	0	51	14	0	Ch. No. 2/43 dt. [illegible]
3	Palia Daughter of Hotchand	91	10	8	14	1	0	77	9	8	do 2/43 do
1/43	Daci Son of Mari Hotchand	45	0	0	42	3	0	2	13	0	By Imprest
2	Khadar Khan	98	0	0	48	12	0	49	4	0	Ch. No. 3/44 dt 14-12-
	Total							181	8	8	

[illegible] 4/6/10

[illegible] 24.7.10

No. 19

Statement showing
which the materia
materials without
(Pa

Block No	Product No.	Plan No	Door No	Co
87	3	1357	"	
"	4	3310	"	
"	8	365	2680	
"	24	301	"	
"	30	1356	"	
"	32	3352	"	
"	43	"	2567	
"	51	294	"	
"	54	289	1442	"
"	60	37	"	"
"	61	1352	"	"
"	63	314	"	"
"	64	1306	"	"
"	71	379	"	"
"	72	1295		"
"	77	293		"
"	82	2[illegible]		
"	92	28[illegible]		
"	95	38[illegible]	3[illegible]	

1[illegible]-81	429	384					2
	441	1771					4
	464	1459					3
"	10	93					3
"	59	326					
	Total for Length			4	1	138	4
	Half rates for do			12	6	8	6
	Amount			3	6	6	10
	Total				90	751	

P.S.
8/6/10.

Area No	Block No	Plot No	Door No	Quantity dismantled No.						Name of the dismantler
				Col	Cl	Dol	Sl	Ed	Dam	
[illegible]	87	3	1/357			2				C.J. Parthasarathy
do		4	3/370			3				do
do		8	365	2680		4				do
do		24	301			6			4	do
do		30	1/356			2				do
do		32	3/357			2				do
do		43		2564		4			4	do
do		51	294			3				do
do		54	284	462		4			2	do
do		60	37			8				do
do		61	1/357			2				Inc. C.S. Krishnan
do		63	314			6	1		6	do
do		64	1/306			2				C.J. Parthasarathy
do		71	379			3	2			Inc. C.S. Krishnan
do		72	1/295			3	2		6	do
do		77	293			3			3	do
do		82	1/370			2				do
do		92	280			3				C.J. Parthasarathy
do		95	C			3				Inc. C.S. Krishnan
do		110	264			5			3	A. Ramyamma
do	89	59	25			3				Rajam alias [illegible]
	81	95	116			3				C.J. Parthasarathy
		153	132	420	1					do
		169	448			5				Rajam alias [illegible]
		192	235	212		3				Inc. C.S. Krishnan
		297	253			3				
do		301	261			3			5	C.J. Parthasarathy
do		323	37			7	1		7	Inc. C.S. Krishnan
do		382	244			10				C.J. Parthasarathy
do		394	427			3				Inc. C.S. Krishnan

No.	Name	Date of Measure ment & Weight	Reference to Measurement Book	Remarks
	Lakshamma	28-9-07	34/5	
		7-11-07		
	do	12-4-07	30/5	
		30-6-07		
	Janara	12-4-07	32/5	
		26-4-07		
	do	do	32/5	
	Lakshamma	28-9-07	34/5	
		7-11-07		
	Janara	12-4-07	32/5	
		26-4-07		
	Lakshamma	28-9-07	34/5	
		7-11-07		
	do	12-4-07	30/5	
		30-6-07		
	do	do	30/5	
	do	do	30/5	
	do	16-2-08	37/5	
	Janara	29-2-08		
		16-2-08	do	
		24-2-08		
	Lakshamma	28-9-07	34/5	
		7-11-07		
	Janara	16-2-08	37/5	
		24-2-08		
	do	do	do	
	do	do	do	
	Lakshamma	16-2-08	do.	
		29-2-08.		
	do	28-9-07	34/5	
		7-11-07		
	Karia	16-2-08	37/5	
		6-3-08		
	Lakshamma	1-11-07	35/5	
		22-11-07		
	Lakshamma	11-9-05	13/5	
	Lilla	23-2-06		
		28-9-07	32/5	
	Nana	15-11-07		
		12-4-07	26/5	do
	Lakshamma	11-9-05	13/5	
		23-2-06		
	Janara	25-3-08	41/5	
	do	do	do	
	Karia	12-4-07	26/5	
		18-5-07		
	Janara	25-3-08	41/5	do
	Karia	12-4-07	26/5	
		18-5-07		
	Janara	25-3-08	41/5	do

81	427	87			2	
	441	771			4	
	464	659			3	
	10	93			3	
	59	326		1		
				4	1 138	4

Total

Half rates for 8 months

Amount

Total Rs

K.S.
8/6/00.

Name of Remarks				
		13227	3402-157	8707-3-5
Sta		72 —		
	report)	—		
Me anemometer &c				
Surveying and Mathema	mplete			
transfered from the D			157	8707-3-5
Surveying & mathematica	— do —			
Two oil Engines — —	— do —			
1 machine for Storm Da				
Tools and Plant —				
Two water carts —				
Tools and plant —				
Pipe laying tools —				
Tools and Plant —	mplete			
Furniture for Chairma	— do —			
— do — for the offices	— do —			
Ex. Engineers and 2 Sub				
Type Writing Mach	— do —			
Office furniture for N	— do —			
Clocks — — —	— do —			
Almirahs — — —				
Type Writing machine	mplete			
2 m. almirah for d				
1 clock for office				
Printed books on Sani				
of Mysore City				

No. 20

Mysore City

Statement shewing expenditure on

Serial No	Name of work	Amount of Estimate	Expenditure		
			Surveying Instrument	Machinery	[illegible]
1	One anemometer &c	104	90-0-0	"	
(a)	Surveying and Mathematical Instrument transferred from the D. P. W	—	1763-14-7	"	93
2	Surveying & mathematical Instruments	1500	1549-4-0	"	
3	Two oil Engines	7,000	"	7011-6-5	
4	A machine for Storm catcher Roofing tile	"	"	1695-13-0	
5	Tools and Plant	63	"	"	62
6	Two water carts	"	"	"	20
7	Tools and plant	"	"	"	66
8	Pipe laying tools	"	"	"	36
9	Tools and Plant	567	"	"	16
10	Furniture for Chairman's office	869	"	"	
11	— do — for the offices of the Chairman, Ex. Engineers and 2 Sub Divisions	2,202	"	"	
12	Type Writing Machine	400	"	"	
13	Office furniture for No III Sub Division	122	"	"	
14	Locks	"	"	"	
15	Almirahs	"	"	"	
16	Type Writing Machine	400	"	"	
17	An almirah for Library book	"	"	"	
18	A clock for office	"	"	"	
19	Printed books on Sanitary improvements of Mysore City	"	"	"	
	Total	18,227	3402-15-7	8707-3-5	

Government Trust Board

& Plant to end of June '08.

(Para 162 of the report)

...e classified				Grand Total	Remarks
...lo	Office furniture	Total	Repairs & Carriage		
	"	90-0-0	"	90-0-0	
9-0	202-0-0	2059-0-7	"	2059-0-7	Completed
	"	1549-1-0	"	1549-1-0	— do —
"	"	7,011-6-5	"	7011-6-5	— do —
"	"	1695-13-0	"	1695-13-0	
9-0	"	62-2-0	"	62-2-0	
9-1	"	20-0-1	"	20-0-1	
5-3	"	66-5-3	"	66-5-3	
5-0	"	36-8-0	"	36-8-0	
1-2-0	"	1481-2-0	"	1481-2-0	Completed
"	866-0-0	866-0-0	"	866-0-0	— do —
	2185-15-6	2185-15-6	"	2185-15-6	— do —
	375-2-0	375-2-0	"	375-2-0	— do —
	117-8-0	117-8-0	"	117-8-0	— do —
	37-11-0	37-11-0	"	37-11-0	— do —
	30-0-0	30-0-0	"	30-0-0	
	388-11-0	388-11-0	"	388-11-0	Completed
	30-0-0	30-0-0	"	30-0-0	
	19-11-0	19-11-0	"	19-11-0	
	396-13-0	396-13-0	"	396-13-0	
9-3	4669-7-6	17518-13-10	"	17576-13-10	

No. 20

Total brought forward	1329	"	"	157	8707	3 5 7½
1st Class Draftsman	72	"	"	"	"	"
— do — for 06-07	72	"	"	"	"	"
— do — for 07-08	"			"	"	"
Storage of Tools & Plant	150	"	"	"	"	"
" Levelling Instruments	"			"	"	"
" Storage of Tools & Plant	"			"	"	"
Grand Total	1952			157	8707	3 5 7½

W. 16

Impose city Improvement Trust Board,
Shewing Cases in which loans granted are found
e than 50 % of the value of collateral security
nd no personal security is taken.
(Para 178 of the report)

	City Portion		Loan Given		Remarks.
	a	a	50	a	Additional security as ordered by the Chairman had not been furnished nor does personal security seem to have been taken.
	a	a	40	a	
	a	a	40	a	
	a	a	70	a	
1	a	a	40	a	
9	a	a	40	a	
4	a	a	30	a	
23	a	a	50	a	
46	a	a	40	a	
41	a	a	40	a	
49	a	a	30	a	
39	a	a	30	a	
42	a	a	50	a	
33	a	a	30	a	
33	a	a	30	a	
43	a	a	40	a	
35	a	a	30	a	
31	a	a	30	a	
34	a	a	30	a	
35	a	a	30	a	
25	a	a	30	a	
29	a	a	40	a	
41	a	a	50	a	
61	a	a	50	a	
44	a	a	40	a	
41	a	a	40	a	
8th	a	a	50	a	
62	a	a	40	a	

5	82	"	50	"	
156	56	"	60	"	
159	68	"	60	"	
160	85	"	50	"	
161	58	"	50	"	
162	58	"	60	"	
171	60	"	50	"	
183	90	"	50	"	

Additional security as ordered by the Chairman has been furnished nor does personal security seem to have been taken.

RW
Aug. 7. 10

RJ.
6/6/10

No. 22

Madras City Improvement Trust Board.

Statement showing Loans granted to Government Subordinates up to June 08. (Para 175 of the report)

Loan order No. & date		Name of Party	Designation	Amount granted			Remarks.
No.	Date						
[illegible]	9-5-05	M. D. Maria	Police Inspectors	100	"	"	Police Superintendent's office
13	22-3-05	Ranganja Sami Bhupaja		50	"	"	
39	1/10-05	Ranganna Reddy		50	"	"	
71	12-4-06	Mahamad Mustafa		50	"	"	
92	1/10-05	Ranganja		50	"	"	
97	20-1-06	Mayathu Khan	Peon	40	"	"	District Office
		Total		340			

24 7.10

7/10/10.

	33	Kappamma B	"	4/12	"	250
	89	Ramasami Chetty	"	2+3	"	400
	149	Chinnaiya	14	"	1650	16

Name

Maienlamma
Hanumantha Naik
Chalmiah
Ammamma
Ganachary
Subaiya Sanyasi Modliar
Chetti Kanna
Rajakumaria
Raghava Naik
Ganjamma
Venkamah
Ingudakar Sahebs
Ranganah
Pappamah
Ephacami Chetty
Ranganna
Kappamma
Kenchappa Naranna
Pappa Sahebu
Fatma Bee
Ya Cappa
Ranpo Bai
Nasa Naik
Hamidullah
Alice
Lal

10	a	a	24-1-08	5/68	[illegible]	Settled in the Divisional Commissioner's Court
11						
12	a	a	20-9-06	17/68	do	do
13			29-10-06	26/68	[illegible]	[illegible]
14						
						24.7.10

Statement No 24

Para 186 of the Report

II	6	71	1093	71	Syed Sheringam		
II	4	24	32441	5	Mahomed Khoorshed & others		
IV & V	81	1533	27	21	Sanjeeva		
IV & V	81	429	"	29½	Veerannanda Shanna		Do
Illegeed	40	5	882	76	Kanaka Singanna		Do
II	5	231	867	119	Bukkiah		Principal Mu
II	6	235	1877	125	Pachamma		Do
IV & V	81	353	"	7	Yarrakulla & Sons		Do
IV & V	81	114	435	304	Kuriaya		Sub Judge
IV & V	81	198	322	310			
"	"	"	"	"			Principal Mun
II	2	222	1516	143	Nanjamma		Do
II	5	266	940	142	Mahomed Gouse		District J
II	8	141	1383	64	Mahomed Hussain Sah		Principal M
II	6	167	228	67	Kalagaunda		Do
IV & V	81	282	251	51	Sadda and others		Sub Judge
II	3	163	3205	105	Abdulkadir		Do
II	8	"	2894	66	Bunaki, Chikkaiah & Sadhamma		District Ju
I	2 & 22	11	"	34	Rahiman Syed Ahmad		Sub Judge
II	5	31	6270-1	161-2	Pillamma & Sriniyan Chennaya		Principal Mu
II	6	"	1668	181	Ramanna & Sriniyan Dodda		Do
II	2	580	1059	366	Chikka mallaya & Chikka Hongu		Do
IV & V	87	"	367	192	Kadiah son of Doddaiya		Do
II	2	581	1059	416	Kadiah		Do
II	13	270	"	121	Balamma Singh		Sub Judge
II	12	177	1094	"			

	04	73/23	56	4	8	2-12-04	Amount due to Contractors Bills not attached
	05	94/35	77	4		27-2-05	
	05	100/35	400	-		27-2-05	
	05	52/37	220	-	-	24-11-05	
	05	87/40	230	-	-	27-6-06	
	05	16/43	467	12	-	27-6-06	
	05	17/43	127	8	-	27-6-06	
	05	17/43	64	-	-	27-6-06	
	05	84/59	34	4	8	27-6-06	
	05	10/46	83	-		27-6-06	
	05	86/59	94	10	-	27-6-06	
	05	23/47	37	9	9	29-7-05	
	05	26/47	228	-		7-8-05	
	05	27/47	222	8	-	29-7-06	
	05	13/49	421	4	-	27-6-06	
	05	68/48	30	2	10	6-9-05	Amount due to Contractors Bills not attached
	05	93/51	149	2	-	26-11-05	
	05	9/52	68	1	6	26-10-05	
	05	19/54	280	-		25-11-05	
	05	28/54	170	-		6-12-05	
	05	64/54	191	6	-	27-6-06	
	06	93/54	270	-	-	27-6-06	
	06	58/86	103	-		14-3-06	
	06	3/64	100			16-7-06	
	06	10/67	111	8	-	11-10-07	
	06	8/68	345	-	10	14-9-06	
	06	80/68	85	4	-	29-10-06	
	07	11/75	14	7	8	19 1 07	
	07	72/74	84	-	-	6-2-07	
	07	6/76	161	-	-	13-2-07	

II	2	515	1085/-	421	Veerasamiah do		Principal
III (1)	1	41		63	Seldamma		Do
IV	64	5	61/	66	Kanamma		Do
II	8	73	2952	88	Khanjan Mohammed Ispher		Sub Judge
II	3	189	3337	27	Veerasthimma & Chennayya		Do
II	4	"	3156	99	Sinnayamma		Principal
I	21	2	.	258	Hon. Syed Ranza		Do
IV	60-63	214	296	103	Sanabaru Dastru		Sub Jud
III (2)	46	-	2/43	46	Ramaprasad do		Principal
III	1	45	350-1	12	Sankaraiah		Sub Judge
					Lakinaddin Mudaliyar		Do
Inst	91	261	220	.			Do
IV	64	217	655	.			Do
IV	64	21/	655	221	. Mohaddinkhan		Do
IV	62	10	106	38	Singamma		Do
IV	64	92	733	220	Ganuk son of Chennayya		Do
II	12	101	1568	546/A	. Abdul Khadar & Ahmed Sah		Do
III	1	1-175 170 170 169	3437 3442 3445	347-50	Kannamaiah son of Jinnayangal Kanthay mannayan of Kannamma		Do
IV	62	.	68	37	Venkatasiah		District J.
II (2)	45	19	296	551/A	. Mohaboob Khan		Sub Jud
II	25	122 13	2874	560A	Gnanadu Nau		Do
IV	60-63	69	1703	111	Subbaya Pandit		Do
II	25	122 A	25/14-574/A		Gunn Nagaiah of Kuppaiah		Do

The items referred to above are re against the respective cipal courts,

78/38	1494		
12/90	111	8	11-10-07
89/94	16	2	11-12-07
94/95	41	4 3	7-3-08
24/95	215		11-2-08
25/99	68		26-3-08
45/99	1293		9-4-08
91/99	75		30-5-09
92/99	154		30-5-09
95/99	438	10	30 5-09
96/99	142		30-5-08
99/99	175		30-5-08
5/101	286		30-5-08
9/101	172	8	6-6-08
53/101	230		7-7-08
72/101	200		14-7-08
78/101	576	3	10-8-08
80/101	27	10	14-7 08

debited in the Judicial Deposit accounts

Allysons District Treasury

(Sd.) H Ue

3.11.40.

Sd/-
24-11-40

95 - My son City Improvement Trust Board.
The following dues are not received with profile
(Para 193 of the report)

Particulars

my for the week ending ( )	Secy & Chairman	on 21-5-1909	
Do	4-9-1909	Received since	
Do	18-9-09	Do	
Do	9-10-09	Do	
Do	18-12-09	Do	
Do	22-1-1910		
Do	12-2-1910	Received since	
Do	5-3-1910		
Do	12-3-1910		
Do	19-3-1910	Received since	
Do	26-3-1910		

Total

11/6/10 24.7.10

[illegible] 27 — Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

Statement showing cases of loss brought to light during the audit of accounts from January '09 to June 1908

(Para 189 of the Report)

Particulars		Amount due to		
Receipts				
Sales of materials	Alteration of bills on the debit side	3	0	
	Wrong totalling	6	4	
	Omission to credit in cash Book	61	0	
	Wrong to accounts kept [illegible]	25,000	0	
~~Trust Houses~~	Omissions on the debit	20869	1	
Lands	Omission to credit	3	8	
— " —	— do —	38	12	
Experimental Houses	Omission to credit	20	5	
— " —	— do —	11	0	
Loans	Real interest not charged	135	0	
	Interest not charged	78	0	
	Recoveries not credited	106	0	
— " —	— do —	0	1	
Expenditure — Acquisition				
Compensation	A second payment	23	0	
	Excess payment for land	1,133	7	
	Wrong calculation	370	12	
	Excess over 15% for compulsorily acquired	550	1	
	Amounts due, but not recovered and no account kept of the dues	233	4	
	Municipal taxes not recovered but remitted to Municipality	10	4	
	Municipal taxes recovered and remitted to Municipality, but refund not claimed	29	6	
Demolition	Excess assessment	1,014	0	
	Unnecessary payment for carting rent do	55	11	
	do Charge for demolition	75	1	
Expenditure — Construction				
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	0	
		64,966	2	[illegible]

24-7-10

No 27 — Mysore Land Improvement Loans Board

Statement showing cases of loans brought to light in audit of accounts from January '09 to June 1908

(Para 189 of the Report)

Particulars		Amount involved	
Receipts			
Sales of materials	alteration of bills on the debit side	3	0
— " —	Wrong totalling	6	4
— " —	omission to credit on cash Book	61	0
— " —	Wrong to noncounts kept ×[illegible]	[illegible]	0
Fruit Trees	omissions on the list	[illegible]	1
Loans	omission to credit	3	8
— " —	— do —	38	12
Experimental Houses	omission to credit	20	5
— " —	— do —	11	0
Loans	Real interest not charged	135	0
— " —	Interest not charged	75	0
— " —	Recoveries not credited	186	0
— " —	— do —	0	1
Expenditure — Irrigation			
Compensation	A second payment	23	0
— " —	Excess payment for lands ×	1,133	7
— " —	Wrong calculation	370	12
— " —	Excess over 15% for compulsorily acquired	550	1
— " —	Amounts due, but not received and no account kept of the dues	833	4
— " —	Municipal taxes not recovered but remitted to Municipality	10	4
— " —	Municipal taxes recovered and remitted to Municipality, but refund not claimed	29	6
Roads	Over assessment ×	1,014	0
— " —	Unnecessary payment for carting work done	58	11
— " —	— do — Charges for deposits	75	1
Expenditure — Construction			
[illegible]	[illegible]	563	0
		6,498	2

approximate

24-7-10

3 The Corporation of the City of Toronto Trust Board

Memo of audit to accompany the report on the audit of the Trust Board from January 1st to June 1902.

Particulars	Para of the report
Payments, entered on accounts of Revenue and Expenditure	27 of Appendix A
Moneys received and accepted	29 of do
Materials given free	30 of do
Land given free	47
Work which should have been completely, or fairly, remunerative, undertaken	60
Work on which no interest is charged	62
Improper expenditure on works	74
Excess over sub heads of works	77
Excess land acquired	82
Over payments due to wrong calculation	89
Excess paid over 15 p c for compulsory acquisition	91
Work on which no deposit taken to recover value of land or house sold	93
Work on which materials are supplied by other than municipal works	104
Municipal taxes not recovered	
— do — recovered but not remitted to Municipality	
— do — not recovered but remitted	
— do — recovered and remitted on account of properties for which payments of compensation were subsequently cancelled	
Cases of resumption of sites treated as fresh acquisitions	
Cases of payment at full rates for partial demolition	
Unauthorized expenditure on Tools and Plant	
Cases of loans given without adequate security as per rules	
Orders given to Government Servants	
Items not debited	
Excess debit to Court	
Dues not replied to	
Errors of accounts	
Cases the Board has measured	

Appendix A Condition of accounts, books and accounts examined

B Segregation irregularities

B Construction (1) — do —

B — do — (2) Payments not from capital account

C Minor irregularities

[illegible] 10-7-10

A P P E N D I X A.

Memorandum to accompany the report on the audit of the Mysore City Improvement Trust Board Accounts, showing the condition of books and accounts examined, and the defects generally noticed in them.

COUNTERFOILS OF AD-INTERIM.

Receipts. Three manuscript counterfoil receipt books used by Mr K. Pranatharthi Hara Iyer, Sub Divisional Officer from 5th August 1904 to 20th July 1905 were produced for audit. These books are not numbered. The second of these books is stated to contain 50 receipts, but 51 have been issued from the book. The first two receipt books bear in succession one series of consecutive numbers. The receipt books used by other Sub Divisional officers and other books used by Mr K. Pranatharthi Hara Iyer were not forthcoming.

2. Counterfoils of only 11 adinterim receipt books out of 14 said to have been used by collection Mutsaddies Sreenivasa Rau, Mallappa and Chanchala Rau were available for scrutiny. These have been cyclostyled, the book and receipt numbers being entered in red ink. Four of these books Viz., III, III, 8 and 14, do not bear the certificate as to the numbers of receipts they contain. Date of collection, name of party from whom collected, the account to which the recovery is creditable and the initials of the collector are all found recorded in pencil on the counterfoils. Amount collected has not been written in words. The numbers of books examined are II, III, III, III, 7 and 8, 9, 11, 12, 13 and 14. Now the books came to bear serial numbers both in Roman and

Arabic

Arabic figures and how three books were given the same number III are not understood. The periods to which the books examined relate are given below against each Mutsaddy:-

Mallappa	11-1-06 to 7-5-07 4-7-07 to 5-2-08 11-3-08 to 2-5-08 8-6-08 to 19-6-08
Sreenivasa Rau	19-12-06 to 17-8-07.
Chanchala Rau	5-2-08 to 11-3-08 6-5-08 to 5-6-08

COUNTERFOILS OF RECEIPTS GRANTED BY THE CHAIRMAN.

3. There are two sets of these receipt books used for issuing receipts in place of the adinterim ones. The first set came to be used from 24-2-05 and was meant - generally for recovery of value of land and Trust Houses, earnest money accompanying tenders of contractors, and other miscellaneous receipts. Trust houses are houses or parts of them acquired by the Board and left -- undemolished. There are twelve books in the set. All the books except the 10th have been printed. The tenth is in manuscript. The number of book and the number of receipt were entered only when each receipt was drawn up But in the 11th and 12th Books these numbers have been duly printed. No book bears any certificate as to the number of receipts it contains. The number of receipts each book contains is given below:-

Nos. of books.		No. of receipts each book contains.
1 & 7	...	97
3	...	95
2, 4 and 6	...	98
5, 11 & 12	...	100
8	...	99
9	...	98
10	...	14

4. The second set consisting of 17 books was intended for recovery of house building advances to persons not being Government servants, and also value of experimental houses (houses built by the Board for the displaced population) sold. The set came into use for the first time on the 3rd January 1906. All these books are printed. Book and receipt numbers were entered at the time of writing up receipts in all the books except the 13th wherein the numbers are also printed. No certificate of the number of receipts each book contains is found in the books. All the books contain one hundred receipts each, except III, 9 and 11 which have only 99 (the first counterfoil is missing), 65 and 30 counterfoils respectively.

Cash Books.

5. These are maintained neatly and correctly. The Cash Books have been balanced monthly and certificates of the correctness of cash (if any) counted are found. ~~If any.~~ Grant, increase, reduction and withdrawal of Imprests have been correctly noted in the books. The pages of all the books are machine-numbered, except those of the book opened on the 18th January 1905 by the Chairman. But in this book the certificate of the count of pages it contains has been duly recorded. The other books do not contain this certificate. ~~There~~ All the books being of four quires, each contains 384 pages as it ought to. The Renewal and cancellation of cheques have been correctly recorded. Value of lands and houses sold or ~~are~~ of stock articles issued has been deducted from bills paid for compensation or work done. In such cases, the deductions have been sometimes shown on the payment side of the Cash Book, and the net amount charged. The rules of the P.W.D. require that all such recoveries should be treated as Cash Receipts and charged per contra to the service heads concerned. This procedure is now introduced. The Cash and Treasury Balances as shown in the Cash Book at end of June 1908 were compared with the entries in the

the connected Cash Balance Statement, Imprest sheets, Treasury certificate and the Pass Book and found correct.

7. Cashier A. Kuppu Rao of the Chairman's office has furnished a security of only Rs.50/- and Cashier S. Govindaraju Mudaliar of the Executive Engineer's office Rs.100/- as per P.W.Rules, but the Government Savings Bank Pass Book of the latter is not yet received, it is said, from the Treasury.

8. It was observed in this connection that a number of so called cheques was found in charge of the Cashier of the Chairman's office. They should have been destroyed.

REGISTERS SHOWING LANDS AND HOUSES AVAILABLE FOR SALE AND THOSE SOLD.

9. The Books were intended to record the Trust properties available for sale, and those sold under the four heads, Viz., (1) House building sites (2) experimental houses (3) bits of land (4) and Trust Houses. Each head had two books opened for it, one for properties available for sale with notes of disposal, and the other for recording in chronological order the realisation of sale proceeds. It will thus be seen that there were 8 books in all. These books were left in arrears for a long time, and clerk B. B. Thirtha Rao was placed in charge of the work. When the clerk was transferred from the Chairman office to the Executive Engineer's in September 1908 , the books were still reported to be incomplete and A. Kuppu Rao cashier of the Chairman's office was allowed to maintain Registers of Revenue. He found it, it is stated, cumbersome to maintain all the 8 Registers, and opened with the approval of the Acquisition Officer, only two books-- one in continuation of another showing lands and houses available and those sold with particulars of recoveries. These books are also incomplete inasmuch as

as all realisations by deductions from compensation paid or loan granted, have not been posted, and no site register for the new Doddaholegere has yet been opened.

SALE LISTS AND REGISTER OF OLD MATERIALS OF DEMOLISHED HOUSES.

10. A Register of sale of materials and other moveable properties was opened showing the particulars of sale and recoveries. Entries made in this book are no more than the remittances made day by day of sale proceeds with the names of purchasers. There is no account to show the description and quantity of materials obtained from each house demolished, those sold and the balance available. In October 1906, a system was introduced by which the subordinate in immediate charge of demolishing work issued cyclostyled, and in a very few cases, manuscript passes in triplicate showing the date of demolition, houses demolished and materials obtained from demolition. Carriage of materials to depots devolved on the contractor in whose favor demolition and removal of debris were billed for. Mutsaddies or watchmen in charge of the depots return to the contractors two of the three passes duly signed and retain the third. The contractors handed one of these passes to the subordinate who on the basis of the pass, billed for demolition. The pass delivered to the subordinate was sent to the Chairman's office with bills for scrutiny. The pass was used also to check the correctness of materials brought to account at the depots. This system was discontinued in December 1907. It will thus be seen that any attempt made to scrutinise these passes which were introduced nearly 3 years after the Board was formed and which was in force for about a year, would not have been of any [illegible].

11. It will thus be seen that the only primary records which could form the basis of any scrutiny that

that was necessary ~~for~~ ward the sale lists of materials. The documents show the number of lots, description and quantity of materials, the amounts of the highest bids and names of purchasers who never signed the lists. The Sub Division officers concerned have, however, affixed their signatures to the lists which, with the moneys realised, were handed at the Chairman's office. These lists were accompanied by slips issued by Sub Division officers to purchasers who were allowed to take the materials on handing the slips at the gate of the Depot to the watchman or other person stationed there for the purpose. The quantity, description and value of all the lots purchased by each man was entered in pencil in the slips which were initialled by the Sub Division officers. It will thus be seen that these slips afforded the means to check the correctness, to a certain extent, of the sale list which formed the basis of my scrutiny in this respect.

CHALLANS.

12. Sub Divisional Officers and Subordinates to whom parties brought money on account of value of land, Trust houses, materials etc., prepared these challans and sent them to the Chairman for orders to receive the amounts. The challans with the orders passed thereon and the recoveries used, it is said, to be then sent to the Cashier who credited the items in the Cash Book and passed the challans on to the Compensation section where necessary postingswere made in the registers of sales and the documents recorded. The challans were manuscript ones in 1904-05. They were then cyclostyled in counterfoils, bound into books which were numbered and issued. The challans were also likewise numbered. Since 1908 August, printed challans in counterfoils similarly bound and numbered are in use. The challans to end of June 1908

1908 were duly compared with the entries in Cash Books, and the results have been alluded to in their appropriate places under "Receipts"

REGISTER OF LOANS.

13. These registers show the number and date of loan orders, amounts lent and to whom lent. They also specify the dates on which the several instalments fall due, the ~~[illegible]~~ principal and interest payable in each instalment, the dates on which repayments are made and the amounts received as principal and interest. ~~have been duly entered therein.~~ The books were found complete and up to date. An Index which was very badly wanted was prepared recently.

REMITTANCE BOOKS.

14. These books are maintained correctly and up to date. Remittances made have been duly acknowledged either by the Head Accountant of the Treasury or by the Treasury officer according as the amounts remitted are not more than Rs.800/- or otherwise. The books showed the details, such as the head of service to which the moneys are creditable in the accounts of the Board, the parties from whom the amounts were received, and on what account. These details which are unnecessary for the Treasury officer to know are now no longer given. The consolidated Treasury Receipts received in the Comptroller's office with the monthly accounts were compared with the entries in the books and found correct.

MUTCHALIKAS.

15. Much attention was not paid, in the beginning, to the technical formalities in respect of these documents in order to secure their validity from a legal stand point. Mark or thumb impression whenever taken of illiterate persons have not been attested by competent witnesses.

Extent of land acquired, quantity and description (number of ankanams, tiled or thatched houses etc) of houses if any, standing thereon, rates of compensation payable by square yards of land or ankanams of houses, and the total amount are found in these Mutchalikas. These documents are I think virtually agreements executed by private persons in favor of the Board, and require as such to be duly stamped as per Stamp Act. But no Mutchalika is either stamped or registered, and no orders exempting these documents from stamp duty and registration are forthcoming. Under Section 22 of the City Improvement Regulation, the Board alone can, subject to the provision of Section 10 (i), acquire properties by agreement. But all Award orders are passed by the Chairman even in cases of acquisition not provided for in the scheme approved by the Board and sanctioned by the Government, and no mention is made in the Board's proceedings of any report made to them of acquisitions by the Chairman.

MEASUREMENT BOOKS.

16. These are the documents in which dimensions or other units of work actually carried out are primarily recorded. All the measurement books are numbered and issued for use with certificates as to the number of pages they contain. Entries in the first pages and Abstracts at end of the book are mostly incomplete or not made at all. Measurements billed for have not been crossed off. Two dozen measurement books were issued without noting therein the dates of issue. The first measurements recorded in nine books are not also dated. The dates of first measurements in four of the books precede those on which the books purport to have been issued. These discrepancies have been duly noticed in my letter for the week ended 14th August 1909. No explanation has yet been received on this subject.

under measurement books of 50 pages for other works is 3. When the first two books were issued and to whom are not known. No attempt has been made to secure the return, to the Divisional office, of all measurement books soon after the completion of connected works, as it is evident from the column "Date of return" left blank throughout the register.

BILLS FOR WORK DONE INCLUDING NOMINAL ROLLS.

20. Officers or subordinates measuring works will generally prepare bills from the entries in Measurement books. Quantity and description of work done, rate and cost are entered, noting also the page and number of the measurement book wherein the connected entries are found. The bills bear generally the signatures of contractor also with a note of check-measurements of work by Sub Divisional officer concerned. The arithmetical accuracy of these documents are tested by the Sub Divisional clerk along with measurement books. The Bills are then checked in the Executive Engineer's or Chairman's office, as the case may be, and payments made.

21 The examination of Nominal Rolls of Labour employed brought to light many unattested corrections, if not -- objectionable alterations and erasures. The rules require that these primary documents (including Measurement books) must be free from such corrections so as to be producible as admissible evidence of facts in a Court of Law. Much attention has not been paid to secure this requirement.

REGISTER OF CHEQUE BOOKS.

22. A Register has been maintained in the Chairman's office showing the supply of cheque books received from the Collector from time to time, the issues to the Executive Engineer or the Chairman and the balance in hand. The register is maintained neatly and correctly, but entries of receipts and issues are not attested by the

the Chairman or the officer in immediate charge of the Chairman's office. It is said that one book only is supplied to the Executive Engineer on application which is generally made when the last cheque book is almost used up. No register of cheque books is therefore kept in the Executive Engineer's office.

COMPENSATION REGISTERS.

23. No form has been prescribed for these registers which are however very necessary. The registers maintained contained entries (transcribed into them from Mutchalikas) under different blocks, of plan and door numbers, if any, of properties acquired, extent of land, quantity and description (number of ankanams, tiled, terraced etc) of any structire standing thereon, the amount awarded and that actually paid or adjusted for value of land, materials or houses sold. The payments are generally entered in the registers in their chornological order. Though these registers were apparently found to be up to date, they could not be relied on as either correct or complete. Some items were omitted, some were entered twice or under wrong blocks,. Many incorrect entries were also observed. Notes of adjustments were, in a few cases, incorrect or found to be omitted. Needless to say that the rectification of these, before any audit could be made, involved a loss of time which would not otherwise have occurred had the records been in fair order.

C REGISTERS.

24. These are registers of measurements, showing quantity, rate and cost of works executed as compared with those sanctioned. These registers are posted from bills, Nominal Rolls or casual labour rolls paid. Entries are made under different sanctioned [illegible] heads. Those books were found to have been fairly kept, but there is hardly any evidence to show that they were

were systematically scrutinised by the Executive Engineer or his Accountant. Some bills, Nominal rolls, write back orders, and orders to debit value of stock or materials direct to sub head of works, were not forthcoming. Accounts of materials and statement of arrears for the years 1903-04 and 1904-05 were mostly wanting.

TOOLS AND PLANT AND STOCK REGISTERS.

25. These accounts are kept in the Executive Engineer's office. Accounts of daily receipts and issues were not systematically maintained. Some bills were not forthcoming. Tools and Plant and Stock registers for 1904-05 were posted in pencil. Incorrect postings and omissions were also observed. The storekeeper maintains these accounts and is the custodian of stores. The present Storekeeper B.B.Thirtha Rao has not furnished a security of Rs.50/- as per rules of the P.W.Department. No accounts of furniture, it is said, are maintained in the Chairman's office as required by Government Order No.4426-65-30-4 dated 28-11-04. The storekeeper of the Executive Engineer's office has not also maintained any account of furniture in the Chairman's office. The necessity of maintaining such accounts is too obvious. The Gold medal which the Board got in 1905-06 for exhibiting at the Industrial and Agricultural Exhibition held in Mysore, the models of improvements carried out in the City is found in charge of the Cashier of the Chairman's office. This should also be duly accounted for.

26. The balance at the end of June 1908 in the stock register was Rs. 2428-7-4 against Rs.2428-8-2 as per Stock Account Current. The difference of 10 pies should be adjusted. The sanctioned reserve limit is Rs.10000/-

ADJUSTMENT BOOK.

27. This book is found to be in the prescribed form, though in manuscript. Write-back have been posted in

in this book as minus credits or minus debits to the services head-s concerned. Though the procedure brings about correct results, yet it is not authorised by rules. Writes-back should be taken as credits or debits to Refunds of expenditure or Revenue. Many items of adjustment affecting the Revenue Receipts and expenditure of the Board to the extent of Rs.2,385-15-4 have been found to have been omitted such as reductions made from compensation or other sums payable by Board, for value of land or other properties sold. A List of such omissions is herewith submitted (Statement No.1) in view to orders being issued to incorporate them in accounts.

REGISTER OF SECURITIES.

28. Registers of securities have been maintained both in the Chairman's and Executive Engineer's offices. The securities are all cash lodged in the Mysore Government Savings Bank. The Pass Books with the Executive Engineers have interests written up in them to end of June 1908 only. Those in the Chairman's office in which interests are not written up for 1905-06 and subsequent years are said to have been now sent to the Treasury for the purpose.

REGISTER OF TENDERS.

29. This register is maintained in the Chairman's office neatly and up to date. I have herewith submitted a statement (No.2) showing cost of works advertised, the amount of the lowest tender and that of the tender accepted. No remark is found in the register as to why the lowest or any other tender was not accepted. The Chief Engineer on the remarks of Inspecting Officers of P.W.Divisional Accounts, has decided that reasons should be recorded in the register. The necessity for such a record is obvious. In the absence of such reasons, it is difficult to under- [illegible] of Rs.45,170/- as the cost of lowest tenders, tenders amounting to Rs.175295/-

or Rs.21,129 more than the lowest tenders were accepted for the works costing Rs.4[illegible]316/- The increase incurred works out to a percentage of 4.33 on the estimated cost of works advertised. The agreements given by the Contractors have not been stamped under the Stamp Act, nor have they been registered. They are not authenticated as required by Section 10 (2) of the Mysore City Improvement Regulation. Bond was obtained from Mr. Maloney for constructing a septic tank in No.5 drainage area and no sanction of the Board is forthcoming for acceptance of Messrs Maloney and Jackman's tender for drainage of the Weavers lines.

ACCOUNTS RENDERED TO THE COMPTROLLER.

30. The Accounts Current, Schedules of expenditure on works and Tools and Plant and Schedules of Credits and Debits to other Funds or Government Departments were all found to be correct. The rules extant in the P.W.Department require that certificates appended to the monthly schedules of expenditure on works should be signed by the officer submitting the accounts to the Comptroller. The certificate is meant to assure the Audit office that the C Registers and registers of works have been duly posted and that all ~~[illegible]~~ excesses as ever, or deviations from, sanctioned estimates have also been reported. This certificate was not signed at all from the commencement.

SUSPENSE ACCOUNTS

Deposits.

31. A sum of Rs.60/- was credited to this account in July 1904 as compensation due to Hayath Sab and Aminabi on the score that no proper claimant was known. If so, the amount should have been sent to Court.

32. A sum of Rs.34/- received from the Police officer for acquiring land for Police Constable's Office was credited to this head in October 1906. I am not aware

aware of any orders authorising the Board to acquire land, even by agreement, for other than the Mysore City Improvement purposes.

33. Two items amounting to Rs.44-6-0 were found burried in the ground, while demolishing certain houses acquired. These were credited to this account in November 1906 and March 1907 and were adjusted by a remittance to the Revenue Department for disposal presumably under the provisions of the Treasure Trove Act.

34. Arrears due to contractors have been credited to this Account in June 1905 and November 1907 without specifying the works to which they relate. Arrears on closed works alone should be brought on to this account. Those on incomplete works being held at credit of the contractors in the accounts of works only.

MISCELLANEOUS ADVANCES.

35. The following items of expenditure which should have been directly debited to the final heads concerned were in the first instance charged to the suspense head and subsequently adjusted.

December 1904 - Value of 3 quarts of phenyle for drains.

May 1905 - (1) Charges for printing books etc regarding Sanitary improvements of Mysore.

(2) Preparing 3 models for the Industrial Exhibition held at Bombay and value payable charges for 3 photo models.

A sum of Rs.186/- was paid in September 1905 to one Siddamma on account of compensation for House No.1019 (Plan No.47) in Block 8, and debited to the above Suspense head, as cheque No.87/21 of the 5th October 1904 for the same amount was, it is said, delivered to, and cashed by, a wrong party. The cheque was got from the Comptroller's office and on necessary enquiry the outlay held at credit of this suspense head was adjusted

by

by recovery in equal proportions from T.G.Subba Rao, Cashier, Mahamad Tahir, Sub Overseer and C. Venkataruthnam Panthulu, Taluk Maistry. This shows that particular care which is so very necessary in the disbursement of money was not apparently exercised. The fact that the sum of Rs.186/- was recouped by the parties at fault does not extenuate the prevalence of a system of careless payments, and it is simply fortuitous that more cases of a like nature were not found

36. The undermentioned items were debited to this Suspense head and subsequently adjusted by recovery from the Palace though the last Item in the Group remained unadjusted at end of June 1908. Apart from the question whether the Board could acquire properties or carry out other works for the Palace, the expenditure should not have been incurred without previous recovery of the Charges

February 1906	Rs 1000/- Paid Mahamadkhan for acquiring House No 206 Nazarpad, to the Palace(as per D.O from the A Private secretary to H.H The Nizam No 388 dated 27-1-0)
September 1906 -	Rs 1000/- for constructing Pal Syces' Lines in Ittigapud
October 1906 -	Rs 91-12-0 for Acquiring land for Palace coachmen's lines
February 1908 -	Rs 1,533-10-3 for constructing Pal Syces' Lines

REGISTER OF REVENUE.

37. It is found, on an examination of these registers, that the realisations from sale of land and Trust houses were not classified separately Recovery of loans was credited to Revenue on the score that the loan granted was debited to a final head This appears to be wrong If loan should be debited to a suspense head to be credited as repayment is made. Interest realised is also credited

to

to loan under Revenue instead of to a separate head "Interest" Value of experimental houses sold was recovered in instalments, where necessary, as sanctioned by Government. In such cases Interest was recovered, and credited to experimental houses. This does not also appear to be correct. Interest realised should be credited as such to Revenue. Rents realised from experimental houses amounted to only Rs.92-0-6 at 9 per cent on the value of the houses as per resolution No.I of the Board's Proceedings dated 7th July 1904. Rents realised from Trust Houses amounted to Rs.55/- The levy of rent for these houses is also, it is stated, made at 9 per cent. A number of experimental and Trust houses remain, it is said, unoccupied. Trust houses Nos.1592 and 1593 (plan No.267) Block 2 is said to have been left in charge of the Police Department under the orders of the late Chairman and no rent is charged thereon

38. No schedule of rents of buildings is prepared and sent with the accounts to the Comptroller showing buildings of the Board rented and available for rent, rents recoverable, those recovered and balance due. Such a schedule is very necessary as in the P.W.D.

LISTS OF VOUCHERS.

39. These bills are prepared and sent to the Comptroller according to the procedure prevalent in the P.W.D. at the time the Board came into existence. Schedule dockets prescribed by the P.W.D.Code having now been introduced into the P.W.Department of the State in place of those lists, a similar change of procedure is called for to secure uniformity.

*****

50 16

New City Redevelopment Trust Board

Appendix B (163)

Acquisition

(Para 75 after Report)

20	3/69	142	[illegible]	46	22	60	940	7									
					19	74	975		5								
					32, 33	39	918	7½	2	4							
					73	40	919	1	4½								
					52	74	954	1									
					34	121	1005						2				
					8	109	993	2	2			126					
				39	27		769						14				
					18	70	858					100					
					31	20	772	-2						+2			
					23		771							+2	-2		
				71	9	19	719	1	2								
					17	5	706						2				
							Total	5½	11½	4		226	18	4	-2		
							Rates	Rs 2-00	1-50	1-50		0-12-0	0-12-0	0-12-0	0-12-0		
							Amount	13-20-11-6-00				3-06-3-6-00			-1-8-0		

3 4/69 II

Bill in favour of Mohab Ha
Rs 142-7-1 was paid in May
arrears was duly brought forw
December '06 it was again pa

17 4/69

The Entrancer who
book no 8 on 23-7-05 origina
the date of the present bill an
figures were corrected when bill
has occurred.

17 4/69

Bills dated 30-4-06 a
and Rs 50-4-0 respectively, a
on the latter were withheld
on to a subsequent bill da
Rs 109-13-8 including the were

[illegible] Mr. Tank measurements

Mr. C. S. Krishnaswami 45 8 6

30-4-06 for Rs 149-13-0. Out of the
[illegible] balance of Rs 7-5 11. This
[illegible] bill was paid on 5-5-06 the
payment was ordered on Mahadevan Sahib's bill 86 7 5 0
[illegible] Mr. Krishnaswami
[illegible] measurements in place of
[illegible] the date 15-11-05
[illegible] which the original
[illegible] Rs 14-0 Mahadevan Sahib

[illegible] do 5 14 0

[illegible] of steel beams for Rs 53-2-0
[illegible] in the former and Rs 7-5-3
[illegible] in Mar. 06 was [illegible]
[illegible] Rs 104-11-0 was paid
[illegible] these two items were paid

Sta. A

issued by M. C. S. Kumhar...
...payment of Rs. 2-8 2...
...will be found in B. Sra...
excavation are found that pap...
pencil. A correspondence ...
which seems to have been o...
inked. The object of the dea...
deposition has to be more g...
as Rs. 25-8-0 have to be retrea...

19 6/09													
10 7/09	1	I	16	71	20	2057			1½				
							7H	7V				4H	
				74	2	2058	2	2				8	
					Total		2	2	1½			8	
					Rates		2·80	1·40	1·80			0·20	
					Amount		5·60	2·80	2·70			1·60	
			17	19	203	1147						24	
				52	151	1800			6			34	
				76	200	1850						1	
										4V			
				7	274	1934				2		2	
					Total				6	2		57	
					Rates				1·80	0·20		0·20	
					Amount				9·00	1·80		7·20	
17 7/09		I	17	15	219	1870						6	
				113	218	1869						1	
					Total							7	
					Rate							0·10	
					Amount							0·70	

[illegible]	58	12	5
[illegible]	5	2	8

20-12-06. Sir Thos Jas Gey [illegible] Mr J Rapaeverum [illegible] 27 9 [illegible] 207 viz 201 Cyds appear on [illegible] deset 201 Cyds for 1st [illegible] ment has been subsequently [illegible] apparent If however any [illegible] ation 204 Cyds at 0-2-0 a Cyd

J C Rozario S 6	25	8	
Mr Jahs S O	10	12	
[illegible]	17	10	
Carried [illegible]	115	4	5

17 7/09	1	I	17	~~[illegible]~~	~~172~~	~~1522~~		Overmeasurement on removal of debris on pages 28 & 29 in Bk No 3 12 at 1 cent per Rod.
				77 & 78	152	1522		5
					157	"		3 15
					Total			3 20
					Rate			0.20 0.20
					Amount			2.40 2.80
								Over measurement on removal of debris at page 42 of Book No 3 bk at 6-1-0
			17	110	155	1835		20
				109	83	1734		3
					Total			23
					Rate for 3 months			0.10
					Amount			1.70
								Amount of overpayment on removal of debris for 10 Rods at 1 cent for 25 Rods
24 7/09	2	I	18	88-91	155	2655		2
				87	"	2954		2
					Total			24
					Rate			0.20
					Amount			0.80
				89	162	2725		
								Excess measurement for removal of debris of 30 79
					Rate			0.80 0.10
					Amount			15.00 ~~7.90~~

Brought forward		
Mr. Fahrer S.O		12
do	4	1
do		
do	1	7
do		6
do		

24 7/09	2	I	8			13						3			27	
								4 dc			1-00			0-1-0		
								Amount			3-00			1 11-0		
			19	50-51		25	2758-9		6		6					
							1671			2						
					85	176	2558							3		
							Total		6	8			3			
							Rate		1-40	1-80			0-20			
							Amount		7-80	12-00			0-60			
	2	I	19			84	2684							2		
					20	163	2566							3		
					55	183	2549							2		
					29	37	2706									Ailing 3
					62	205-6	2514-5		1							
							Total		1					7	3	
							Rates D&R		1-00				0-12	0-40		Rnot pass
							Amount		1-00				0-70	0-120		
31 7/09		I	20	162		55	511							5		
				68		30-33	540-2		1/2							
				69		31	541		1/2							
				144		15	527			1	2					
				128		17	525							1		
							Total		3	1	2		6			
							Rates D&R		1-40	1-80	0-120		0-20			
							Amount		3-120	1-80	1-80		0-120			
			111		108	590		1								
			117		75	556							8	8		
			109		132	654								2		
			61		175	699			4					4		
			76		29	539		2		2						
							Total	3	4	2			8	6		
							Rates D&R	2-80	1-40	1-80			0-20	4 for R		
								7-80	5-60	3-00			1-00	1-80		
			160		110	588		1/2		2				2		
			165		116	636			4				12			
			128		17	525			5					2		
								1/2	9	2			12			

Brought forward			
Mr. Lahri	80	1	5
— — —		4	14
— — —	100	2	3
— — —	80	7	8
— — —	80	10	
Carried over			

31 2/09		I	20					£2	9	2	12	
				22	36	545			3			
				77	25	536			3			
						Total		£2	15	2	12	
						Rate of D R		2-80	1-80 0-120		0-80	*R not paid
						Amount		1-40	22-80 1-80		0-120	
	2	I	20	44	418	800		4 1/2				3
				235	2/274			6				
				108	310	6/765		7			7	
				102	287	825		3				3
				41	255	776			2			2
				59	300	834		10				10
						Total		4 26 1/2	2		7	12
						Rate for D		1-80 0-12	00		0-40 0-40	
								6-00 1-80 2-00			7-0 3-00	
7 8/09	2	I	20	186	242	763					1-42	0-1-0 = 0-1-0
				Hurwam Bee				1 1				
						Rate		1-80 0-12				
						Amount		1-80 0-12				
				48	91	321			6 1		6	
						Rates for D + R		0-80	1-80 0-120		0-80	
						Amount			9-00 0-120			
				161	88	572						
				81	4/60	3051					2	3 at 0-1-0 = 0
11 9/09	2	II	2	393	477	923					5	
						Rate for D.					0-40	
						Rate for R					1 Rate for 21 days	
				293	479	925		1				
				318	478	924			1			
						Total		1	1			
						Rates for D. R		2-80 1-40				
25 9/09	2	II	3	30	221	3351			1			
				27	189	3337					12	
						Total			1		12	
						Rate for D			1-00			1/15 Re
						— ov for R			2-80			1/6
						Amount			3-80			2-12-9
			3	77		4641		1				
						Rate		3-80				
			4	73		3258					8	at 1/4m

Brought forward		166	[illegible]
Mr Lahiri	80	26	-
—	00	24	7
		0	1
—	00	2	4
—	00	10	8
—	00	-	3
Fr. C. Rozario		-	8
—	00	3	12
—	00	6	4 9
—	00	3	8
—	00	-	8
Carried over		244	

25 5/07	2	2	4	92	116	2/3137	3		
					Rate for D		1-80		
					Amount		4-80		
					~~3069~~	3069 7 3070	6	6	
					Rate D+R		2-80	1-40	
					Amount		15-00	7-80	
4 2/07	1	2	2	130	718	1265	3		
					Rate for D		1-00		
					Amount		3-00		
				22	256	1578	1		at Rs 1-
				293	479	925	1		
				90	494	947	1	3	
				6	229	1531-2	1		
				Sanjamma			1		
				Total			4		
				Rate D+R			3-80		
				Amount			14-00	40	
				101	105	1362	6		22
				30	613	1125-6			14
					Total		6		36
					Rate D+R		3-80		3-80 per 15 Rs D
					Amount		21-00		8-64
				~~576~~	~~1000~~ 575	1084	3		
					Rate D+R		~~2-80~~		
					Amount		7-80		
				249	1/506	1/962	2	2	
					Rate D+R		2-80	1-40	
					Amount		5-00	2-80	
				321	571	1071	4		
					Rate D+R		2-80		
					Amount		10-00		
				329	1/470	913	2	1	
				323	470	911-2	2	1	
				272	470	911	2	1	
						Total	6	3	
					Rate D+R		[illegible]		

Brought forward	£	s	d
F. C. Rogers do	4	8	
Mr. Johnson	22	8	
F. C. Rogers	3	"	
do	"	"	
do	28	"	
do	29	6	4
do	7	8	
do	7	8	
do	10	"	
do	18	12	[illegible]

4 2/09	1	2	2	406 ~~22~~	554	1824	4 2	
					Rate D R		2-80/140	
					Amount		0-00280	
				441	963		2 1	
					Rate		2-80/140	
					Amount		5-01140	
16 10/09	1	2	5	174	23	637	4	
					Rate D		0-20	
					Amount		3-00	
6 4/09	2	2	6	14	39	1659		8 - ab 0-1-0
				26	40	1652		4 .
				176	141	1804		10 .
				Mal				14 .
				Rate D				Rate per 15 days
				Amount				0-14-11-
13 11/09	2	2	6	91	107/1	1727	3	
					Rate		2-80	
					Amount		7-80	
				66	66	137	. .	3 - 3
					Rates D		. . .	0-20 - 0-1-0
					Amount		. . .	2-40 - 0-30
20 11/09	2	2	6	174	262	1778	1	
					Rate D		1-40	
				130	73	2685		. . 15 - ab 0-1 0
27 11/09			9	13	121	1937	4 2	5 .
				31	123	1937	. .	- 20
					Total		4 2 . .	25 -
					Rate D		1-80/120	0-40
					Amount		6-00180	1-9-0
11 12/09	2	2	10	176		2396	2-2	ab 1-8-0 & ab 0-1
				210	139		2 - 2	ab 0-12-0 & ab 0-2
			11	6	204	2093	3 - 3	ab 0-12-0 & 0-12
				34	69		2 - 2	ab 2-8-0 & 1-8
				76	229	2118	0 2 - 2	ab 1-8-0 & 0-12
				51	242	2131	2½ 1 - 2½ - 1	ab 2-8-0 & 1-4-0
					Total		15½ 4 - 19½ - 8	

Brought forward 36	[illegible]	[illegible]
F C Rozario 80	12	8
do	6	4
do	3	
do		8
do		14
do	7	8
do	2	1
do	1	4
do		15
do	9	1
Carried Over	[illegible]	[illegible]

									Total B. 78½ 2-4½-6
									Rates
									Amount
11 12/09	2	2		10	157			@	3 3
									Rates D
									Amount
					177	1443	2494	B	3 2
									Rate D
									Amount
					154	160	2513		3 2½ 6
									Rate p D
									Amount
					99	222	2533		1
					81	154	2517		1
									Total 2 at 0-0-0
					76	86	2435	1	at 1-8-0
					83	66	2414		2½ at 1-0-0
				11	50	33	2307	2	at 2-8-0
					19	200	2087		1½ at 1-8-0
					90		2212	2	at 1-8-0
				12	9	192	1075		1 at 0-0-0
					25	83	1549		2 at 0-1-0
					13	152	1087		11 at 0-1-0
					16	154	1634	3	36
									Rate
									Amount
					31	146-7	1624-5		5 at
									Rate
									Amount
					9	192	1075		9 at 0-1-0
					Stock				12 at 0-1-0
				10	60	61	2408		3 at 1-0-0
					78	94	2443		3½ at 1-0-0
25 12/09	2	1		21-22	57	147	2377		3 7
									Rate
									Amount

Brought Forward	[illegible]	[illegible]
Mohamed Ishar S.C.	10	10
Mirzahis	4	8
— 00 —	4	
— 00 —	4	10
— 00 —	1	
— 00 —	1	8
— 00 —	2	8
— 00 —	7	4
— 00 —	3	
— 00 —	1	1
— 00 —		2
— 00 —		4
— 00 —	17	6
— 00 —		9
— 00 —		12
— 00 —		
— 00 —		8
— 00 —	3	7

| | | |

Übertrag	489	9	3
M. Lahis	0	11	
do		10	
do	14	6	
do			
do			
do	13	6	
do	2	4	
do	3	9	

19 11/69	2	2	5	238A		249	3 at 2-8	0
				6 Lieut Co		1 Brack	2 at 2-8	0
1 1/10	1	2	6	21	7	416	. . . . .	5 at 0-1-0
15 1/10	2	3(2)	47	24	6	216	. 2 .	-2
						Rate	. 120 .	080
						Amount	. 180 .	100
			45				. . . .	44 .
1 1/10	1	2	28	3	98	2857	. 1 at 1-0-0	
8 3/10	2	IV	64	9	58	843	3 2 .	
						Rate	100080	
						Amount	300100	
				72	54	742	. . . . .	5 at 0-1-0
			60 1/63	36	96	378	2 1 . .	
						Rate D & R	100 100	
						Amount	200 100	
			62	3	8	4/102	1 at 0-0-0 for R debris	
			64	117	155	803	. . 1 at 0-12-0 for D &	
			60 1/63	66	224	1307	. . . . .	2 at 0-0-0
12 3/10	2	IV	41 Rg. 2 of Removing of debris of snow					
			a all bills for moles of 6 pipe on Office					
			65					35 at 25 Rg.
			60 1/63	47	158	329	2	
						Rates D & R	100 100	
						Amount	200 200	
			64	167	170	673	5 5	
						Rates D & R	200 140	
						Amount	200 600	
				9	58	843	3 2	
						Rate R	100 080	
						Amount	300 100	
			60 1/63	87	168	170	1	
						Rate R	. 080	
						Amount	0-080	
			57 1/63	27-8	165	152	4 4	
						Rate D & R	200 140	
						Amount	800 560	

Brought forward	£	s.
[illegible]	7	8
do	5	
do		5
do		
do	4	
For Bazaar		
St. Mannan's	8	
do	6	
do		
do		1
do		
do		6
do	7	5
do	18	12
do	4	
do		8
do	15	

17 3/10	2	4	578	26	112	560		3 at 1 8- 0 for D & R
		Wgt	39	35	68	656	3	at 1 8- 0 for D
				37		826	1	
				40		821	1	
						Total	2	
						Rate D & R	1-40	
						Amount	2-80	
		Wgt	36	136	201	390		6
				145	34	86		14
				50	154	349	1 1/2	7
						Total	1 1/2	27
						Rate D	0-80	0-10
						Amount	1-20	1-40
		Wgt	40	26	635	1042	6 2	37
						Rate D & R	1-80 1-80	0-10 & 1-80 for 25 yds
						Amount	5-00 3-00	3-10-9
		Wgt	41	38	43	436	3	
				23	20	408	3	
						Total	3 3	
						Rate D & R	2-80 1-80	
						Amount	7-80 4-80	
		Wgt	39		191	772	2	at 2-8 for D & R
26 3/10	2	5	89	10	154			25 at 0-1-0 for
			81	277	39		4	4
						Rate D	0-80	0-06
						Amount	2-00	0-06
				197	514	483	6 3	
						Rate D	1-80 0-20	
						Amount	9-00 2-40	
			87	42	367			4 at 1-0 for D

Brought forward	622	4	7
F C Rozario Esq	4	8	.
— do —	4	8	.
— do —	2	8	.
— do —	2	13	.
— do —	21	10	9
— do —	12	.	
Mr C S Knoduccoramie	5	.	
Arzamalliddan Esquire	1	9	
— do —	2	5	6
— do	11	4	.
— do	4	.	.
Grand total Rs	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

Demolition

| | | | | | | | | One | Measurements | | | | | | | |

* [illegible] reserves against wrong members			
[illegible] 20			
68. Dr. mel			
12 -"- 1762			
	Mahomed Sahib S.6	9	12
23 -			
9 - 1710			
	do	1	14
- 1725	do	5	12
- - 1741			
	do	2	
- 1784			
1683			
1952			
1877			
	do	11	4
1818			
1656			
mel			
1945 (30) 16143	Carried over	30	10

					10		Total	3	3		34
							Rates	1-80	1-00		0-40
							Amount	1-80	3-00		2-20
17 7/09	2	1	17		141	134	1783		3	1	
							Rates		1-00	0-80	
							Amount		3-00	0-80	
24 7/09	2	1	18						3		25
							Rates 0-40		1-80		0-20
							Amount		4-80		3-20
						67	2462		4		6
						86	2456		4		6
					31	65	4/2447		3		22
						97			7		13
							Total		18		47
							Rates 2		1-00		0-40
							Amount		18-00		21-80
					25	90	2577				12
					56	38	2464		1		13
							2592	3	6		
							Total	3	6	1	1
							Rates D+R	2-80	1-40	1-80	0-20
							Amount	7-80	7-80	1-80	0-20
							2309		4/2		
							Rates 2 d		1-00		
							Amount		4-80		
							Mrs. Jaffer				5 cents
			19		50-1	25-6	2758-7		2		
							American				12
							Syed Imam		4		
							2557				6
							Total		6		18
							Rates D+R		1-80		0-20
							Amount		9-00		2-30 (one rupee)

	Brought forward	302	10	0
Forest No. [illegible]	Mahomed Sahab Sb.	9	10	0
3 — 1665				
	do.	3	8	0
9 — 2543				
	do	7	10	0
3 — 12				
7 — 2594 + 2459.				
7496 — 2579 + 2954 + 2541				
nil — 2464				
	do	20	15	0
nil — 2492 (BM)				
170 — 9/2559				
86 — nil				
	do	16	6	0
117 — 2619				
	do	4	8	0
[illegible] — 2496 (BM)	do	0	5	0
[illegible] 2496				
6A — 1710 (BM)				
[illegible] — 2687 0				
[illegible] — 2758				
	do	11	13	0
	(Bal)	[illegible]		

								J.H.	J.D.	M.H.	H.D.	D.W.	[illegible]	[illegible]		
24 7/69	2	1	18	17	50	2692						11				
						2738						2				
					171	2560						4				
				103	164	2567						8				
						Stl						25				
						Rate per D						0-10				
						Amount						1-90				C
31 5/69	2	1	20	67	20	530	4									3/12
				113	127	647			4			4				
				116	161	563			2			10				
					190	744	3									
						Stl	7		6			6				3/12
						Rate per D & R	1-80		1-80			0-20				0-40
						Amount	17-80		9-00			0-120				0-40
					230		6									6
						631						5				
					53	510			3	2						
						Stl	6		3	2	5					6
						Rate D & R	1-80		1-80	0-40	0-10					0-40
						Amount	13-80		4-80	1-80	0-50					1-80
				66	263	782	6	6								
				146	390	707	10	-3								7
				92	268	811	1									
					215	784				2						
					214	740	2									
						Stl	19	3		2						7
						Rate	1-80	0-20		0-50						0-40
						Amount	35-40		1-00							1-120
7 8/69	2	1	29-2	5	120	2/365			5							
						Rate per Stl			1-80							
						Amount			7-80							

[illegible] — [illegible]	Brought forward	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
232 1944 (Blo)				
mil — 1/2549				
163 — 2726 (Blo)				
35 — 2444 (do)				
	Mahomed Sahar Sb	1	9	[illegible]
1/418 — 800				
[illegible] 8 — 516				
1/234 — 3866				
1/11 — 521				
	do	28	2	[illegible]
5 — 514				
1/153 — mil				
142 — 386 (Blo/Blo)				
	do	22	13	[illegible]
mil — 2/755				
421 — 804				
136 — 659				
12 561				
mil — 769				
	do	33	8	[illegible]
145 — 377				
	do	7	8	[illegible]
	Ahmad Ahmad Sb			

7 8/89	2	1	21-22	17	170	322		029
				25	150	1/377		1
						Total		029
						Rate 8%		180000
						Amount		180000
				2	77	306		5 3
				46	119	367		3 2 10
				40	121	368		5 7
						Total		13 220
						Rate 8%		180000
						Amount		180180280
4 9/89	1	2	2	15	8	1/42		2 at 1-8-0 for
				156	141	1408		1 at 1-4-0 for
				67		1398		½ at 3-8-0 for
13 16/89	2	2	6	93		1/1699		2 4
						Rate 8%		280140
						Amount		500500
6 11/89	1	2	6	99	Bil	1754		2 at Rs 3-8-0 for
				65	166	1824		4 at 3-8-0 for
				16	29	1667		2 at 3-8-0 for
20 11/89	2	2	6	48-9	263	1779		3 at 1-4-0
			7	11	78	2692		3 at 1-8-0 for 1 at 0-8-0 for
				Bill		2695		9 3
						Rate 8%		180000
						Amount		180240
				154	56	2668		3 2
						Rate 8%		180000
						Amount		3000
7 11/89	2	2	8					62
						Rate		180000
						Amount		0180

...ed Plan of Roads	Kanyth Pajaratan	198	3
125 – 3721.			
85 – 2/315			
	Mahamed Tahar S.G.	3	9
101 – 328			
nil – 3/315 & 346			
168 – 395 & 6			
	do	23	8
1/28 – 372	do	3	"
nil. – 1409	F C Rozario S.G.	1	"
	do	1	12
nil – 1699			
	do		
	[illegible]	10	"
167 – 184	do	7	"
75 – 1698	do	14	"
nil – 1668	do	7	"
126a	Mahamed Tahar S.G.	3	12
78 – 2690	do	5	"
18 – 988			
	do	15	12
5/1 – 6.64			
	do	4	"
nil – 47			
	do	11	4
	Carried over	308	12

*	27 11/09	2	2	9		2/22	1938	2 1/2	
						Nat D		100.0124	
						Amount		300/22	
	11 12/09	2	2	10	1524	160	2513	0	2% at 6 1-05 for Dr.
					191	23	2360		3 3 6
						Nat D		100.080	080
						Amount		300.080	080

Sr. 1	Kan 4 Door	Branch Jamabandi	305	12
122	1938			
		Mr. Tahir Sah	4	2
192 - 2486		do	2	8
1-1 - 2396				
		do	4	14
		Grand total Rs	320	4

Remarks

Encroachments or trespassers
Kan are for the same property Rs 693-12-10
encroachments due to their Khasra
been recorded against in Jamabandi 320-4-0
in 3 years.

Total Rs 1014-0-10 | 1014 | 0 | 10

Para 13

Suit for the arrear
of Khamasamer Rs 50-8-6
" Kharif S.C. Rs 283-7-6
" Rabi over S.C. Rs 587-4-5
" Ali Kan Surnam Rs 19-2-0
" Sarana Kan Salah Mastry Rs 61-1-4
" Mahant Dr. P. Tamasamiraj Rs 12-8-7

I not
Omissions in the list
been demolished, but demolish

J. H. J. V. R. H. R. V. A. H. B. & Co.

1	17 7/49	3	1	16	84	1	2057	0	0	0	2	3	
2					54	1/74	0	0	0	5	0		
3					73	1/83	0	0	0	1/2	1/2		
4					91	83	2015	0	0	3			
5				17	6	257	1909	0	0	3			
6					16	72	1722	0	0	3			
7					29	222	1873	0	4	0			
8					45	30	1674	0	0	3			
9					127	102	1752	0	0	0			
10					25	22 7/30	1121-3	0	8	0			
11					53	136	1785	3	4	3			
12					75	153	1803	6	0	1			
13					81	27	1671	1	1	3			
14					82	32	1676	1	0	0			
15					85	323	1116	0	3	0			
16					91	61	1711	0	0	0			
17					96	312	1775	0	1	0			
18					107	108	1758	0	0	1			
19					118	119	1/1769	0	0	3			
20					121	133	1782	0	0	1	1		
								21	28 7/12	77			

due to the bonus being actually

see ↑

[redacted]

21	17 2/89	3	1	17	131	195	1845-6				1			
22					135	220	"	"	"		2	"		
23					142-3	294	1753	"	3					
24					148	57	1709	"	"		2	"		
25					152	232	1852	"	"		2	2		
26					159	245	1895	"	"		0	1		
27					175	326	1120	2	4		"			
28					188	"	1879	"	"		1			
29	24 2/89	3	1	18	9	86	2593	"	3					
30					12	127	2619	"	"		4½	1	5	
31					16	67	1/2482	"	"		3	"		
32					19	58	3/2456	"	"		6	"	6	
33					20	100	2544	"	"		6	"	18	
34					28	46	2/2449	"	"		5	"	25	
35					33	39	2445	"	"		7	"	33	
36					96	110	2606	1	"		"	"	12	
37					34-5	57	2/2456	3	3		"	"	11	
38					99	"	2649	"	"		4	2	"	
39					39	41	2447	3	3		"	"	34	
40					48	28	2435	1½	3					
41					49	"	2464	"	"		7	"	17	
42					57	79	2/2588	"	"		2½	2	11	
43					60	146	"	"	"		1	"	17	
44					67	87	2577	"	"		3	"	16	
45					76	135	"	"	"		0	1	20	
46					81	51	2451	"	"		"	1	17	
47				19	5	67	2/2678	"	"		3	3	16	
48					13	153	2633	"	"		2½	"		
49					16	62	2681	4	"		3	"	4	
50					32	227	2471	"	"		2	"	14	
51					35	2	2731	"	"		2	"	17	
								14½	14		49½	13	293	

Bf	584	2	✓
	6	"	"
	12	"	"
	27	"	"
	12	"	"
	18	"	"
	2	8	"
	88	0	"
	5	"	"
	21	"	"
	26	14	"
	15	"	"
	32	4	"
	36	12	"
	34	6	"
	47	6	"
	22	8	"
	85	2	"
	37	"	"
	98	"	"
	54	"	"
	41	6	"
	21	10	"
	12	6	"
	26	"	"
	13	"	"
	8	14	"
	27	"	"
	12	8	"
	99	"	"
	15	4	
	16	"	"
C Bwr	15	4	

52	24	2/69	3	4	19	43	168	2572	1	4	2	4	4	4	2
53											1				
54						44	213	2502			3				
55						45	170	4/2559			1				
56						49	222	2496			2		5		
57						54	5	2729			3		18		
58						60	13	2740			1		4		
59						98/100		2723			2		16		
60						65	15	2747			2	1			
61						66	75	2687			4				
62						80		3007			6		5		
63						81	70	2685				1			
64						93	17	2/2685	1	1					
65	7	8/69	3	1	20	18	69	564			6				
66						40	186	710		2					
67						55	250	877		1					

C.L. Plan of Roof

B. 7

15	8	4
2	8	"
15	"	"
5	"	"
11	14	"
21	12	"
6	8	"
26	"	"
12	8	"
20	"	"
37	14	"
2	8	"
33	"	"
30		
16		
9		
787	14	

| | | |

B. 7

1787	12	2
12	0	0
27	0	0
199	0	0
22	8	0
30	8	0
96	0	0
9	8	0
40	8	0
90	0	0
9	0	0
76	0	0
139	0	0
116	0	0
138	6	0
81	0	0
27	0	0
80	0	0
52	8	0
60	0	0
177	0	0
41	8	0
27	0	0
79	0	0
27	0	0
26	8	0
15	0	0
3	6	0
9	13	0
3	12	0
11	4	0
17	8	0

									J.H.	J.V.	Z.H.	Z.V.	D.H.	D.V.	Oil		
99	7/07	3	2	21-22	33	151	364		.	.	3	0	1				
100					35	79	307		.	.	4	.	23				
101					38	107	335		.	.	.	3					
102					41	140	388		.	.	6	.					
103					42	155	388		.		.	2					
104					47	114	361		.	.	4	.					
105					53	185	377		.	.	6		20				
106					66	.	350		.	.	2	.	8	.			
107					67	7	401		15	.				.	10		
108					71	.	346		5	5	2½	.	.	.	2		
109					72-3	.	355		8	5	8	4	12	.			
110					75	165	349		.	.	7	.	16				
111					78	156	.		.	.	9	5	5				
112					79	103	330		.	.	5	1	11				
113					80	76	302		6	3	.	.		.	3		
114					81	1/123	369		.	.	2	.	5	.			
115					82	122	370		.	.	4	4					
116					86	.	1/566		6	.	3						
117					87	.	350		.	.	3	3	8				
118					88	.	1/350		.	.	4	.	3				
119					90	.	350		3	3	4	1	24				
120					97	85	2/315		.	.	1						
121				29/30	103	.	4497		.	.	½	.	3				
122					9	2/24	.		.	.	3	.	17				
123					35	79	766		3	.							
124	11/07	3	2	2	45	227	288		1	3							
125					67	.	1398		1½	.							
126					69	201	1177		8	3							
127					77	9	47		.	3							
128					91	137	1103		.	1							
									48½	26	81	24	155				

B.7

3528	5	
21		
28	10	
7	8	
56		
6		
20		
439	8	
13		
270		
167		
264	8	
53		
76	14	
35	10	
188		
16	5	
36		
153		
37		
29	2	
142		
5		
5	8	
28	5	
54		
50		
30		
30		
30		
5		
4124		

129	11 2/09	3	2	2	92		1835		2									
130					95	173	1452	3										
131					114	108	1365	4	2									
132					156	141	1408	1										
133					154	712	259	2										
134					198	375	725		1/2									
135					223	107	1366	3										
136					228	210	1501		1									
137					237		1027		2									
138					241	534	1582	2										
139					252-3 / 254	604	1115	1										
140					258	151		1										
141					262	151	1424	2										
142					267	267	1572-3	6	5									
143					268	51	135		3									
144					271	315	272	2										
145					299	466	906	2	2									
146					333	447	874	2										
147					367	454	887	2	6									
148					391	461	900		1									
149					416	581	2/1087	3										
150					428	1/540	1/1019		1									
151					~~444~~	~~618~~		~~Deceased~~										
152					462		1583		1									
153	25 2/09	3	2	3	56	184	3332		1									
154					61	167	3311	3										
155					66	6	426	4 1/2										
156					75-76	194	3343		1									
					89	130	1178		1									
								43 1/2	29 1/2	2								

157														
158	25 9/69	3	2	3	105	175	3319	3	1					
159					108	163	3305	1						
160					113	.	1/20				3			
161					114	.	535	.	1					
162				4	10	28	3111	.		3		11		
163					28	52	3087	.	.					31
164					33	29	1/3111	2	2					
165					52	240	3162	1	1					
166					63	109	3225	2	1	.	.	8		
167					74	78	.	1	.					
168					85	45	2/3164	2/2						
169					97	149	3487	1	4			6		
170					99	.	3156							
171	16 10/69	3	2	5	1	59	688		1					
172					2	64	678	2	2					
173					94	47	668	2	.					
174					97	330	1252	1	2					
175					112	.	616	2						
176					120	.	2/609	.	1					
177					124	.	[illegible]	.	(illegible)					
178					133	212	878	(illegible) 4						
179					143	20	632	.	1					
180					157	.	609	.	2					
181					161	346	1270	.	1					
182					181	.	667	1	.					
183	13 11/69	3	2	6	189	18	.	6	.		.	47		
184					6	2734	1732	.	.	.	.	.	.	
185					28	68	1711	.	1					
186					55	1/237	1890	1						
187					60	818	1703	2	1					
188					92	305	180	4	2					
189					95	.	1678	.	1	.	.	5		
190					96	30	1666	1	1					
191					133	.	1332	3						
								42½	27	3	2	77		

13 11/09	3	2	6	111		1796	1							
				114	2	217	3	4					2	
				142	120	2082	3							
				185	27-8	1668			1½					
				137	240	1853	3	3						
20 11/09	3	2	7	2	109	2723	2	2						
				18	234	2848	·	·	9				15	
				88	53	2665	·	3						
				95	·	2833	6	3					3	
				109	·	2822	·	½						
				154	56	2668	9	3					26	
				147	·	3131	3	1					7	
			8	21	147	1019	6	2						
				53	485	974					4			
				65	127	1367	·	½					20	
27 11/09	3	2	9	26	·	1962	·	1						
				32	·	2526	·	1						
				39 & 40	186	2015	2	2						
				53	22-23	130-2	2	·					12	
				60	88	270	1	2						
				64	156	1970	·	3						
				71A	184	2018	3	·	·		2			
				81	237	·		5						
				86	·	1949	·	2						
				45	238	·	2	·					6	
				49	124	1940	8	8						
				50	25	128	1	·					9	
				51	1/186	·	·	·	2				5	
				84	175	2003	2	1						
11 12/09	3	2	10	3	79	2427	·	·	2					
				56	99	2450	·	·	·		3			
				74	172	2529	·		·		1			
				76	86	2435	·	·	4					
				92	246	2576	·	·	·		1			
						1	57	[illegible]	[illegible]					

| | | |

B·7

9693	9	4
6	0	0
15	0	0
12	0	0
22	8	0
24	0	0
22	0	0
93	10	0
15	0	0
21	0	0
10	0	0
118	0	0
7	8	0
50	0	0
20	0	0
16	8	0
7	0	0
20	0	0
63	12	0
5	10	0
203	0	0
120	0	0
88	8	0
69	0	0
256	4	0
231	0	0
18	0	0
87	8	0
29	4	0
6	0	0
81	0	0
18	0	0
12	8	0
2	0	0

| | | |

		1125	1	
		22	0	0
		10	0	0
		15	0	0
		26	0	0
		5	0	0
		112	0	0
		11	14	0
		18	0	0
		9	0	0
		8	0	0
		12	0	0
		28	0	
		36	0	0
		9	0	0
		73	8	0
		5	4	0
		53	10	0
		102	8	0
		38	4	0
		152	4	0
		54	0	0
		32	8	0
		4	0	0
		25	0	0
		72	0	0
		54	0	0
for [illegible]		5	0	0
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]	
		4	8	0
		5	0	0
		49	8	0
		36	0	0
		1253	10	[illegible]

									J.H	J.V	M.H	M.V	m.v	B.J
286	1/10	2	2	31	20	11	13		3	1½			60	
287				27	°	20	423		3½	8			5	
288				28	0	164	2930		°	1	°	°	°	81
289	22 1/10	2	2(2)	44	1	36	454		6	2				
290					2	1/14	470		30	15	°	6		
291				46	12	40	205		3	2				
292					18	A/209	mil		°	3				
293					22	19	172-3		10	°		°	7	
294					38	156	mil		°	°	3	°	11	
295					40	144	934		°	°	2	°	11	
296					42	86	1490		°	1	4	3	16	
297					44	A/1	mil		2	2	°			
298				47	1	65	1220		4½	°	°		5	94 146
299					6	40	1195		2	1	°	°		
300					13	156	1141		°	°	°	1		
301					15	4	213		6	6	°	°	13	A
302					23	10	220		°	3	°	3	°	
303					32	1/45	1201		°	°	1			
304					34	45	1201		°	°	°	3	°	
305					35	133	°		°	°		3		
306					17	116	1093		°	6				
307					43	23	1178		2	2	°	°	10	
308					51	22	1177		°	°	4	1	29	
309					53	125	°		2	2	°			
310					56	124	1160		2	1		°		
311					60	24	1179		7	°	°	°	5	
312					63	101	A/1074		8	1				
313			48		4	1/7	234		4					
314					5	8	235		°	3				
315			50		2	18	345		2	4	°	°	12	
316					5	7	325		4	3	°	°	3	
								101	67½	14				

B.7

	1248	13	0
	97	8	0
	167	4	0
	179	6	
	140	0	0
	765	0	0
	72	0	0
	27	0	0
	203	8	0
	20	8	0
	15	8	0
	45	8	0
	54	0	0
	97	3	0
	45	0	0
Not accounted	2	8	0
	168	8	0
	34	8	0
	5	0	0
	7	8	0
	7	8	0
	54	0	0
	77	0	0
	37	0	0
	54	0	0
	45	0	0
	128	8	0
	159	0	0
	72	0	0
	27	0	0
	78	0	0
	10	0	0

317	19 1/10	2	3 (2)	56	9	62	1460	4	8					8
318				57	10	1/55	1454	2	2					
319					5	47 1/47			3					10
320					6	46	1446						1	13
321					9	58	1496	3	3					5
322					11	49	1448		5					1
323	12 3/10	3	4	64	156	34	872		3					4
324					157	46	856	9	3					16
325					159	75		5	6					
326					94	31	876	3	3					6
327					178	140	795	5	1					
328					199	17	890		1					6
329					202	78		1/2						
330					214	174		5	6					
331					215	45	857	3	6					20
332					221	217	655	3	3					
333					219	163	661	3	3					
334	19 3/10	4	3 (4)	39	10	69	857		1/2					
335					11	54	841	3						
336					42		830	6						
337					46	22		1/2						1
338				40	19	91	972			4				
339				40	2	7	884							
340					22	60	940	1		5				10
341					25	124	1008	1/2						
342					61		892-3	2/2	7					17
343				71	12	1/15			1					
344	24 3/10	3	5	89	18	27	nil						2/2	
345					35	5		3						16
6					37	61		14	2					81
7					37A	4		5						
8					45	16	363	2	8					

346	26 3/10	3	5	89	51	41	300			12						9		
356				81	7	309					5	3						
357					13	38					1	1						
358					20	334		12					12					
359					49	54		4										
360					54	319		4										
361					62	25					1							
362					70	428	92			1	1	1						
363					91	94	491	1										
364					96	63		2										
365					134	229	334	2½										
366					167	242	197					2	18					
367					168	78						2	6					
368					206	382						4						
369					219	218	249		3									
370					223	470					2							
371					251	371					1							
372					332	395					1							
373					383	170												
374					403	258					3	1						
375					405	255 + 207	~~207~~				4							
~~376~~																		
376					406	255 + ~~207~~	207				3	0	9					
~~377~~																		
378					425	127							30					
379					432	346					1							
380					546	278	247	4	3									
381					576	364.5	115.6	4										
382					578	130	416	13½	3									
383					2	429			3									

375	25 3/10	3	5	87	77		293					
2											1	
376					78	315	279			3		

	19203	3	6
	2		
	15		
Grand Total	19220	3	6
Grand Total Rs	19220	3	6
	[illegible]	[illegible]	
	2[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

Inst. No.

Bin number in the hot explosion

					Alpha	Omega	Number	JA	JB	JK	HJK	V	B-W	B-W Ca
1	$17\frac{7}{09}$	3	1	17	27	283	1745	.	.	3				
2					48	268	.	.	.	5				
3					79	23	1665	.	.	3	1			
4					150	135	1784	2	.	5	.			
5					153	45	1688	.	.	.	1			
6					157	.	1725	2	.	.	1			
7					208	112	1762	.	.	1/2	.			
8	$24\frac{2}{09}$	3	1	18	2	87	2574	.	.	4	.			
9					4	18	12	.	.	5	.			
10					27	99	2543	.	.	3	.			
11	$17\frac{8}{09}$	3	1	20	24	421	8024	15	2	5	.			
12					18	69	564	.	.	6	.			
13					70	72	561	.	.	.	2			
14					74	8	516	.	.	.	4			
15					106	1/48	800	4	.	.	7			
16					119	299	833	2	.					
17					149	2/155	"	6	6					
18					157	136	657	1	.					
19					159	1/110	3866	.	.	2				
20					166	5	514	6	.	.	6			
21					173	1/11	521	3		.				
22				21 & 22	8	85	3/315	.	.	3	2			
23					18	142	380	.	.	3	2			
24					20	168	395-6	.	.	5	0	7		
25					23	1/125	372	.	.	3	3	12		
26					83	1/128	392	.	.	2				
27					26	101	328	.	.	5	.	9		
28	$11\frac{2}{09}$	3	2	2	15	141	1408	1	.					
					146	142	1409	2	.					

...vements for demolition having been received 2			
...ed Plan & Doc. No.			
341 — ml	18	0	0
260 — ml	30	0	0
134 — ml	20	8	0
Doc 1/1667 & 1662	61	0	0
Plan 33 — 1677	3	0	
ml — 1724	53	0	0
213 — 1803	3	0	0
ml — 2462	21	0	0
86 — 2456	22	4	0
N.B. not given in M Book	27	12	0
390 — 907	290	10	0
— 734	30	0	0
ml — 734	8	14	0
ml — 861	32	8	0
ml — 530	72	0	0
— 740	36	0	0
— 782	150	0	0
699	18	0	0
563	10	0	0
530 — ml	120	0	0
— 744	58	0	0
— 367	20	0	0
— 810	20	0	0
368 — ml	27	10	0
392 — ml	25	8	0
1/42 — ml	12	0	0
306 — ml	32	10	0
ml — 1467	20	0	0
	30	0	0

									J.H.	J.V.	K.H.	B.P.	B.V.
30	11 2/9	3	2	2	94	1/420	405		2	.		.	.
31	20 11/9	3	2	8	76	18	988		9	3			
32	91 12/9	3	2	10	125	142	2486		.	.	2½	.	8
33					160	.	2376		.	.	3	3	3
34	1 1/10	2	2	31	31	27	3308		3	3	.	.	15
									14	6	5½	5	24

Left
Not when
Not when
Pass 29
Green

[illegible]		1274	14
477 - 225		50	0
263 - 1777		189	12
160 - 2513		18	0
23 - 2362		27	12
37 - [illegible]		88	8
	Grand total Dr	1648	14
	Total Trust Funds	20569	1

Sales of Dr

Date of sale	Amount realisable	Amount realised
5-6-04	217	219 9
12-6-04	315 3	261 2
From 11-6-04 to 22-6-04	777 9	775 11 9
5-8-05	257 11 6	107 6
31-10-05	272 12 2	121 1 9

The bills of [illegible]

[illegible]	37-8-0	48	10
[illegible]	8-5-0		
[illegible]	1-5-0		
[illegible]	1-8-0		
[illegible]	46-5-0	54	1
[illegible]	2-8-0		
[illegible]	5-4-0		
The bills not known and is a mistake in the total [illegible] 5-2 [illegible] [illegible] Rs 125-2-0		[illegible]	5
[illegible] Rs 125-7-0			
[illegible]	2-5-6		
[illegible] Chetta	16-5-0		
[illegible] Mathu	8-5-6		
[illegible] Venkata	9-7-0		
[illegible] Muniappa	9-7-0		
[illegible]	1-8-0		
[illegible] Sidda	19-0-0		
[illegible] Sidda	0-3-0		
[illegible] Mara	24-0-0	220	11
[illegible]	4-4-0		
[illegible]	91-0-0*		
[illegible]	10-8-0		
[illegible]	14-5-0		
[illegible]	16-[illegible]-0		
[illegible]	0-1-0		
[illegible]	7-1[illegible]-0		
[illegible]	1-2-0		
[illegible]	4-8-0		
[illegible]	0-13-9		

* These have been separated [illegible] from his bill dated 25-7-05

		19-8-05	199 9 6	101 3 6
		17 4/8-3-06	156 5 6	150 1 6
		18 4/19-8-06	401 . .	379 6 . .
		12 4/13-8-06	606 . 6	6012 6 .
		2-12-06	135 12 .	129 3 6
		19-11-06	154 . .	133 11 . 2
		4-9-06	179 4 6	178 8 6
		9-9-06	177 8 .	172 . .
		14-10-06	167 9 .	150 7 .
		18-9-06	92 7 6	78 4 6
		26 4/27-8-06	465 13 6	410 9 6
		13-1-07	189 . 0 6	178 7 6
24 9/09		7-7-07 to 18-8-07	1336 5 6	1224 8 .
2 10/09		21-4-07	180 8 9	166 10 9 1.
		28-4-07	90 5 6	69 6 6 / 6 7 . 2 -
		22-4-07	167 8 6	82 4 6 / 5 7 . 8 -
		21-11-07	48 1 .	35 . . 13
		19-12-07	196 7 .	162 7 . / 10 11 . 3. - 1
		6-2-08	98 13 .	59 3 . 3

Carried forward 5-3-9			
" " " 132-2-0 has been adjusted from			
his bill	151	10	6
	98	6	6
Table not known. The correct			
1/3 of the total bill is 156-5-6	6	4	0
not 150-1-6 as entered			
	21	10	0
Table not known. The total			
of the bills amounts to 350-3-0	4	15	0
255-10-6 but the amounts			
added are 350-3-0 and 254-15-6			
the Manageffa 4-00			
	6	8	6
	20	5	0
Black 1-6-0	1	6	0
	5	8	0
	17	2	0
	14	3	0
	53	4	0
	10	9	0
	111	13	6
	13	14	0
	14	8	0
	79	3	0
	13	1	0
	22	6	0

8-3-08 63 10 . 60
5-5-07 155 8 . 128
12-5-07 174 8 . 159
19-5-07 215 63 186

		3	10
		2	1
		14	8
		28	14

1	27 3/09								Documents
2	29 5/09								Bill for measure
									12-6 55 in favor of
									Bill in favor of
									for purchase
									Data in L
3	3 2/09	1	1	16	5A	14	2051		Michelotti not
4					48	30	m l		do
5	10 2/09	1	1	17	63	29	1673		do
6	24 7/09	1	1	18	101		2459		Abdul Kerim Bi
7				19	21	7	2/2945		Mustafa Beki not
8	31 7/09	1	2	20	145	417	799		do
				21	146	390	902		do
					153	392	909		do
					158A				do
					189				do
	7 8/09	1	1	21½	94 95				do
	14 8/09	1	2	2	1	707	1254		do
					77	47	9		do
	21 8/09	1	2	2	114	108	1365		do
					125	153	1429		do
					147	144	1413		do
					274	275			do
					357	551	1834		do
	28 8/09	1	2	2	367	454	887		do
					378	658			do
					403	348	706		do
					408	349	707		do
					409	350	708		do
					412	349	2/1457		do
					474		1174		do
					486	206	459		do
					487	91	481		do
					489	366	720		do

	334	1
	5	
	20	
	25	
	15	
	59	15
	18	
	154	
	150	
	60	
	475	
	10	
	14	
	15	
	150	
	573	
	130	
	140	
	229	4
	112	
	345	
	554	
	116	
	154	
	250	
	140	
	135	
	135	
	140	

28 8/69	1	2	2	490	340	997	Muchalitis	
				492	647	1175		
				420	578	1087		
				424	355	"		
				427	332	"		
				445	499	952		
11 9/69	1	2	3	27	189	33-37		
25 9/69	1	2	4	20	24	37-41		
				78	118	3141		
				100	112	3168		
2 10/69	1	2	5	1	59/45	~~[illegible]~~ 68		
				18	287	1199		
				19	295	1208		
				37	~~[illegible]~~ 237	965 906	Muchalitis	
				77	668	647-9		
				98	"	947		
				141	Meant Cus			
16 10/69	2	2	6	13	9	228		
6 11/69	2	2	6	58	302	1187		
				65	66	1692		
				105	116	1730		
				113	71	1693		
				131	"	1769		
				172	119	1536		
20 11/69	1	2	7	18	234	2848		
				37	42	2654		
				61	190	"		
				92	31	2306		
				104	5	261		
			8	21	47	1019		
				22	128	1368		
				32	113	1352		
27 11/69	1	2	9	5	155	975		
				8	21	1264		

10	75	0	0
	263	0	0
	165	0	0
	65	0	0
	257	0	0
	4000		
20	177	2	0
	64	0	0
	400	0	
	150	0	
40			
	212	0	0
	200	0	0
	190	0	0
	157	0	0
	127	8	0
	500	0	0
	96	0	0
	88	4	0
	6	0	
	12	0	
	7	0	0
	26	0	0
	186	0	0
	261	4	0
	187	0	0
	181	0	0

27/11/09	1	2	9	30	147	1967	Muchalika i Ag	
				46	0	1947		do
4/12/09	1	2	10	135	82	2201		do
25/12/09	1	1	21-22	55	12	257		do
				60	29	268		do
			24	0	150	202		do
				0	0	96		do
			23	0	27	0		do
					(1)			do
				20	33	346		do
				28	34	347		do
				33	32	0		do
			27	0	2	246		do
			28	55	148	0		do
8/1/10	1	3	45	18	19	0		do
15/1/10	1	3	48	142	247	0		do
				6	2	226		do
				10	14	0		do
			49	25	112	613		do
			50	5	7	325		do
			56	2	62	1462		do
				4	68	1433		do
			58	1	3	14		do
29/1/10	1	4	62	30	0	262		do
				34	95	636		do
				38	33	106		do
				39	0	68		do
			60-62	111	69	1708		do
				110	17	415		do
				103	214	296		
				90	209	291		
				87	168	1709		do
				86	73	1704		do
			59A	8	0	1710		do

104	0	0
108	0	0
75	0	0
110	5	4
120	0	0
65	0	0
30	0	0
100	0	0
65	0	0
18	0	0
6	0	0
160	0	0
435	0	0
202	0	0
7	0	0
112	0	0
65	0	0
100	0	0
105	0	0
150	0	0
280	0	0
16	0	0
160	0	0
50	0	0
348	0	0
440	0	0
177	0	0
526	3	0
470	0	0
77	0	0
650	0	0
300	0	0
80	0	0
30	0	0

29 1/10	1	4	57A	9	73	1691	Muschelkalk. Dr.
			55 1/2	11A	40	28	— Do
				1	38	38	— Do
				4	41	27	— Do
				5	37	31	— Do
				6	29	39	— Do
				13	14	24	— Do
				14	45	29A	— Do
			57B	14	165	152	— Do
				29	"	1685	— Do
				45	"	551	— Do
		445	81	21	1/333	"	— Do
				28	497	"	— Do
				92	83	463	—
5 2/10	1	4	64	133	54	848	— Do
				159	75	"	— Do
				161	44	857	— Do
				89	185	739	— Do
				166	1/171	"	— Do
				176	156	802	— Do
				178	140	795	— Do
				200	1/137	808	— Do
				214	174	"	— Do
				216	"	686	— Do
				216	"	~~Do~~	— Do
				220	92	733	— Do
				221	217	655	— Do
19 2/10	1	4	36	11	227	478	— Do
				22	204	392	— Do
				23	165	361	— Do
				27	188	307	— Do
				35	193	386	— Do

30		
350		
150		
850		
800		
380		
525		
500		
280		
1550		
22	12	
152		
350		
92		
95		
100		
113		
450		
460		
540		
300		
237		
142		
154		
210		
38		
85		
52		
25		

	19 21/10	1	Maple	36	75	1/18	266	Mechalatka
					111-12	38	290	—
	23 2/10	1	— do —	38	10	53 5/12	203 2/3	—
					50			
					50			—
					50			
					51			
		1	Jalapa	"	A	3	"	—
					B	2	"	—
					C	1	"	—
					3	Same as 177 & 178		—
					5	— do —		—
			Maple	42-43	9	87	623	—
					10	54	"	—
			Hollow Holgo	81	568 & 559	407 165	136 404	
					597	406	"	—
					598	419	"	—
					2	429	"	—
					17	114 & 128	nil	—
					51	282	251	—
					55	1/386		—

446	0	0
47	12	0
30	8	0
157	4	0
259	0	0
119	8	0
8		0
14	0	0
22	0	
682	8	0
77	8	0
60	0	0
21	0	0
304	3	4
7	4	8
7	0	0
119	8	0
601	0	0
278	5	4
22	0	0

24-7-10

Highway Improvement Act Board

Constitution (2)

[illegible]

		Bills not forthcoming	No. I
24th October 1908		Bill dated 22-11-05 in favour of Yagappa	
—do—	10	Bill from Gyana Pookaram for Rs 3-12-0, paid on 15 June 1907 for supplying 10 lbs of grease	Will
31st October 1908	4	Bill for payment made to Superintendent of Alipore Jail 3-9-7 vide cash book voucher No 72 ~~dated~~ —do— 4-12-9 — — 91	The bill for
7th November 1908	5	A claim amounting to Rs 2468-13-8 from the Superintendent Industrial School, Vizag was paid after deducting a sum of Rs 65-10-0 from this amount. No regular bills from the Industrial School are forthcoming	The fitting on works and actual requ filled to wo it is believ School
—do—	7	Rs 5-14-0 charged in January 07 to Jalapur Extension as D A and no nominal roll is forthcoming	Will b
14th November 1908	17 & 18	Bill for the pay of temporary mustrics for Rs 28-12-8 and Rs 8/- respectively are not forthcoming	—do—

	for audit				
			313	1	10
s for		Should be traced and found	3	12	
being Searched		The bills must be found	8	6	4
unpaidly required		The Re Engineer remarks that			
entered as per		the following items, were fixed			
and issued and		by the Industrial School is not			
following were		understood. A clearer explanation			
ed by the Industrial		may be got and reported	2405	2	8
ed for		The N.R should be traced and found	5	14	
Do		To be traced	36	12	8

26th December '08	1,2 & 4	Superintendent of Myson Jail Bills for Rs 3-9-7 and 4-13-0 paid in September '08 are not forthcoming. The following bills paid in October '08 are not forthcoming - Narasingapuram Temporary machery 28-00	Ben.
		Hazi Dudda Hussain Sait 6-00.	
		Bill for Rs 119-1-1 paid to Mr C Campbell in November '08 is not forthcoming	
— do —	12	Bills for Rs 12+8 pay of Narasingapuram and Tampurasa Sampigi mentioned, paid in April '05 are not forthcoming.	— do —
— do —	14 & 15	Bills for temporary machines for Rs 20 are not available	Since gone
		— do — Narasingapuram for Rs 15/ } — do —	Probably Chairman's office have been
		— do — Kumaravamappa 10/ }	Searched
— do —	18	A bill for Rs 2-9-3 paid in September 08 to Kamappa watchman of Standards filter is not forthcoming	by S D O & the and the D.C Sahib a Man

...shed for	The bills should be shown to the Auditor	181	13	9
— do —	— do —	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
...may be in the ...bargement was	May be got from the Chairman's office and shown to the Auditor	45	[illegible]	[illegible]
... It was pas... ...with Hair Dyes ...d Checked by	The bill may be shown to the Auditor	2	9	3

26th December '08	20	Rs 5 Pay of Abude watchman for January '06 — bill seems to have been made out (Paid in February '06).	The Serv were dispe hence his temporary
— do —	23+24	A write back for Rs 13-12 being the value of materials transferred from Boulton's Stoneware Pipes should have been prepared, but this is not forthcoming	It
		Bill of Moti Mungya for Rs 7+8	Ben
		— do — Venkatrayla Rs 6-5	
9th January 1907	2	The Aryan Industrial School bill for Rs 6-5 paid in Sept. 07 is not forthcoming	These School
23rd January 1907	21	Bills for Rs 6 and Rs 4-4-2 paid in October and December '06, to Ranoji watchman are not forthcoming	Will
6th March 1909	1, 2, 4 of 5	Formation of Stat Boundaries 1 Bill for measurements date 18-10-04 in favour of Rajaram for Rs 200-0-0 is not forthcoming	
		2 Bill for payment of Rs 4-8-0 to Nomaiya being balance of contract is not forthcoming	Will
		3 Nominal Roll for Rs 11 paid in March '05	
		4 — do — 22-13-0 April '05	
		5 — do — 59-7-6 May '05	
		6 — do — 39-12-6 } June '05	
		7 — do — 5-0-0 }	

Watchman from 1st June '[illegible] omitted in the Statement	How the payment was made without a bill may be explained	5	0	0
Returning	Not shewn to the Auditor	13	10	2
ked for	May be shewn to the Auditor	13	0	0
bills of Indictment 191 & 236	May be shewn to the Auditor	6	0	0
ched for	The bills should be found out and shewn to the auditor	10	4	2
ched for	The documents may be found and shewn to the Auditor for Check	142	9	0

13th March 1909	142	Bill for Rs 152-8-3 paid to Dudda Hassim last in July '05 for materials is not forthcoming	Will
—do—	5	Measurement No 3 page 142, it seem that removing and shifting of 1560 Rft of old 3" pipes have been billed for The accounts do not shew how these pipes were accounted for. The accounts do not shew how these pipes were accounted for and no correspondence on the subject is lais the traceable	1908 R water s and wha there is was has by S.
—do—	6&4	Bill for Rs 21-1-0 paid for temporary establishment in November '05 Bills for Rs 12 for October 05 paid on 9-12-05 —do Rs 12 for November 05 — 12-12-05 —do Rs 12 —do— do —do Rs 17-11-3 paid in May 05 Write back arrears for May '06 Bills for Rs 17-2-0 paid in June '06 and Nominal Roll for Rs 19-12-0 charged in July '06 for cleaning drains.	Will
—do—	14	Bill for Rs 24 paid in Sept '06 and also bill for Rs 21-6-5 charged in October '06	—
	16	Bill for Rs 18-9-4 paid on December '06	
	17	—do— 4-13-8 in July 07	

s for	The bill should be found out and shewn to the Auditor	
been laid for	Returns of expenditure of the Municipality for 552 Rft of 3" pipes may be shewn to the Auditor	
sewer extension of utilities		
sewer to shew to the Municipality		

13th March 1909	18	No bill is forthcoming for the payment of Rs 2/14/- made to Industrial School, Myson in Sept '07	Bill
	19	Bill for Rs 2-13-2 paid to Mattoni in Sept '05	Will
20th March 1909	5	Counterfoil from Bunichi Walla Bill for Rs 5 paid in March '07 to Messrs Theobald and Brothers is not forthcoming	The bi with the He is un
— do —	7 & 8	Bill dated 26-4-07 in favour of Dingy Jowa for Rs 487-13-0 A sum of Rs 559-3-6 including arrears of Rs 71-6-6 is shown to have been paid to the Contractor. No voucher seems to have been obtained. Bill for Rs 570-15-0 dated 27-6-07 in favour of Dingy Jowa No voucher forthcoming	Acquis to obtai —
27th March 1909	2	Bills for the following payments are not available Varavaya — Re 1 Jawaraya — Rs 7 No II Drainage area	These are
3rd April 1909	1	Bill dated 25-3-1905 for Rs 116-4-0 is not available	The bill is
17th April 1909	6	Bill dated 14-12-05 in favour of Manikyahan is not forthcoming	will be Will be

ll file . The	Shew the bill to the Auditor	
7/.		
ed for	The bill may be found and shewn to the auditor	
als Brothers as	The bill may be got from the	
ernment of Ohio	Superintendent and shewn to the	5
turn the bill file	Auditor	
ean well then to		
ehen	Vouchers should be obtained early	
inman's payments	Extract of this will be sent to the Chairman	
s by the Chairman	The bill may be found and	116 4
ns for	shewn to the Auditor	
rade 5 for	do	

1st May 1909	11	Bill dated 19-4-06 in favour of Jayaffa for Rs 29-2-4 is not forthcoming	Will
		Bill for Rs 154-7-0 in favour of Linganaccam	The fo Chairma
8th May 1909		Details for and document in support of the following payments not forthcoming	
		July '06 Bill dated 14-6-07 for Bells 00	All.
		Sept '06 — do — 25-8-06 Rs 57-8-0	of demand
		— do — 83-12-0	carried on
		Labour 11-4-0	orders of.
		October '06 Bill Men 46-4-0.	
		Labour 0-8-0	
		November '06 — 10-10-06 Rs 21-5-0	
		Labour 1-4-0	
		December '06 - 10-10-06 0-8-0	
		222-5-0	
— do —	3	July '06 Nominal Roll 2-4-0	Will
		August '06 — do — 2-4-0	
15th May 1909	2	Payment of 18-10-8 to headman Lachapu Rambix C B Vonshan No 123 October '04	—
	8	Nominal Roll for 11-4-0 and bill for Rs 5-8-0 paid to Messrs Mackold Brothers	
	9	Write back orders for Rs 30-15-1, 24-1-0 and 14-4-6	
— do —	13	The Nominal Roll showing the attendance of the 46 Coolies	

Paid for	Bill may be found and shown to the Auditor	29	2	4
Expense on Chaise	Extract sent to the Chairman &c	154	7	0
Bill for the work of masonry done in a to direct the erection and paid for	Extract sent to the Chairman	222	5	0
Bill paid for	May be shown to the Auditor	6	8	0
	do			
	do			

		Construction No. II Drainage &c.	
22nd May, 1909	1	The following documents are not forthcoming	
		Weekly Cover for April 07 Rs 5-00	Will be
		—do— June '07 3-8-7	
	2	Bill for Rs 6 pay of Jamadar Khan paid in July '07	This is Chai
—do—	4	Bills for the following payments are not forthcoming	
		August '07 Sale notes amounting to Rs 16-0-0 issued by the Industrial School	
		September '07 Bill from the Industrial School 6-00	
		Nov. 07 Bill of Ramzan Billing Rs 5-10-4	
		Bill for pay of Ramzan 4-00	
		December 07 Bill for pay of Ramzan 5-8-0	
		—do— —do— Quality 15-00	Will be
		—do— Advance 17-6-9	
		February 08 Bill from Messrs Cooke & Co 28-12-0	
		April '08 —do— pay of Sholan 6-0-0	
		—do— Ramzan 7-00	
		June '08 Nominal Roll 1-4-0	
—do—	9	Bill dated 23-7-07 for Rs 40-15-11 contains a claim for Rs 3-12-0 wages of Recoolies at 0-5-0 Rs Nominal Roll is not forthcoming	The coole the Contractor was billed fo
23rd May, 1909	1	Construction A Course & Septic tank in No V R	
		Bill dated 25-5-09 for Rs 35-00 for supplying plugs is not forthcoming	Will be
	3	N Roll for Rs 3-6-0 paid in August '07	
	5	Weekly Nominal Roll authority for direct debit of value of materials & labour of both in May & June 08 are not forthcoming	

...shed for ...ment	May be shown to the auditor Report sent to Chairman	8	8	7
...shed for	May be shown to the auditor			
...u employed by ...so the item ...ho farm and feed	The N. Nott of the coolies that were actually employed ought to have been maintained	3	12	0
...ro Area ...ashes for				
9				
9d				

29th May 1909	7	Bill for Rs 900 paid in January '08 to Messrs A Gow for 107 barrels of cement is not forthcoming	Will
	8	No adjustment of arrears of Rs 5-10-0 on 11.05.'07 Voucher was not obtained	Voucher
	19	Voucher for Rs 400-1-8 adjusted on account of value of house sales from Linga Sowa's bill dated 28-2-08 is not forthcoming	—
	17	Voucher for Rs 2443-14-8 adjusted on account of value of house sales from bill dated 23-4-07	
	20	The following documents:— April '07 Sale notes issued by Sadashiv Salad 67-5-0 August '06 — do — 188-8-0 — do 07 — do — 11-1-4	
5th June 1909	9	The following documents are not forthcoming March '05 Salam Accounts Rs 32-0 May '05 G. Subbaiya Rs 10-10-3 Dr. Hogg Dasappa 3-0-0 April Khan & Sons 5-8-0 Pay of Watchman 10-8-0 Siddappa 192-0-0 Neebalo & Brothers 8-8-0.	Will be
	17	Work back Attock 185-11-9 Pay of Watchman 11-0-0 Bhulam Sah 5-2-9. Establishment 26-0-0	

...ched for ... now be obtained	These documents may be shown to the Auditor		
	If by Sale notes have received how was the accuracy of the claim performed by the School verified		
...ched for	Should be shown to the Auditor		

19th June 1909	2	Documents not forthcoming March 1905 } W. B. Swaan 0-4-0 June 1905 } D. A 9-2-0 Jan. 1907 } Jamshedji Meerchy 8-0-0 Bill dated 15-7-05 for Rs 261-15-0 Contains a claim for 1302 cart loads of earth and debris carted at 0-6-0 a cart load. There is no nominal roll or other document in support of the charge 5 March '06 Materials debited to work Rs 1200-11-6 W. B. & Dodabhoy 19-9-7 June '06 Materials debited to work 97-11-5 849 A. Roll for 8-14-6 July '06 Palace Durbar Exp. Expenses 9-3-0 November '06 Materials debited to work 388-12-4 Surveying the town of Alipore 114 Documents in support of the following are wanting June '04 W. B. & Co 131-8-0 March '04 Abdul Vahab 3-6-0 May '04 D. A 1-15-0 June '04 W. B. & Stock 46-6-6 July '03 Laba 2-3-0 November '06 ——— 0-10-0	Bill
28th June 1909		The following documents are wanted Construction Tools and Plant March '04 Pampa protein Rs 21-1-0 July '04 Mean Rechandas Rs 875-0-0

Being Bills paid

3rd July 1907 | 1,375 / 6

The following bill and documents should be shown

June '02	Ramadara	Rs 11-0-0
	Nagbaccha	8-5-0
	Ramachari	450-4-0
July '02	Nagbaccha	9-13-6
	Nagappachari	451-0-0
August '02	Messrs Spencer & Co	9-11-0
November '02	Bill from Exe Engineer Mysore	30-0-0
	Messrs Arbuthnot & Co	28-10-0
	Theobald & Co	15-0-0
December '02	Municipality for 2 years	20-1-0
January '05	Messrs Oakes & Co	3-12-0
July '05	Auditor M S Ry & S M R	12-14-0
November '05	Ex Engineer Mysore Division	3-0-0
	Haji Dawa Hassan Saib	13-8-0
April '05	Messrs Power & Sons	25-0-0
June '05	Ex Engr W.S Div	85-13-4
August '05	Mr Dove	8-0-0
	Manickpachari	105-8-0
	Dasappa	36-8-0
July '05	S D. Nathaib	11-12-0
October '05	Messrs Spencer & Co	24-11-0
November '05	Arbuthnot & Co	35-12-0
December '05	Ex Engr Mysore Division	0-6-0

Some [illegible] file [illegible] Pagore [illegible] for [illegible]

Some are explained
the chairman's
paper being sealed to him
for

Documents not seen
by the Auditor should be shown
to him.

Extracts of Chairman's
payments will be sent to him

30th July 1909	1,3,5 & 6	March '06 Meekalchotta	4 — 0 — 0	Some of expla[illegible] Chairma[illegible] being [illegible]
		Richardson & Cruddas	33 — 6 — 0	
		—— do ——	27 — 14 — 0	
		Manikyachari	9 — 0 — 0	
		May '06 W B Water Supply	1 — 15 — 0	
		Details not known		
		March '07 Ponnuswamy Pillai	30 — 0 — 0	
		—— do ——	12 — 0 — 0	
		May '07 Sayad Ismehsen	4 — 14 — 0	
		Abba Abdul Shakur	8 — 8 — 0	
		Oct '07 Hebbarch	17 — 0 — 0	
		B. V. Narsimlan	17 — 15 — 0	
		Hebbarch	42 — 8 — 1	
		November '07 Deorsh	65 — 5 — 0	
		Puttegowda	14 — 4 — 0	
		Siva Cart hire	0 — 4 — 0	
10th July 1909	1	Tools and Plant		
		October '04 Bill for 6 Lanterns		
		June '04 Bill for 108 manholes &c		
		August '04 Bill for Automatic flushing Syphon	6 — 5 — 0	Being
		—— do —— for Stoneware pipes Rs 4 — 3 — 0		
		Sept '04 2915 Cement Pipes 6"		
		920 do pipes 4"		
		as receipts but Mr Chambers omitted in A. Form Register		
		Bill from Messrs Mitchell & Co	3129 — 0 — 0	This is not [illegible]
—— do ——	1	November '04 Bill from Messrs Burn & Co	493 — 8 — 0	Being [illegible]
		December '04, do for 10 bags Pig lead	10 — 1 — 0	This must be [illegible]
		February '05 —— 12 weight Dumbbells	——	Being
		March '05 40 casks of Cement	——	
		March '05 648 Stoneware pipes	——	
		40 barrels Cement	——	
		Cudapa Slabs	——	
		29 Wooden windows	——	

are either ments or	Documents not seen by the Auditor shall be shown to him. Extracts of Chairman's payments will be sent to him.
ched for	To be shown to the Auditor
Expenses payment d for thing for carriage carried for d	To be shown to the Auditor

24 7 10

Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

Appendix B

Correspondence

(Letters over notes)

(a) Page

e 1 I Drainage

1	26th September 1908	5.	Final Bill dated 25-10-05 & 20-11-05 in favour of Pillappa Mallappa & Hanumaraney Depo for Rs 1305-13-8 The total quantity of final measurements recorded for machine formed kutta mortar work in measurement book Nos 19 & 21 pp 13 & 14, 576 respectively is 60336 cft and not 60341 as in the book but the bills are paid for up to date as 60641 cft at 0-4-3 per cft of the revised rate. Thus the over payment is 308 cft at 0-4-3. Vouchers for the adjustment of short and miscellaneous advance adjusted in the above bill for Rs 195-13-0 have not been forthcoming. Clerk & Vakil-ashalaya checked the bills with the measurement book
2	5th December 1908	no been measted	Bill dated 8-1-05 in favour of Karampu Dyava for Rs 196-11-1 earth cutting has been paid for in excess by 63 cft [illegible]
3	25th December 08	7	Cast iron flushing syphons debited to "Flushing Syphons"

The fo
and accou
the amo
compare
The amo
corrected
and the bill
paid the ba
should have been
office as
the payment
- ment an
correction
seem to have
been made
closing acc
& Deposits
up to recei
was not obta
of the Clerk

Abstract

These have
taken to

The Lines of Pass to the Board

was Checked by Cashier Sabbatian who detected this my 6034 was ment prepared by time 8/16/07-12-2 was not comparative Statement to the Chairman who all appears wrongly ected in the Chairman's tates as otherwise, e comparative State- have been 6034 the reconvo bills also owed but as there ors. At the time of amount was presented tractors did not turn ment hence voucher may be in the office o paid the bill...	Steps should be taken to recover the amount at an early date. The last divisional officer may be called upon to explain the circumstances under which this overpayment occurred.	80	7	9	✓
ulation will be agenda	Notes agreement awaited	7	14	8	✓
ned and will be	Notes	36	7	9	
		12	28	2	

			No I Drainage	area.
4	3rd April 1909	Notes on measts	Bill dated 1st to 12th July 06 in favour of Messrs Mallowney and Jackman. The Contractors have been paid for 8 Rft at Rs 4-8-0 per Sqr = Rs 0-12-11 which is overpayment	A measts Slab Bridge
5	10th April 1909	1	Bill dated 1st to 12th July 06 in favour of Mallowney and Jackman for Rs 3850-15-2. A rate of 6 pies per Rft was agreed upon for recutting the old slab stones in mortar, pointing the joints. The Engineer allows 2 pies for cutting. Thus the rate payable was 8 pies against 9 pies paid for 8897 Rft	The fou
6	10th April 1909	2	In the above final bill 15096 Rft of slabs have been used in the work of 17972 Rft removed. It is not apparent how the difference of 2076 Rft has been used	Proba area a
7	— do —	Notes on measts	Bill dated 20-1-08. The total quantity of earth work comes to 2229 and the Contractor has been paid for 2233 cqs thus for 4 cqs he has been overpaid at 0-2-0	Thus the Cont
8	17th April 1909	2	Difference between 19928 Rft of slabs removed and 10727 Rft recut	Please c during for
9	— do —	2 & 3	Bill of M Sivappa dated 18-12-05 for 66-4-6. In machine ground lime mortar, pointing, the contractor has been overpaid for 5 Rft 5 at 0-4-0 = Rs 1-4-0. Bill of B Sivappa dated 1-6-07 for Rs 265-11-7. The contractor has been overpaid for 216 Rft at 0-0-8 + 0-0-6 for recutting with mortar joints Rs 9-0-0 } 9-8-0. 2 Silk Trapes at 0-8-0 charged 0-8-0 }	Will Contract Never mind Claims recover

			1924.	1922.	
	deduction in the	The overpayment should be recovered and adjusted	—	12 4	
	allowed for carting	Was sanction been obtained to pay the high rate	46	5 5	✓
	in No. II Drainage other works &c.	What account is maintained of slabs removed from old drains? What is the reasonable percentage of wastage? The slabs unaccounted for hence old may be fairly valued at 0/6 per Rft.	129	12	✓
	or be recovered from	Amount 2 may be recovered and adjusted	—	8	✓
	bly to para 2 of the ending 10th April 1909	Vide remarks on the reply Rate may be fixed at 0/1/0 per Rft	575	1 0	✓
	covered from the a clerical error	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted			
	rection will be bill as the contractor yet settled and	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted	10	12	✓

10	24th April 1909	2(C)	Bill dated 15-6-07 in favour of [illegible] as Siddappa for Rs 110-5-3	
			Removing and resetting slabs in bill dated 20-8-06, 17074 Rft instead of 16674 Rft previously paid for should have been deducted from the total of 22698 So there is an excess payment for 700 Rft = 7-4-8	This contrac
		(b)	47 Cft of machine ground kurtle mortar at -/3/- overpaid (Rs 8-13-6) 18 Rft of resetting slabs with mortar -/9/- ~~8-13-6~~	
11			~~Concrete for foundation bell traps a cost of 3 Pounds instead of 3 Pounds as per tender. The over payment on the account is 3-11-6~~	~~No bill a~~
12	— do —	Notes Measts 2	Out of 23696 Rft of slabs removed, 17,747 Rft have been reset. How is the balance used	This for the a
			Bill dated 24-12-08 in favour of Sanjeevappa for 191-6-0 Kurtle mortar Concrete. The contractor has been paid in excess for 2 Cft at 0-4-0 = 0-8-0	This a
13	1st May 1909	14	Bill dated 12-7-06 for Rs 82-1-10 in favour of Yagappa A fine of Rs 10 was inflicted on the contractor for bad work done by him as observed by Ex Engineer on the daily reports dated 2-6-06 & 16-5-06. The fine has not been deducted from the contractor's bill and there is no order for remitting the fine	The Cancelle
14	— do —	1, 2½, 3 & 6	Bill dated 19-1-06 for Rs 115-9-10 in favour of Sanjeevappa Manholes - Kurtle mortar Concrete. The contractor has been overpaid 4 Cft at 0-3-9 or 0-15-0	
			Bill dated 19-1-06 in favour of Siddappa Flush tanks — Brickbat in Kurtle The contractor has been overpaid for	

		885	16		
received from the	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted	16	10	8	✓
~~payment whatever be made~~		~~3~~	~~4~~	~~6~~	✓
by 8 para 2 of diary ces 10-4-09	What accounts have been examined of Slab removed from old drains	371	13		✓
or in calculation	The overpayments should be Recovered and adjusted		8		✓
o rectified of the fine	error for cancelling the fine should be shewn to the Auditor	10			✓

			9 cft at 0-3-3 or Rs 1-13-3 Black Kankar - Surki mortar The contractor has been overpaid 1/2 cft at 0-10-0 2-0-0 Bill dated 26-1-06 in favour of Chenna-kesava Mudr Cutting and fixing cement pointing with old slab. The contractor has been paid for 27 sft at 1 anna or Rs 1-11-0	Error
15	15th May 1909	Notes on measts 1	Final bill dated 30-12-06 in favour of Anjilla Ifs for Rs 249-15-11 A quantity of 1120 Rft of 1/2" round iron supplied & fixed at 0-0-6 per Rft = 13-12-0 Entries on measts dated 18-6-06 & 19 disallowed has been included in the final bill and paid without a loss of entry in the measurement book.	Withh. There in the
16	—do—	2, 3 4 & 7	(2) Earth work excavation in ordinary soil Contractor Chennakesava Mudr has been overpaid for 4 cft at 0-2-0 = 0-8-0 (vide bill dated 25-1-07) (3) Manholes machine ground surki-mortar, contractor Dasappa has been overpaid for 2 cft at 0-4-0 = 0-8-0 (4) Small brick in surki mortar Contractor has been overpaid for 2 cft at 0-3-6 = 0-7-0 vide same bill (7) Machine ground surki mortar PTO	-do-

	elation	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted	6	1	2 3
1 (2) 2 (1) 3 (1)	ony inspection et original entry measurement book	It is observed that Mr Bramwell has ~~dyed matter at page 4 of the measurement book~~ a pencil remark that the cost of this lift of 4" ~~pump~~ iron is included in concrete ~~work~~ The same item was again included at 00 6 a lift in the final bill with the same officer's remark at page 11 that the rate was for extra ~~work~~ Bricklayers for cement as per Ex Engineer's instructions But record is stated that payment was withheld pending inspection These two statements may be reconciled	13	12	0
	1 error in calculation	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted	6	5	0

			a the contractor ~~[illegible]~~ Dammaraappa has been overpaid for 2 lift at 0-3-8 = 0-7-0 vide his bill dated 1 1 07	
17	29th May 1909	3	In November 07, a payment of 7648 has been made to the Superintendent of International School for 1124 lbs of fire wood sheets of which the SD.O reported that 75 46 lift were used on lift keeping the weight of this as said to be 755 lbs. Contractor returned 240 lbs to the office. The balance 129 lbs is treated as wastage and the cost thereof stands as debit of the work. It is thus seen that against 755 lbs of sheets used there is a wastage of 129 lbs or 17½ %. The value of 240 lbs also stands charged to Jubbulpore work and is not brought to materials, at this account	The of the all &
18	7th November 1908	23	Bill dated 7-2-05 & 21-2-05 for Rs 187-12 for the hire of a cart at Re 1 per diem for 30 days for supplying water for conducting Jalapur horses. Charge to Compensation	The Chief for
19	12th December 08	15 & 16	Out of the amount of Rs 174-7-2 a sum of Rs 42-13-0 is now inflicted on the Contractor. The balance Rs 131-10-2 viz - Gulam Hussain as per bill dated 29-8-04 = = = = 12-8-0 Javanappa - - 86-14-2 Mauchnachari Rs 28-8 on 32-4 0 paid to taskworkers for work done by them No exclusion therefore on the bill of Contractor Dammaraappa is not understood.	The explan

		1813	95	
was much wrong taxes and Stamps remarks to notab	This is not unnecessary Costs should be large that many might be the prey of economical conservations. Special sanctions of Govt. to Charge off such a heavy percentage should be obtained, and communicated			
b. not accounts ng	The value of 2 ½ lb of 1/4 iron sheets may Rs 16-4-0 may be brought on accounts	16	4	0
ts. mess of the in them facilities	This is irregular and not a proper Charge against the Bread. These remarks are Rules should be communicated to the Chairman	30		
person alone can	The addition was entrusted by S.S. Kappaswami Nadr, Submaster and passed by the S D O and Ex Engineer The Ex Engineer should therefore be in a position to explain the bill to the Chairman who perhaps accepted the bill on the responsibility of the Ex Engineer. The sum of Rs 131-10 2 should be noted as an over payment and debited to the Sub Engr who first made over for the construction of the fence	174	7	2
		1813	95	4

			Nazarkad Drainage Work	
20	30th January 1909	Folio 5	Bill dated 6-6-06 in favour of Hebbuvayya and others for Burnt bricks in lime mortar 9 cft as originally entered but it ought to be 2 cft thus overpayment 0-3-9 = 1-10-3	This a be la
21	28th February 1909	28+3	Bill for final measurements dated 26-6-07 in favour of Dasan. The total of cement concrete comes to 35 79 and not 35 88 the contractor is overpaid for ·09 cubic yards at 0-12-0 = 0-1-1. The total of cement plastering comes to 20·02 cubs and not 20·18. The excess for 16 cubs at Rs 5/- per cub = 0-12-9	It is Sub Div
22	— do —	8	Bill dated 22-2-05 in favour of Kunnavayya. When demolishing for doors 15 cft of mud wall have been demolished instead of 17 cft leaving 0-1-8 is the overpayment	expenses
23	13th February 1909	8	Formation of a new Bridle Path. In bill dated 18-10-06 for Rs 389-8- in favour of Anja Gowda Rs 115-10-0 have been billed for 925 cubs of cutting earth at 0-2-0 instead of 905 cubs only	A clerk
24	— do —	9	Recovery of Rs 98-2-10 cost of constructing Compound wall round an block No 1 as per bill in favour of Anja Gowda dated 21-5-07	
25	— do —	13	Cost of formation of the centonment road of Wearne's lines for the visit of their H H Prince and Princess of Wales to be recovered from Mr C S Krishnaswamy Ayya	The Colony offices

		Rs	As	Ps
...al errors in the Sub division	The overpayment should be recovered and adjusted	1	10	3
...ment error by the clerk	overpayments should be adjusted		13	10
...ment Dhya	do		1	8
...ors in the Sub division	The overpayment of 20 cyds of earth work above should be recovered and adjusted	2	8	
	Retrenched Sub Divn Chairman	98	2	10
...ment debarred the allowance of the	Retrenched Sub Divn Chairman	10	5	

Nanjarabad Branch

26	13th February 1907	1	Bill in favour of Sidappa dated 5-2-05	
		(1, 2 & 3)	The contractor has been overpaid Rs. 0-3-3 of Brass bracts in Southwarstas at.	At the
			The total area covering slabs comes to 2937 1/2 sft and not 2700 as corrected Hence overpayment to 2 1/2 sft at 0-3-0 = 0-7-6	
27	— do —	6	Bill dated 24-4-06 in favour of Sanjeevappa	—
			The total for repairing drains in Nanjarabad comes to Rs 11 Square but not 16-25 sqrs Rs 1-1-11 for 1 1/4 sft at Rs 8/- per square has been overpaid. Repairing drains with 1½" cement plastering. The total comes to 671 sq 000 and not 676 sqrs the excess overpaid 5-6-15	
28	27th February 1907	18	Rs 80-14-0 paid for constructing masonry cistern & stone water for building homes vide Pillappa's bill dated 17-7-08	Built for the 20 houses
			Constructing Experimental Houses	
29	— do —	3	Bill dated 26-8-04 in favour of Arles & Cherry	The be Formai by Lace bears the Venkata
			Cost of 17 2/3 months of coir ropes shown to be adjusted from this bill has been omitted to be adjusted	
			Water Supply to the New Bodies	
30	6th March 1907	10	Bill for final measurements dated 18-6-06 in favour of B Pillappa for Rs 62-3	The p Railway not from for laying
			Excess paying separately for excavation of earth laying of pipes, a sum of Rs 37-8-0 (60 cents at 10 annas each) has been paid for carting the pipes from the Railway Station. The excess rate noted above and the cartage has not been disallowed by the Engineer	carting memo in previous pending

			Rs. As. Ps.
...ical error by ...ional Clerk	overpayment to be adjusted		10 9
			1 8 4
...hanmari ...ofile building	So too a proper charge for the Treat.ᵗ	80 14	
Expenses ...charge by S & Co ...ro further charge ...fer Roa. A further ...al of Accountant ...g in Chamnari office ...Bazar	The value viz Rs 28-11-4 should be recovered and adjusted	28 11 4	
...the carts from ...work site and ...ok and the rate ...does not include ...ailway Station. The ...o explanation. The ...ness as inferior ...ction of rate	Express written orders should in each case be forthcoming for Remuneration on a bill for payment of an item once expressly disallowed — otherwise the amount of Rs 37-8-0 should be recovered	37 8 0	

Construction Expenditure

31	6th March 1909	Notes in Meast book 1	Final bill dated 29-6-05 in favour of Narasimhiah. Chunnam plastering with cement pointing for dalans @ 105 sq yds at Rs 4/- = 0-6-2½ has been overpaid to contractor	Thro committee
32	—do—		In the construction of these houses at Jalapuri and Doga cost of slabs and roof tiles have not been charged (vide paras 53 and	
			Water Supply to the new Doddaballapur	
33	13th March 1909	20	A write back of Rs 2825-8-3 in December '07 has been made to laying pipes to Doulton's Stone ware Pipes. The expenditure incurred under laying 6" pipes was Rs 3733-8-3 for laying 3622 Rft against the provision of Rs 908 for laying 1170 Rft at 0-12-5 the amount written back is exactly the excess over the sanctioned provision. The write back has been made to cost of pipes in Nos IV & V Drainage areas, under which sub heads the new Lamp. Dodda Holagri is entirely in No V Drainage area and it is not justifiable to find a write back of cost of pipes to No IV Drainage area. The write back was however effected on the Chairman's office who has said that it may be reversed after the sanction of Govt is obtained	Thro estimate where of The original a supplementary bill has the Board
34	—do—	25	It is observed that against 2314 Rft of 6" pipe available 2271 Rft have been paid for, for laying &c. and the balance of 43 Rft has been disposed of is not known	Thro bar ... Possibly

ga Explanation
on calculation
ub division

The overpayment should be adjusted

158 6 3

to an error in the
illed to Govt
mistaken for feet

It is wrong in principle to debit an unauthorised and ~~[illegible]~~ a ~~[illegible]~~ estimate Cost of laying pipes to Dosa Bolaque should be written back from No. II Drainage area to No. I Drainage area The intention with which it appears, the write back has been effected is highly objectionable

276

irman wanted to
nate checked and
me submitted. The
t been passed by
illed to Govt

~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~

on Jalapure

Ex Engineers replies are not definite. The fact must be ascertained and reported

10 12 0

2242

			Cutting and forming roads and [illegible]	
35	20th March 1909	Notes on 18 & 22	Supplying water to house builders on the Chatukhalle Extension vide in Karnas bill dated 15-6-09 and 5-7-09	This a of the [illegible]
			Drainage of Weavers' Lines	
36	27th March 1909	5	Measurement Book re 9 Bill dated 25-6-08 in favour of Messrs Maloney & Jackman The contractors have been paid for 6 cyds of earth work excavation, hard soil at 0-3-0 more than the quantity of work done by them	This Calculat
37	22nd May 1909	7A	A sum of Rs 114-6-1 was paid on March '08 to Messrs Arbuthnott's Industrial Company for heat tube Syphons and charged to the work, direct to labbers ~~[illegible]~~ Coloured without authority. The payment made was for 9 heat tube Syphons while the journey of only one has been paid for to Appajappa as per his fuel bill It is not known how the other Syphons were used It is not clear where the Syphons were accounted for and how the value of all the 9 Syphons could be charged to the work	The c receive a works, wh for paymen Such tem and a por work at th written bac to the numb Syphons a wrote bac escapes no Completion
38	— do —	143	Bill dated 20-12-07 for Rs 84-8-9 Cement Concrete The contractor has been overpaid for 179 at 0-12-0 = . Rs 1-5-6 Bill dated 12-5-08 for Rs 618-1-4 Earth work to drain The contractor has been overpaid for 9·30 at 12 annas a cft = 6-15-7	This m necessary error is

Chowkaballe Extension over the orsers	Is this a legitimate charge	35	8
ical error in Subdivision	The overpayment should be recovered and adjusted	1	2
on question were on the City Engr's bill was received late, money [illegible] available in this work. It was more [illegible]	It would have been better if the article in the first instance has been debited to material at site account. The direct debit to work has resulted in this confusion.	101	10 9
This should have been adjusted according ally concerned and permitted necessary be effected. This matter Submitting the cost	The completion Report having already been submitted for 101-10-9 the value of the unused syphons may now be credited to Ajmer City Improvement Fund as Revenue and if there be any syphons lying unused, they should be brought on to stock without value if they are useful for other purposes		
recovered if is owing to clerical calculation	The overpayment of 1-12-11 and Rs 6-15-7 for 9 30 cft of Cement concrete at 0-12-0 per cft may be recovered and adjusted	8	5

39	29th May 1909	Stores master / P.Q.	Bill dated 22-5-08 for Rs 2277-4-6 in favour of B Siddappa A quantity of 2-11 Sqrs of cement plastering ½" thick has been paid for at Rs 8/- per Sqr though the rate agreed upon is Rs 7-8-0	The e the Contr
40	—do—	4	In the above bill 21 of plastering with white mortar paid for in excess at Rs 3/- = 0-10-0 The contractor is overpaid for 10'/25ft at 0-1-6 = 0-15-9 under burnt Stone Slabs	Then an
		5	Bill dated 25-6-08 for Rs [illegible] of the same contractor The contractor has been overpaid for 20 Sft at 0-0-6 = Rs 0-10-0	
		6	Bill dated 28-6-08 for Rs 3510-1-6 of Sallah pille The contractor has been overpaid 1'40 cft at 0-13-3 = 1-2-7	
			Laying Doulton's Stoneware	
41	5th June 1909	1	Bill dated 23-4-05 for Rs 254-5-0. The measurements show that 1036 Rft of 9" old pipes were removed. How these pipes was accounted for is not clear and there is no nominal roll for Rs 10/- deducted from the bill	It is were old. broken them. So Doddabe stores. Th Rs 27-
42	—do—	3	Bill for measurements dated 12-6-05 in favour of Putte Gowda for Rs 574/- The bill has been prepared by S A V.C Krishna-Swamy Mudr. but signed by Mr A F Clarke who has not noted his check measurements. A quantity of 765 Cqrs of cutting shown in the book has been split up on the bill equally among the three classes of soil	We do book dimension could no will be certificat

be recovered from Rs 1-0-0 should be recovered and 2357 4 10
was an error adjusted

be adjusted — The overpayments should be 3 6 4
el bill — recovered and adjusted

as that these papers — notes. But proper accounts should
of 1/2 of that were have been kept whether the articles were
ceable while passing perishable or not so long as they were
ers in Allegiance, in personal Stores value of pipes
Lorne are in personal is not less than Rs 1000/-
ded on N. Roll of

ually pth misconduct — The explanation is not satisfactory.
y with the bills on the If a classification was impossible
s the irregularity a lump sum rate should have been
ers. The S D O allowed. To split up and pay is
ed with regard to the objectionable, cose para 43 requires
book. that all bills should be checked against
their measurement books in the personal
office under the supervision of the accounts

(Contd) 42	5th June 1909		It is not known on what basis the distribution was made:—	The, as it wa
			Cutting work including blasting	exactly
			Hard moram soil	been appr
			Cutting work with Wedges & pulls	has also
			The bill also contains a claim for 304 Cft of dismantling at 0-0-3 while details in the measurement book work up to 299 there is thus an excess payment of 0-1-3	The in the mea correspond in the bill,
43	—do—	notes on measts 8	From a perusal of the details in the roll as having been purchased, it will be seen that 14 zinc buckets and a lantern (8-12-0 + 0-4-9) have been bought. How these articles accounted for is not clear	The arti till they use by st Stuff
44	—do—		How the 876 Rft of covering slate carried to ct No III Sub division office are accounted for is not clear	No used on.
45	—do—	4	The contractor is overpaid for 8 42 Cft at 0-4-0 = 2-1-8	There is
46	12th June 1909	4	Documents in support of the following are not forthcoming	
			July '08 Sobrah, Mt. Pearson & Lulla 0-14-0	
			Mt Gonne & Salamu — 4-12-0	
			Bumba & Hyacinth — 1-0-0	Well
			D Nathanail & Co — 11-12-0	
			August '08 Hassan Sheriff — 5-0-0	
			Rochan Hall — 6-0-0	

...ion is approximate ...ible necessary ...ssibility and it has ...Chairman and the ...d ...h	The discharger's responsibility is not extinguished by simply passing a bill prepared by a sub divisional officer unless he can also say that the amount paid has been correctly calculated and justly due	339	12	10
has been corrected ...k book but the ...rection was not made		"	1	3
...e used for the work ...e unfit for further ...tes. They were cheap	These are items of Tools and Plant and they have to be brought on to that account and when they became unmanageable a survey report ought to have been made and sanction of proper authority obtained for their writing off	9	"	9
...l maintained but	A proper account of materials should have been kept. The loss at the rate of 0-1-0 per Rft is Rs 54-12-0	54	12	0
...overpayment	The overpayment should be recovered	2	1	8
...ches of ...	Hanging lamps Rs 10-8-0 and a Stove Rs 10-4-0. Have these been brought on tools and plant account If not why not? The S D Officer's memo to which attention has been invited has not been sent The vouchers marked will be searched for should be shown to the Auditor, if not already shown	20	12	0
		3[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

(Cook) 47	12th June 1907.	Voucher number 6	A Stone was purchased of Messrs Oakes & Co for Rs 20-14-0 on August '07 and charged to work. It is not known how the Stone is accounted for	expen the Co now
48	—do—	1-2	Bill dated 25-6-06 for Rs 1365-6-10 in favour of Messrs Mahony & Jackman Earth work excavation Over payment for 3/2 cyds at 0-2-0 = 0-7-0 Excavation Moorum & gravel The total for the item comes to 153 and not 157 cyds. There is an overpayment for 4 cyds at 0-3-6 = 0-14-0 Smart brick in machine formed brick work The contractors have been over-paid for 320 cft at 0-3-6 = Rs 70-0-0	Ex A in the Chec by acc the Ch
49	19th June 1909	11	Bill dated 29-1-07 for Rs 420-12-0 in favour of Pattabhiramiah Under "Road way embankment" dressing and filling of 240 railway stones have been paid for at Rs 3/- each. Dimensions of lies slab required for each stone are found to be uniformally 2' x 1½' at this rate the quantity required amount to 720 sft, while only 660 sft have been paid for at 0-3-6 a sft. One of the items indicating the number of stones fixed on the track, bears 65 has been altered to 85 the original figure 6 being written over with a different ink so as to make it appear 8 Again the total no 220 has been corrected to 240 It is not known whether the actual no of stones fixed is 220, 230 or 240	The co Checke he sa of the the acco 20 stone stones and fo who ha the Cont fully the Stone 8 0 0 ha being p The ov from the

		3450	19	
purchased for It is ~~was~~ on room. It will of accounts	It ought to have been taken to tools and plant account. This should now be done	20	14	.
slation				
~~Cancelled~~ in abstract ~~Cancelled~~ bill was charged by Ras and on the office payment was made on ree	The overpayments should be recovered	71	5	.
made before the Clerk urements to will the Clerks over correction of 4.8. In overhauling whereabouts of these and that these ~~were~~ were 20 en by the same contractor the Ramparts wall Road. a bill dated 21-12-07 in on and has passed been the contractor three for ordinarily due to No 1 arrear of the same stone 11 Sub Division may be recovered his arrears	The confusion could not have been caused if Departmental rules had been strictly followed. The over -payment should be recovered	60	.	.
			19	

		Notes on	Laying Dow Stone Kerbs	
50	19th June 1909	Measts 1,2 & 3	Bill dated 25-6-06 for Rs 1365-6-10 The contractor has been overpaid for 5 cft at 0-4-0 = 1-4-0 The page of Measurement Book No 15 pg 31 - For removing and fixing coming slabs the contractor is overpaid more for 2 cft at 0-1-6 = 0-3-0	Meas
51	26th June 1909	4	Bill of M Sadappa dated 24-8-06 1 cft of Burnt brick in machine ground lime mortar overpaid	Meas
		1	Burnt brick in machine ground lime mortar The contractor is overpaid for 2 cft at 0-3-6 = 0-7-0	Total Rs 86.R 0 the Com
52	3rd July 1909	3	Bill in favour of Ranga Rau & Sons dated 23-1-06 for Rs 2266-5-9 The contractor is overpaid for 3 cft of removing boilers at 0-8-0	Thus o deduct

calculation	The overpayment should be recovered	3632	10	9	✓
covered if necessary			8	3	✓
ction no. 87 and not	The overpayment should be recovered		3	6	✓
y be recovered from					
calculation is not	— do —	1	8		✓
Sub Division					
	Total Rs.	3635	8	6	

24.7.10

		Notes on Memo		
	26th September '08	2	Final bill dated 25 and 28-11-08 in favour of Sanjeevappa for Rs 911-8-9 The full quantity viz 5140 cft of machine ground lime mortar & concrete mortar having been already billed for at 0-3-9 the sanctioned rate. it is not known how a quantity of 2373 cft came to be billed for at 0-6-3 as "balance of rate" for concrete of lines &c. of the Septic tank. Sub overseer D. Krishnaiah prepares the bill and it was checked with measurement book by D. Venkatachalish Clerk	Owing of consent given for of the lies walls and the Chairman not shown measurement in the est.
2	3rd October '08	5	Bill dated 26-2-09 in favour of Chennakesava Mudr for Rs 173-15-0 contains the following unsanctioned items of works which when pointed out to the Ex Engineer as such have been ordered by him "to be charged to sanctioned items" Cement plaster 1/4" thick 28 Sqs at 5/4/- = 7 1-7-6 Removing fully traps from flush tanks 4 at 0-4-0 = 1-0-0	These are and Shor tanks. It must over
3	— do —	7	A quantity 1297 cft of burnt brick in Chunnam mortar was paid for already at the sanctioned rate of 0-2-6 per cft on Bill dated 29-3-09 in favour of Chennakesava Mudr for Rs 67-10-6 and this quantity has again been paid for at 0-06 per cft as <u>balance</u> rate	The rate tem of work given to rate for Drainage
4	— do —	11	Bill dated 25-7-09 in favour of Narayanaswamy Pillay for Rs 268-3-11 cost of measurements of items billed for	Has necessary Sub over

walls being built a rate of 3 pies was including that portion limation of the Lime based and paid by the quantity was wrately in the k as it was included antity of 5140 cft	Authority for the increase of sanctioned rate may be pointed out 2373 cft should have been separately detailed in the measurement book	37	1	3	
essential charge charged to Hugh the rate of 2½ rupees every work	Outlay should not be incurred on unsanctioned items and much less the expenditure so incurred charged to sanctioned items. Steps should now be taken to regularise the expenditure by obtaining the necessary sanction to the works	2	7	6	✓
tion for similar items 2 Drainage area was Chairman passed the ular work in Shiggaon	Please see remarks above against para 2 of the previous diary	40	8	6	✓
items of work formed struction. These 2224 2 were attached Letter Roll	Authority for the work may be quoted vide para 5 above	72	6	4	

(Contd) 4	3rd October '08	11	under "drains" and covering slabs" has been denoted by the S.D.O as chargeable to "Flush tanks" and has accordingly been charged In the above bill under "Stoddards filter" a sum of Rs 72-6-4 on account of armoured cement concrete and cement plastering 1/2" thick has been charged though not provided for in the revised Sanctioned estimate.	
5	17th September '08	9	Bill dated 13-4-08 in favour of Kumaraswamy for Rs 17 (balance rate for 68 cyds of pelling at 0-4-0 per cyd). This bill has been prepared by A. Kuppu Rao Cashier who has made it appear by stating "Sd V.C. Krishnaswamy Sub Lab overseer under the printed certificate to be a copy of the bill purporting to have been prepared by V.C Krishnaswami Sub Lab overseer, while no such original bill is forthcoming. Kumaraswamy has signed the bill and the Ex Engineer's "Pay order" has been duly endorsed	Kuppuswamy Kuppu Rao the bill to the Sub.
6	5th December '08	Notes measts 7	In final bill dated 25 to 28-11-08 in favour of Sangaranappa for Rs 911-8-9, 4 concrete corner pillars at Rs 1-12-0 have been paid for without entry in the measurement book	Sub to show
				S. T. Dravoy
7	12th December '08	-	Bill dated 25-10-08 to 20-11-08 in favour of Pillappa Mallappa and Moonuswamy Lapu. In calculating burnt brick on machine pound lime mortar 55 cft has been entered instead of 51 Hence over payment on 4 cft at 0-3-9 = 0-15-0 Bill dated — 00 00 the balance	

152			
1	He was store-keeper not cashier. He should have signed instead of my signature	There is no warrant by his original bill of which this is a copy. Kuppuswami says that the bill which was prepared as per Ex Engineer's order has been passed by him, the manner in which it was prepared was also approved by the Ex Engineer. The Ex Engineer says he did not instruct the Cashier to prepare the bill in this manner which would have been detected if he had an accountant	
11	See D. Venkatachalam and this.	The Ex Engineer is also responsible. He says that the S D O's and Subordinates were only School boys	7
			159

(Contd) 7	12th December '08		Comes to 146 cft ~~has been entered as~~ note 3 cft have been overpaid = 0-11-3 Total concrete slabs comes to 753 3/4 cft instead of 772 3/4 cft as entered and thus has been an overpayment of 19 cft at 0-0-9 = 0-14-3	Ross Checked
8	23rd January '09	1	**A T Drawings** — From bills dated 29-5-05 & 20-12-05 in favour of B Pillappa it is observed that for 2089 cft of burnt stone slabs a rate of 3 annas has been paid. But to estimate rate is Rs 0-2-6 and 3 annas for 2½ to 3" and 3 to 4½" slabs. Schedule contract rates are 2¾ annas and 3 annas respectively for slabs	The pr[illegible] and 2¾ The estim[illegible] used at [illegible] new sla[illegible] A-0 p[illegible] for slab[illegible] mentio[illegible]
9	10th April '09	3	In the above bill it is observed that certain quantities of machine pounded lime mortar concrete for cisterns and bill traps have been paid at 0-4-0 per cft instead of 0-3-3 as agreed upon resulting in an overpayment of Rs 5-3-3	The conc[illegible] used for Concrete is passe[illegible]
10	17th April 1909	1	Bill dated 26-2-08 in favour of Rangappa Chetty for Rs 593-12-4. It is observed that certain rates in excess of those agreed upon are paid to the Contractor and the excess on the account comes to Rs 208-3-9	This is [illegible] Chetty a[illegible]
11	—do—	5	Bill dated 20-1-08 in favour of Chennakesava Mudaliar for Rs 236-11-8	Wrong 2nd plac[illegible]

[illegible]	Instead of simply saying that the measurement books are checked by this or that clerk, the Ex Engineer is requested to say what action he has taken to rectify the irregularities and recover the overpaym't of 2-8-6 noted therein	159	2	8 6
[illegible] as per slabs 3" to 4½" [illegible] to from 2½" to 3". [illegible] for slabs to be [illegible] amp are 3 as for [illegible] rama for old slabs [illegible] been sanctioned [illegible] in Latrine and	The Ex Engineer probably infers from the fact that measurements for slabs paid for at different rates are recorded separately that such measurements were given for slabs of different thickness, even though no thickness is especially recorded in the measurement Book. It should be noted in all such cases that thickness should be specifically recorded in measurement books and all the more so when it affects rates payable			
[illegible] work of this kind [illegible] and not ordinary [illegible] the rate of [illegible]	The authority under which the higher rate was passed may be quoted	53	3	3
[illegible] horizontal work to [illegible] 8 &	The authority for the rates paid in excess of those agreed upon may be quoted	208	3	9
[illegible] measure to the [illegible] in earth work	The overpayment of 8 as may be explained. The authority under which excess rates were paid may be quoted	194	2	[illegible]

(Contd) 11	17th April 1909	5	The total quantity of earth work measured is 993 cft while 997 have been paid at 0-2-0 resulting in an over ~~payment~~ payment of 0-8-0	
			Rate agreed for cement plastering is 4-12-0 per Cft but paid was Rs 5/8/- 1-9-0	
			For machine pounded lime mortar 1942 Cft paid at 4 annas when the rate agreed upon was 0-3-3= 91-0-6	
			1118 Cft of the above paid again at Rs/- 69-14-0	
			167 Cyds of earthing and filling earth under forming roads has been reduced in the bill and paid for at 0-1-6	difference in the for exc. 1 [illegible]
			Reinclusion of certain items resulting in an excess of Rs 15-10-6	
			Under Resetting slabs a rate of 9 pies was paid for 992 Rft instead of the 6 pies as agreed upon 15-8-0	
			The total of excess payments on the above bill amounts to 194-2-0	
12	24th April 1909	(d)	Machine pounded lime mortar concrete is payable at tender rate of 0-3-3 while certain quantities have been paid for at 0-4-0 resulting in an excess of Rs 76-11-0	No
			Extra rate of 0-1-0 has been paid on 2416 Cft of ~~[illegible]~~	This extra [illegible]
			The excess in this account is Rs 15-6-0	
			Extra rate on teak wood panelled doors resulting in an excess of Rs 5/-	
			Jalapura Extension	
13	24th October '08	3	Bill dated 26-6-06 in favour of Yerappa for Rs 10-8-11 for sinking a well in the Jalapura Extension. The charge being for water supply, is there	The [illegible] of the Cha[illegible] and the [illegible] has [illegible]

			67 9 4
Offices was allowed for the turned out &c.			
M payment	Authority for payment of excess rates may be quoted		107 1 4 / 3 11 6
Nil was allowed for Leaving			
carried out as per order No 688 dated 22-2-08 accordance with	The application is not to the fund Water Supply not being a portion of the duties of the City Improvements Division to Charge might not have been incurred		1285 7

(Contd) 13	24th October '07	Abstract No 3	any justification of the same being debited to the "Estimate"? There is no provision in the Sanctioned estimate
14	7th November '08	24	Bill dated 18-2-05 in favour of Hombappa for Rs 37-3-3 for constructing an ordeal. Value of materials used is Rs 20-7-1
15	5th December '08	5	Measurements dated 23-11-05 in favour of Mantappachari for Rs 42-0-11 A sum of Rs 12-8-1 bills for under "Drains in general" has been posted under "Small Stone Covering Slabs 3" to 4" at road crossing as per orders of the Ex Engineer &c
16	—do—	6	Two measurements dated 22-3-05 in favour of Narayanaswamy Naidu and Sundaramachary respectively for Rs 5-4-0 each These two bills were paid by Mr H J Marks S D O, from his Imprest on 18-2-05 and 6-2-05 respectively i e, Fortnight before the measurements were recorded The bills have not been signed by the Contractors nor checked in the Division office. They don't also bear the Ex Engineer's initials Objection was taken by the Division office to payments without bills which were then prepared

	If it was absolutely necessary a separate estimate ought to have been sent up for sanction. The Ex Engineer says that these remarks were communicated to the Chairman	725 110	5 8	7 11 ✓
connection with Rampart wall Road order was disregarded in orders of the	This procedure is irregular. A separate fresh estimate should have been sanctioned for the purpose, if it was necessary. The Ex Engineer says he has communicated these remarks to the Chairman	57	10	4 ✓
Armoured Concrete of heart stone drains at road	This is a new work not sanctioned evidently and ought to have been shown as such and not brought under the sanctioned sub heads. It is presumed that the requisite authority has been obtained for this deviation. The Ex Engineer's reply to this remark is that this is a legitimate charge to Road Grossing	12	8	1 ✓
No explanation	No explanation has been furnished for this objectionable procedure of making payments without bills and measurements. It is awaited. 8 D O dts N & dates explanations stated to have been submitted is not received			

		Notes on measurements	
17	12th December '08	2-8	A sum of Rs 109-2-8 has been billed for, for 1120·97 cft of "Machine finished mortar concrete" at 0-4-3 cft "Storm one floor" The rate agreed upon for the subhead of work being 0-3 per cft. The excess payment is Rs 12-12. Similarly 183·88 cft of the same work has been paid for in excess by Rs 5-11- under bill traps and Cisterns"
18	19th December '08		A rate of 8 Rs per Square of cement plastering 1½" thick has been paid while the rate agreed upon for this work is only 4-12-0 per Square. vide B Siddaiah bill dated 14-9-08
	30th January '09	2	Extra rate paid for 598 cft of centering at 0-1-0 = 36-14-0 vide bill of Ramaswamaiyya & Pillaiyya dated 25-8-09 for Rs 252-2-8 of Jazarba Dra...
9	9th January '09	6-2	Measurements as originally recorded by S O D Brohuallan at page 2d of measurement book No 15 for Rough Stone revetment for flood water drains = 388 cft. The quantity was duly billed and paid for. The average depth in each of the above measurements corrected in red ink 6. The Ex Engineer from 5 to 3½ ft. The reduced the quantity for which payment had to be made from 388 to 276 cft of which 115½ were old Stone Work. On an overpayment of Rs 17-... reduced Subbaiyya ... bill dated ... of B Siddaiah ... for Rs ...
21	— 08	6(14)	The Stone bill

[illegible] in the [illegible] well as in matters [illegible] claims were paid [illegible] they are drawn up the same as that	The payments made being in excess of stipulated rates, they should unless there is sufficient authority for passing them be recovered and adjusted. The Ex Engineer says that the stipulated rates are not for the kind of work carried out. The contractor's claims were finally settled by the Chairman	90	0	0
		18	9	5
plastering with [illegible] to Rs 5 p	The excess over the stipulated rate should be recovered and adjusted			
	Authority for paying extra rate should be quoted	36	14	0
[illegible] account Cashier filled indentionally or corrections the [illegible] frequently rectified height and this account for removing the [illegible] brackets on [illegible] has been no omission [illegible] there might [illegible] of fraction of work [illegible] corrections not [illegible] as by cashier.	Cashier Kuppuswami's explanation for omitting the [illegible] in the corrections should be obtained and sent in with Ex-Engineers remarks thereon. From Measurement book it is observed that the height of revetment built in September and November '05 and checked by Mr C S Krishnaswamy Iyer was 4 to 5 ft and this was altered by Ex Engineer in June '05 to 3½ ft. The explanation contained amounts to saying that the work was done to accord with the marks previously received			
[illegible] was paid [illegible] by the SDO	The Ex Engineer has no authority to pay rates in excess of those sanctioned			

(Contd) 21	9th January 1909	6(4)	under 'Lift Lego' 50·76 cft have been paid for at 0-4-3 instead of at 0-3-9 as per contract bond resulting in an over-payment	and a[illegible] for the [illegible]
22	—do—	6(6)	The rate paid for 1½ of cement plastering is Rs 9 but as per contract agreement the rate payable is only Rs 4-12-0 The total quantity of cement plastering carried out in 'Leaping Wells' repairing fountains and latrines amounts to 7·48 Squares The excess paid is therefore Rs 31-12-7, vide the same bill	The r[illegible] plaster[illegible] to
23	—do—	6(8)	The reason for giving different rates for the same kind of work "brick work in Chunnam mortar under latrines" at 2/2 & 3/4 annas etc is not apparent 549 cft paid at 3/4 instead of at 2/2 annas	The a[illegible] work[illegible] is [illegible] by Cha[illegible]
24	23rd January '09	3	Constructing Experimental The Ex Engineer orders that excess amounting to Rs 70 and 63 on No. 2 design should be recovered from the final bill of contractor Ramaswami Mudr dated 12-5-05. The excess of Rs 63 was adjusted by write back in October '05 to materials account Only Rs 14 out of the excess of Rs 70 was adjusted in the same month leaving Rs 56 as excess over the provision and for the Subhead. The work was completed and completion report submitted in 1905-06. The practicability of the write back and the reason for not adjusting the excess is not mentioned	The e[illegible] windows [illegible] of work[illegible] in [illegible] Hence [illegible] able. [illegible] by deb[illegible] the bre[illegible] the Con[illegible] on Sep[illegible]

19

20

21

[illegible] rate as [illegible] used	agreed upon or in respect of works for which no rate has been stipulated have sanctioned. The authority for the extra rate paid for must be quoted in the absence of which Rs 1-9-4 should be recovered and adjusted	Rs 1	8	4	
		1	9	4	✓
as for cement	Rs 4-12-0 is the stipulated rate for cement plastering which should not have been paid for at Rs 9 1- without sanction from competent authority Rs 31-12-7 is therefore an overpayment and should be recovered	31	12	7	✓
for the work [illegible] nearly which sanctioned and paid at regard Extension	That the average rate is less than that paid for the same kind of work, is no explanation for paying at a different rates for the same work unless change of labour and materials warrant such a course in which case sanction of competent authority should be obtained	25	11	9	✓
[illegible] Extension Subhead "By [illegible] more of by [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

25	23rd January 09	Note Book 71	Bill dated 24-4-1905 in favour of Muniswamy Rajo for Rs 43-9-5 Rates sanctioned for mud walling and mud plastering are respectively 5 pies per cft and 8 annas per Square. Payment was made at 6 pies per cft and 9 annas per Square for 4578 cft and 54.50 Squares respectively but of 1284 of mud wall & 1475 cft of dado does not seem to have been charged like work but for charges at 6 & 8 pies respectively have been paid	Begin water rate for rate of for mud boot work. The Estimate ac
26	— do —	17 & 19	Bill dated 14-5-05 in favour of Nijanda Bandya for Rs 106-3-0 4424 cft of mud wall & 31.24 Sqrs of mud plastering have been paid at 0-0-6 and 0-9-0 while the sanctioned rate is 0-0-5 and 0-8-0 Mr Swamin has been similarly paid for 7717 cft of mud wall and 86.90 Squares of mud plastering	The beat much a Hence
27	— do —	24	Bill dated 24-9-04 in favour of Chickachary for extra rates on rough stone mud. Raw brick in mud, mud plastering, window, wood work &c	
28	30th January 1909	4	The sanctioned rate for mud plastering is 0-8-0 per Square but 126.90 Squares have been paid for at 0-10-0 per Square Contractor Engineer	Water necessita rates Hon
29	13th February 1909	3	Bill for final measurements dated 5-8-04 in favour of Bettachan for Rs 35-9-9 Arrears to the extent of Rs 8-7-0 has been paid to Shamaiya, when it is due to Bettachan	This am by the
30	— do —	12	In the bill of Lingappa for Rs 65-8-0 in addition to charges for spreading and consolidating metal and gravel including lime at annas 3 and 2½ per Square yard 8440 Sq yds of [illegible]	This absence The S 16-1-0

		Rs	As	Ps	
[illegible] of getting [illegible] on an extra [illegible] and [illegible] [illegible] to be paid	The authority under which the extra rates were paid for road walling and for road plastering may be quoted	27	4	0	✓
[illegible] has been charged [illegible] is not included in the [illegible] property of the Board	If the slabs were not the property of the Board, an question whether consent of the municipality [illegible] the slabs was obtained. Why was no payment made to the Municipality for the slabs so used	70	9	10	✓
an extension there was for getting water [illegible] were necessary	Sanction of Government should be obtained. ~~[illegible]~~	~~[illegible]~~			
	Sanction to the extra rates paid may be quoted	22	4	9	✓
in the new extension [illegible] of extra [illegible] Extension	Sanction to the extra rates may be quoted	15	13	9	✓
[illegible] to Mamaing	Explanation of the S D O should be obtained as to how he came to pay Mamaing arrears of 8-7-6 due to Bettashari				
[illegible] the undersigned [illegible] primary leave [illegible] 24 dated [illegible] of the extra rates	Has the special sanction of Govt or other competent authority been obtained for the increase of rates. If not the excess should be recovered	51	15	[illegible]	

(Contd.)

30	13th February 09	12	road way at 1/2 pie per Sqft. Rs 21/8/- has been paid besides a lump sum of Rs 30 for special watering for 2 days' Rate for consolidating includes generally charges for watering also	
			Formation of a New Road	
31.	27th February '09	12-13 & 14	Bill dated 24-10-06 for Rs 252-14-9 in favour of B Pillappa accepted rate for burnt Stone Slabs is 3 1/2 annas per Sqft. But 395- of such Slabs have been paid for at 0-6-0 a Sqft resulting in an overpayment of Rs 61-11-6	Vide dated 1
			Bill dated 27-11-06 in favour of — a further quantity of 221 Sqft of burnt Stone coping Slabs has been paid for at 0-6-0 resulting in an overpayment of Rs 34-8-6	As the for on rate fo the excess on Rs 1 is Re 1.
			Bill dated 1st June 1907 in favour of B Pillappa inter alia for a further quantity of 191 Sqft of coping Slabs has been paid for at 0-6-0 against 0-3-6 per Sqft the excess on this account is Rs 29—13—6	
	—do—	16	In the same bill inter labores, — 22 445 96 Cft of machine pounded Stone Mortar Concrete have been paid for at 0-5-0 and 673 36 Cft at 0-6-0 a Cft against the accepted rate of 0-4-6 The excess on this is Rs 129-1-8 Teak wood doors has to be paid for at 0-6-2 2/3 per Sqft, but 269 Sqft have been paid at Re 1 Sqft The excess being 164-6-2	Lab letter Chan
33	—do—	17	It was observed while checking Bill dated 21st June 07 in favour of B. Pillappa that 13 01 Sqft of plate, iron Shutter with angle iron frame for flush tanks have been entered twice in the	This ch whole The o be the

Col (Cont'd)		Note Book Page		
3 33	27th February '09	17	measurement book vide pages 22 and 24 though the bill contains only one entry	
34	—do—	19	Bill dated 3-7-07 in favour of B. Pellappa for Rs 512-8-4 342 Rft of armoured concrete with cement have been billed for at 0-6-0 a Rft It is presumed that the expanded metal has been used for armouring If so why deduction was not made for and the bill is not flagged	Expa armo been
35	6th March 1909	10	Water Supply to Nai Bill for final measto dated 15-6-06 in favour of B Pellappa for Rs 61-2-3 A rate of 0-4-0 Rs has been passed for 71 cubs of earth work excavation and refilling against 0-2-6 the sanctioned rate	Nai The forms
36	13th March '09	21	Supplying water to Loya Extension Bill dated 28-8-05 for Rs 111-2-5 There remains 552 Rft of pipe to be yet accounted for	Loya one
37	—do—	22	Against 1273 Rft of 1" Pipe available payment has been made for laying 1664 Rft !	Ag been
38	—do—	23	Water Supply to Jalapura Dates corrected in measurement books and bills	Jala The to the as he
39	20th March '09	3 & 4	Work book statements for May and June 1906 are not forthcoming Work book statement for April showing a write back of Rs 1-2-6	W

		17	6	3
l is not the only . It might have	The Ex. Engineer be requested to state if ammunition was supplied by the Board and if so, why the cost of the same was not deducted from the contractors bill			
Lepper				
rocky it was hence higher rates	The authority under which higher rate was passed may be quoted	6	10	6
so that they hence necessity	552 Rft of 3 pipes should be accounted for. The S.D. failed to obtain voucher from the municipality			
ison officer has to explain	Sub Division officer explanation is awaited			
of the Labor Mate must be obtained Licence	The attested corrections of dates in measurement books and bills are highly objectionable			
ed for	May be found out and shown to the auditor			

39	20th March 09	3 & 4	from No II Drainage are so not forthcoming Consider grading	Remarks
40	— do —	9	Bill dated 2-8-07 in favour of Dingy Sooda for 70-7-6 It is observed that 30% of the quantity of earth filling below water i.e 1601 cyds have been paid for on account of the quantity of earth filling	The pa on the b Consolid &c
	— do —	12 & 13 14	B Sivappa's final bill dated 2-8-07 the contractor has been allowed 30% concession on 1106 cyds at 0-2-6 Bill in favour of Fathekhan dated 2-8-07 A similar concession of 30% on 3376 cyds at 0-2-6 The expenditure charged to the Board on account of the above concession amount to Rs 950-7-6	Ent to 7/2 a lump
41	— do —	15	The final measurements in favour of Fathekhan contains an entry of 6000 cyds of cartage earth at 0-1-0 per cyd The final measurements do not contain the quantity Two thousand cyds entered by S O Krishna Rao were subsequently corrected to 1800 and 2208 cyds respectively and bills dated 25-5-07 and 27-6-07 prepared accordingly These seem the arbitrary quantities as no detailed measurements are forthcoming	No. I quantit the bill 6,500 require the ab requir
	— do —	16 & 17	The cartage of earth is an unsanctioned item and has been paid for at 0-1-0 per cyd. The outlay amounts to Rs 279-15-0 The excess of Rs 1652-1-0 noticed above has been met by other provisions amounting to Rs 1937-0-0	Rs 0 date Ab 15 not

		1872 13 8		
the work provided not involve — , was his authority	If the payment made was for extra work done, it is not known how it was characterised as 30% over the quantity of work done. Has the additional work been sanctioned by competent authority? If so the same should be shown in the tabular	950	7	6 ✓
done in excess in height for ft of Nala	— do —			
that the total as measured on ft and that about quantity was a portion of at Rs 4500 ft rate for cost	Entry of arbitrary quantities in measurement books is highly objectionable. Any debts which the Subordinate officers could pass on, correspondence should have also been entered promiscuously in the measurement Book			
irman's No. 215	Extracts will be sent to the Chairman with the remark that the Chairman has no authority to sanction officers the appointment of the Board should have been obtained			
87 for more than of the estimate				

42	27th March 1909	Abstract measts. 3	Countervailing Purnea[illegible] The cost of 17934 cyds at 0-2 6 is charged to the above work as 2 the balance of Rs 2461-1-0 to the Sub work "Filling Purnea Sala in No. II Drainage area" The provision for the Sub head is Rs 8300 against which the recorded outlay to end of June '08 is 9633-7-0 resulting in an excess of 1333-7-0	Accts. be effe to the ex of Rs 13 year f
			X X X	
43	20th March 1909	23	Charges debitable to Kakchauni Extension have been allocated to Lock Spitting &c. Work of Kakchauni does appear in Chatnaballi Extension The total amount of several bills so debited amounts to Rs 514-1-11	Lab of the was for for Lakeho were ex orders of paid
44	27th March 1909	2 & 3	Laying out Lines in Loga Extension Final bill dated 3 & 7-8-07 in favour of Dinge Sowa There is no provision in the estimate for earthing and filling ditch and pools The contractor has been paid for 2841 cyds at 0-3-0 and at 1 anna 532-11-0 & 26-7-0 respectively Cutting and earthing 165 cyds of earth have been paid for separately at 0-2-3 and 0-1-0 respectively. Sanctioned rate for earth work is 0-2-0 per cyd as an average. The Contractor has been paid 0-2-3 for earth work 0-4-0 for soft rock and 0-8-0 for hard rock	Filling which This with he The the Che recover other ra
45	3rd April 1909	3	Bill dated 2-6-06 for Rs 9-8-0 in favour of Bettinge Mr. C. S. Krishnaswamy Ayr, S.D.O. certifies as a matter of course "Measurements checked and found correct" when as a matter of fact no measurements	This on Lea

...ract ...ree ...be ...of the ...of the ...year	...e book will ...receipt of sanction ...cited. Provision ...ol in current ...y Parish schools	Sanction obtained & the excess may be shewn to the auditor and the necessary write back effected.	2721 52
...ted ...of the ...work is ...for pro... ...schools ...on re... ...work ...paid ...work	...on is a portion ...extension - there ...ate estimate ...improvements of ...ration. These works ...under the direct... ...man and bills also	Has the estimate originally prepared included this extension? If not has the expenditure received sanction of competent authority	
...follow... ...shall ...this ...will be	...found necessary ...works	There is no provision in the sanctioned estimate for carting. Average rate of annas sanctioned is for earth work cutting for roads and conveyance lines for lost. Has sanction of competent authority been received to increase the rate.	
...the ...work ...after...	...to carting lost ...ore passed by ...ration of lost ...payment of the		
...the ...the	...e unserviceable wood	Explanation of the subordinate and S D O should be obtained and submitted	

1 Contd				
45	3rd April 1909	3	of work done were recorded. Measurements were recorded as bill was prepared by J. Raghupathi naidu Subordinate	
46	—do—	4	Bill dated 16-8-06 in favour of Abdul Karim and Mohamed Isaac for Rs 32-11-11 Mr Ranatharlu Naidu certifies who having checked the work, measurements are stated to have been recorded by S O Krishnaiah. Remarks in the above para apply to this case also	There was
47	—do—	5	Bill dated 7-6-06 in favour of Pettaiya for Rs 25-14-0 The bill was prepared by Subordinate J Raghupathi Naidu and which bears Mr Krishnaswamy Iyer's certificate "Measurements checked and found correct" Remarks made in the above 2 paragraphs apply to this also	
			Drainage and other works in Blocks 92 and	
48	22nd May 1909	6	Rate agreed upon for cement plastering ½" thick is Rs 4-8-0 per square against the sanctioned rate of Rs 5/8 for Plastering with lime mortar requiring cement for which no rate was agreed upon was paid for at the same rate and at Rs 3/ also Plastering with cement ½" thick is paid for at Rs 7-4½ and also at 8 Rs per square There is no tender rate for this work. Details of excess rates with given under various items are detailed in the report	The accor of a The for (1) for cem plaste are Rs and B arrived Calcut Cement been rates accord of for [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible] unnecessary	Explanation of the Subordinate to the S.D.O should be obtained and submitted
		do
[illegible] section of No V Drainage area		
[illegible]	have been adopted nature and quantity [illegible] in the Division [illegible] plastering (2) [illegible] (3) cement [illegible] (4) — do 1/2" [illegible] 8-0, Rs 5-4-0 [illegible] rates have been [illegible] experiments and [illegible] increased rate for 1/4" [illegible] 8-0 and [illegible] paid. The other [illegible] adopted [illegible] quantity [illegible] plastering was [illegible], the contractor P.T.O	Sanction of competent authority to carry out unsanctioned works and the increased rates should be obtained and communicated. If 1/2" plastering was found the less the actual thickness of plastering done should have been noted in the measurement book and the correct rates paid. If the plastering of less than 1/2" thickness is measured as one of 1/2" and the rate of 1/4" thick plaster paid therefor the reason will in the absence of proof to the contrary, show that 1/2" plaster was paid for at the rate payable for 1/4" plaster which is not a fact. Measurement books are expected to accord with facts P.T.O

(cont) 50	22nd May 1909	11	of work paid for at 6as a square foot is cement concrete ashing and this rate is the sanctioned rate for this class of work. The sanctioned rate includes evidently cost of armouring as no provision is made therefor in the estimate. The expanded metal actually used for the work is presumably good enough not to fall for the sanctioned rate being in pieces. The circumstances which justify the concession to appellant	armou which armour as it is as rate
51	— do —	12	Bill in favour of Mr. Melony for Rs 156-13-11. The contractor has in all carried out 585 cft of armoured cement concrete + 64 cft of cement concrete ashing and paid at the stipulated rates which in the absence of any express over inclusive value of armouring. Bill dated 20-5-07 for Rs 648-0-6 bears a rate to the effect that 16 cft of expanded metal were used in the work. It is not known how the afore said quantities could have been done with 16 cft of expanded metal. The contractor it is presumed, has used his own armouring except 16 cft which too would not have been noted in the bill if they were not used by the Board. If so, it is not known how the value of this material was not deducted from contractor's bill.	The ashm of arm The The not in So st
52	22nd May 1909	2	It is observed that value of 257 cft of expanded metal has been directly debited to the subhead "armoured cement concrete" while the total quantity of work done under the subhead is in all 1097.93 and no deduction has been made for value of material though the full rate of 1-8-0 per cft or accepted as the case maybe has in each case been paid and though either express condition has been entered into nor provision made in the estimate	Va directly rate fa was acc. For th armoura himself So mo

[illegible] the work [illegible] without [illegible] line with armoring [illegible] necessary. If [illegible] an equitable		
[illegible] amount concrete [illegible] include value	What is the quantity of expanded metal used in 585 cft of armored concrete cement and 64 cft of cement concrete walling? If more than 16 cft, who supplied the same and how was the value thereof adjusted what rates paid when the metal was supplied by the Board and when by the contractor?	
[illegible] for walling does [illegible] of armoring [illegible] decorated		
[illegible] metal was [illegible] only when the [illegible] wherein [illegible] include its value	How many cft of expanded metal were used in 1097 98 cft of armored cement concrete work? How much was supplied by the Board and how much by the contractor? How was the value of materials adjusted? Rates paid for this work both when the expanded metal was supplied by the Board and when not may also be given.	
[illegible] reason that [illegible] by the Contractor [illegible] these matters [illegible] are necessary.	The answer of the Ch. Engineer: The rates will settle these matters. No more evidence or more accurate is not mentioned. He may be asked further	

53	27th May 1907	Notes on measts 4	Bill for final measurement dated 6-4-06 in favour of Messrs Melony & Jackson for Rs 861-12-11 The stipulated rate for concrete in machine prepared lime mortar is 0-3-3 but 2442 cft have been paid at 0-4-0 resulting in an excess of 11-5-3 Laying out Lake on Dry Extension	The was bed. as a The same
54	5th June 1907	A	Bill dated 8-4-08 for Rs 13-2-0 Balance rate of 0-2-6 on 1168 ft of Cement Armoured concrete. This bill is prepared to allow the Contractor a Extra Rate for armoured Cement concrete roofing work already carried out to Latrines The quantity of work done was 68 cft thickness of the roofing being 4½" This was paid at 0-13-0 and there is no provision for this item of work in the estimate. But it will be observed from the Ex Engineer's remarks and corrections in bill dated 24-10-07 in favour of the Contractor for Rs 137-13-0 that a rate of 0-15-0 per cft was intended to be passed and that the rate should include the value of expanded metal The quantity of roofing 4½" thick equivalent to 68 cft is 612 sft of which let us lay only 65 sft of expanded metal were supplied by the Board the balance has been paid for at 0-2-6 per sft though the rate of 0-15-0 intended to be paid per cft left only 0-0-9 to be sft paid per sft after payment of the work at 0-13-0 a cft It is to be presumed however that the 116 sft of expanded metal belong to the Contractor It is observed that the value of 65 sft of expanded metal has been supplied by the Board is Rs 12-13-0 at	Mr. armo Mr. The contra metal 20-2- expan work 15 a per sq only ins actual not a metal Stock. rate of is indu was only (The qu was the by him 0-2-6 on the

... of the Road. The debit has been credited with Cash ...	Sanction for excess rate may be obtained. Estimate to be revised	11	5	3	
... metal not ...					
... line of expanded metal	All the confusion would not have arisen, had the work been executed according to sanctioned plans and estimates which is the fault in requisite in execution of works	15	2		✓
... the debited with the cost of expanded metal ... on bill dated ... 2/1 as per Sch of expanded. But the contractor ... to this.					
... the work of expanded metal was ... but was not ... contractor would ... the cost of expanded ... 3a, as per ... from the ... for the work ... The contractor ... metal ... debited with ... expanded metal ... 115 ft ... of 15 ft ... 30 ft ...	The sanction of proper authority should have been obtained for altering the materials of construction to have fixed extra rates and altering the mode of ~~construction~~ construction without proper authority was ~~neglected~~. Sanction of proper authority may now be obtained and communicated				

54	5th Jn.	189	R	1-3-0 per cft and this amount has been directly debited to the work. The actual cost of 68 cft of cement mixed concrete amounts to Rs 85-9-0 But the cost of the work should have been Rs 63-12-0 for 68 cft at 0-15-0 a cft. painting but the rate which the Ex Engineer intended to pay as including the value of expanded metal as fair if only 15 cft of expanded metal were used for the work, note on bills of 130 for 8 cft of the arches as having been used in the work as medleasing. The authority under which this work which was not provided for in the sanctioned estimate has been carried out is not apparent	Water Charge accep an o with rate meta
55	— do —		4	The following claims for work done under brick on lime mortar and construction and demolishing the same. The amounts of the bills have nevertheless been crossed to the charges to earthwork excavation hard soil. Accounts should be statements of facts and of facts only.	The de and ignor was the Drain cost charge was a direct instig brick
56	— do —		10	Bill dated 26-9-05 in favour of Pattlepowal for Rs 80-6-9 It contains wages of coolies employed on building water and forming Church road &c for Rs 27-7-0 leaves a balance of Rs 5 &c Cement plastering is an unsanctioned item Different rates have been paid on different bills for this work &c. &c	B trenc where Rat from prefer It is

[illegible] would not make of waste on the settled not of any extra earth expended	
[illegible] as the is [illegible] from [illegible]. The brick work [illegible] Bastian Purchases [illegible] on account of ex- [illegible] Sewer that [illegible]. Hence the expenditure [illegible] "Excavation [illegible] was quite [illegible] should have been no [illegible] was no excavation	A separate estimate ought to have been sanctioned for this and the amount ought not to have been charged to excavation. The debit is not correct. What is ~~expenditure~~ objected to is not the construction of Bastian Purchases drain but the manner in which the amount has been shown in accounts
[illegible] wide on pipe [illegible] a high road [illegible] heavy penetration	Sanction of proper authority ought to have been obtained for deviating from the sanctioned plan and estimate in the case of cement platform and even the rates for all ought to have been allowed instead of giving different rates to different persons or that the same man should be paid at different rates
[illegible] Varies [illegible] Varies [illegible] the difference [illegible] amount used [illegible] shewed pole	

		Note on Vouchers		
57	5th June 1907	13	Bill dated 26-5-06 in favour of Mr Steffe for Rs 349-14-6 contains a claim for freight of 66 coolies at 0-8-0 engaged in Palimpladia for carriage of pipes and for purchasing hemp and tar leaves a balance of 11-6-0 at 20%. Remarks made in Pattle forwarding bill dated 24-5-06 applied to this also	In length hinges neither Defer
58	—do—	18	Bill dated 1-9-06 from V Ramadoss Mudr Common agent Mysore for Standard oil company New York for Rs 35/- It is signed by V K Govindaraja Mudr for the agent, but payment has been made to Ranganaya Mudr. in September 06	The b has per be cal In the Goods both pa
59	—do—	19	Bill dated 8-10-06 for Rs 146-11-1 casting and fixing of 60 manhole covers were originally billed for at 0-10-6 per cover. The rate was increased to 0-12-6 which was paid. The rate already paid for fixing 36 cover frames and covers was 0-10-6 as per details furnished, after the rate of 0-10-6 has been paid for the same item of work. It will thus be seen that the rate was fixed at 0-10-6 rate both before and after the bill for Rs 146-11-1 the necessity for the increased rate is not apparent.	The the Com Carda
60.	12th June 1907	2	Sanctioned rate for machine crushed concrete mortar is 0-3-9 per cft but it has been paid for at 0-4-0 The authority under which the extra rate was fixed is not apparent, i.e. Rs 179-9-3 of at 0-0-3 excess rate paid.	Since was wee pace to Chai date

[illegible] Engineer Good Cases which [illegible] not the approve	The Ex Engineer should take the advice on the Spot at [illegible] and not amendment against the Contractor. If the departmental procedure had been followed strictly there would have been no necessity for taking this remark from the Court officer			
[illegible] to R&D obs 9-06. He will claim the arrangement produced that both and Ramasamy from Spot	The Signature of Fonidarsja Mudi & the bill should not be in the first place have been accepted without proof that he was representative of the Spot. Even then payment should not have been made to Ramasamy Mudi			
representation of the head for really great	Sanction of proper authority ought to have been obtained for paying increased rates	7	8	
for [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] No. 413	Authority for deviating from Sanctioned Estimates and for paying higher rate ought to have been obtained. Departmental procedure cannot under any circumstances be violated. If such a procedure has been adopted from the beginning several objections could have been avoided	18	6	10

		Notes on March	
61	12th June 1907	3	Bill for Rs 17-6-0 from Govindaraja Mudaliar (paid on October '06) has been paid to Subbaraman for 6 tins of oil purchased. The list of vouchers shows that voucher from Standard Oil Company represented by Subbaraman and Govindaraja Mudaliar has been sent to Comptroller
62	— do —	5	Bill dated 25-6-06 for Rs 1365-6-10. The sanctioned rate for machine ground Surki mortar concrete is 0-3-9 per cft. The same rate was tendered by the contractor and accepted but the work has been paid for at 0-4-0 per cft for 7527·55 cft at 0-0-3 as it is said fine jelly was used. The authority under which extra rate was allowed is not apparent. It is also observed that a quantity of 1445 cft of the work under constructing manholes in No IV Drainage area and 240·86 cft in No 3 Drainage area have been paid at 0-3-9 per cft. Dismantling and rebuilding the revetted bases of storm water drains in No 3 Drainage area have been paid at 0-1-0 per cft while dismantling and reconstructing storm water drains in rough stones in No V Drainage area have been paid for at 0-10-0 a cubic yard and in No IV Drainage area at Rs 1-3-0 per cubic yard. Lowering slabs removed and relaid in No 3 Drainage area have been paid at 0-0-6 a sqft. Where the same work in No IV Drainage area paid at 0-1-6 a sqft. Removing and rebuilding the box drain in No 3 Drainage area so

	To pay to a person other than the man entitled thereto without an express letter of authority to pay it to the other is irregular and should not be allowed			
	Authority for paying a higher rate and deviating from sanctioned estimate should have been obtained. The Auditor's remarks shew that for the same kind of work different rates have been paid in different drainage areas. Where scheduled rates are accepted no claim for a higher rate is admissible if no deviations were allowed in the execution. If as explained by the S D O, the different rates were due to different qualities of stone &c it would have done well if the measurement book had distinctly shewed all these clearly. The importance of correct specific nomenclature does not seem sufficiently to have been realised	117	10	5

(Contd) 62	12th June 1909	Abstract No. 5	paid at 0-0-9 a sft. whereas the same work with burnt stone slabs in No 4 Drainage area is paid at 0-1-0 per sft The reasons for different rates and different units being used and paid are not apparent
63	—do—	9	Sanitary overseer Gelam Mohaddes bill dated 3-10-05 for Rs 26-4-6 being value of iron chairs baggage charges his drainage &c The overseer submitted bill for charges with vouchers. His T.A amounting to Rs 2-4-6 should have been debited to Municipality. How the iron chairs are accounted for is not clear
64	—do—	10	Bill dated 11-6-05 for Rs 107-0-0 contains a claim for letting out 59 pipes at 0-0-6 each from the trenches which Mr Skipp laid, but he laid only 57 pipes. How then Gelam Hussain could be paid for letting out 57 pipes?
65	—do—	12	The cause of differences between the No of pipes of which the cost is debited to the work and that for which laying is paid is not apparent
66	19th June 1909	1	Filling the Northern arm of the Bill dated 28-3-05 in favour of Pattiappa for Rs 347-13-0 for 1113 cyds of earthwork excavation a rate of 5 annas a cubic yard has been

Subordinate engineer and Hence it was the mean was one of the T.R. as debited to	The T.R. should should be write back to the Municipality the the value of the Charges been recovered and credited?	2	4	6	✓
then 25+51 out of which 3 for rectifying pipes were left one on foot Contractors was on water	There were 76 pipes who laid the other 25? The explanation is not conclusive and the last sentence "The whole work was on water" throws a doubt about the accuracy of the number				
he 3 and broken 6. the difference is	Why each of pipes was charged direct to the makers of the Stock? Had the difference is small is no explanation There should be no difference at all Only actual quantities was should be Charged				
Rs 1 not be carried according to the rules that the work was	Sanction of competent authority for paying a higher rate should have been obtained	0	1	12	6

66	19th June 1909		& is at the rate of 0-4-6 authority for higher rate paid should be quoted	Reason is 3 rate Gov after p
67	—do—	3	Bill dated 15-9-05 for Rs261-15-0 in favour of Pattegowda Contains a claim for 1302 cart loads of earth and debris carted from Church road to Palace Square & Doddaiah at 0-2-0 a cart load Unless all the cart loads were carried by a definite number of carts engaged on one day it is absolutely necessary to maintain proper accounts of the same. It is noted that 682 cubic yards are the quantity carted and the amount paid Rs 68-12-0 The cost of carrying only of each cyd amounts to 0-5-0 against the sanctioned rate of 0-4-6 authority for the excess rate should be provided.	The differe circum the lea within The co had va of det
68	—do—	7	Bill dated 15-8-06 for Rs1100-0-7 in favour of Pattegowda A quantity of 1748 cyds of excavation and carriage of earth has been paid for at 0-5-1 against the sanctioned rate of 0-4-6 a cyd including 25% profit for the rate paid was submitted by the S D O There is no authority for excess rate	The rates a average below rate a an amo varieti

Sanctioned

was obtained

carried out at according to the office and the average fell sioned rate

The accounts kept by S Rajsaroch Mud. may be submitted for inspection. That the total rate was within the sanctioned rate so no reason to give a higher rate at any one stage of the work. Sanction of a competent authority ought to have been obtained in each individual case of higher rate

ted that he to keep account

recorded at various [illegible]. But the from rates fell [illegible] rate. The rate can only be [illegible] was to

The rate in the estimate can only be an average rate as the land was ~~[illegible] is not traceable~~. The estimate rate can only be exceeded under a proper sanction on a full consideration of facts

63 7 7

Detail

24/10

			To be read & Shown to Auditor	
	17th July 1909	2 & 3	In October 1905 one cement pipe pipe of 9" has been shown to have been issued, when there was no balance at the beginning of the month nor any receipt as per A Form Register How could this be?	Then Cement of the broke
			& Gully traps are also shown when have been issued when there was no balance This is not understood	There must been issued to the
2	24th July 1909	1	August '06 As per Stock account 20 manhole frames and covers have been shown as received during the month, but as per A. Form Register 72 manhole frames with covers have been accepted. The cause of this difference is not understood	72 during one
3	—do—	4	As noticed previously there are 5 cement barrels less than it ought to be and 2 cement bags less than the actual number The cause of difference to be explained	6 or brought
4	—do—	12	On 31st December '06 there was a balance of 18 sft of windows but when bringing forward the previous month's balance in the A Form Register for January '07 only 6 are entered. Why?	There bring forward previous pages above
5	—do—	18 & 19	In June '07 as per Stock account current 6-6" cement pipes are shown as issued but in the A Form Register	R 3 do 6 c The

... of pipe ... at the distance ... be a baffles or ... been some storekeeper was	The explanation shows that the stock accounts were incorrectly and carelessly kept. That the stock sheet should have been passed by the Ex Engineer shows that the part of his duty which is very important did not receive due attention. A large number of irregularities cannot be accepted by explaining away of things by saying that the difference is small and that the ~~[illegible]~~ Major were new. It was the duty of the Ex Engineer to have seen to the correct accounts being kept.
72 ... they received ... but only 20	The explanation conflicts with entries in authorised reports. The whole stock ought to have been brought on the books
... has been ... from July '06	The explanation misses the point of the query. How have the differences been reconciled?
... the work ... the ... building ... correspondence	The result of the correspondence is awaited
... of the papers and ... issued to	Explanation not satisfactory

Contd 5	24th July 1909	18+17	8 pipes are shown as issued why? August '07 — 13-4" arse domes are shown as issued as per S A. current but as per A Form Register 9-4" arse domes and 4-6" are shown as issued why is the difference
6	24th July 1909	20	In January '08 As per Stock account current 4 tins of Disinfectant paint are shown as issued when there was no balance How could this be?
7	31st July 1909		In June 1905 there were balances of 9 Conveniency Carts 1 dust bin cart and 1 drain cleaning tools which are shown to have been issued to the Municipality and in bringing forward the balances to July '05 A form Reports, they have been omitted without showing any issues to the Municipality Why?
8	— do —	3	June '05. Under office furniture there was 6 Dietz Lanterns, when bringing forward the balances to July '06 A Form Register, they have been omitted - What became of them?
9	— do —	4	In January '06 there was no balance of Steel tape 100 ft. How comes one to be shown as balance on 1st July '06
10	— do —	6	On the 18th August '05 payment was made to one Dacoffa for supplying 10 water tubs and 1 water cart which have not been receipted in the A Form Register Why?

3 6" and 3 was 1 Pressure Office, as were outstanding Pressure		
s outs and	Result awaited	
ed in the year as they were was	Has credit been afforded for the value of carts &c It ought to have been Charged off.	
n offices has explain what	Explanation awaited	
Registers for ea was in No. 3	Was shown that the persons counting certificates were obviously warned	
nd and reports	Result of training awaited	
C.J. 30/6/10	24/7/10	

Ingore City Improvement Trust Board

Appendix B

Construction (1)

[Please see para 192 of the Auditors report]

(Overhead charges)

			No. I Drainage area
	26th Sept. 1908	5	Final bill dated 25-10-05 & 20-11 in favour of Pillappa & Moonishwar Rajoo for Rs 1305-13-8 The total quantity of final measurements recorded for machine ground lime mortar Concrete in measurement Books Nos. 19 & 20 pp 13 & 14, 576 respectively, is 60338 cft & not 60341 as in the book, but that billed & paid for up to date is 60641 cft at 0 4-3 per cft of tendered rate. Thus the overpayment is 303 cft at 0-4-3. Vouchers for the adjustment of Stock & miscellaneous advance adjusted in the above bill for Rs 195-13-10, has not been forthcoming. Clerk D Venkatachalya checked the bill with the measurement book
2	5th Dec. 1908	notes on mark 1	Bill dated 8-1-05 in favour of Erangere Dyava for Rs 196-10-1, Earth cutting has been paid for in excess by 63⅓ cfts at 0/2/0
3	26th Dec. '08	7	Cast iron flushing Syphons debited to "Flushing Syphons"

... of loss to the Board				
Was checked by Babu S. Abbas, who detected the error, Vouchr. 60341, vide Comment prepared by them. The bills 16107-12-2, was not. The compensation bills were sent to the chairman the bill. The bill apply to have been to chairman's office as otherwise, the quantity in the statement would not 60341. The corrections bills also seem to be but to have been made. At the time of the amount was exports as contractors due up to receive payment was not obtained in the office of the chairman the bill	Steps should be taken to recover this amount at an early date. The sub divisional officer may be called upon to explain the circumstances under which this overpayment occurred. [illegible] to show cause why explanation not required	80	7	9
...s @ Lenlabin with ...ted	Noted adjustment awaited	7	14	8
The ... not been used ... to stock	Noted	36	7	9
		124	14	2

No II Drainage area

4	3rd April '09	notes on measurements 2	Bill dated 1st & 12th July '06 in favour of messrs Melloney and Jackman The ~~Contractors~~ forthington have been paid for 18 Sft at Rs 4-8 0. per Square = Rs 0-12-11 which is overpayment	A m Sub k
5	10th April '09	1	Bill dated 1st & 12th July '06 in favour of messrs Malloney & Jackman for Rs 3850-15-2. A rate of 6 pies per Rft was agreed upon for resetting the old Slab Stones in mortar painting the joints. The Ex Engineer would allow 2 pies for cartung Thus the rate payable was 8 pies against 9 pies paid for 8897 Rft	The f carr
6	10th April '09	2	In the above final bill 15896 Rft of slabs have been used on the work out of 17972 Rft removed It is not apparent how the difference of 2076 Rft has been used.	Prob area
7	do	notes on measurements	Bill dated 20-1-08. The total quantity of earth work comes to 2229 & the contractor has been paid for 2233 cyds, thus for 4 cyds he has been overpaid at 0-2-0	This the
8	17th april '09	2	Difference between 19928 Rft of slabs removed and 10727 Rft reset	Please the diary

		124	14	2
calculation in the	The overpayment should be recovered and adjusted	--	12	11
be allowed for	Has sanction been obtained to pay the high rate	46	5	5
	Yes - given. Chairman's No 1128/P&B authorises this payment			
in No II Drainage other works &c	What account is maintained of slabs removed from old drains? What is the reasonable per centage of wastage? The slabs unaccounted for being old may be fairly valued at o/1/ per Rft *	129	12	-
be recovered from or for	* This was not considered when the annas 8 may be recovered & adjusted	-	8	-
Ref. to Para 2 of " " dated 10th April 1909	Vide remarks on the reply. Rate may be fixed at o/1/0 per Rft	575	1	-
	Not considered by the Committee			

9	17th April '09	2&3	Bill of M. Siddappa dated 18.12.05 for Rs 66-4-6 In machine ground Surki mortar, concrete, the contractor has been overpaid for 5 cft at 0-4-0 = Rs 1-4-0. Bill of B Siddappa dated 1.6.07, for Rs 265-11-7. The contractor has been overpaid for 216 Rft at 0-0-8 & 0-0-6, for resetting with mortar joints Rs 9-0-0 & two silt traps at 0-4-0, overpaid (Rs 9-8-0)
10	24th April '09	2(c)	Bill dated 15.6.07, in favour of M Siddappa & Siddaya for Rs 110-5-3 Removing & Resetting Slabs In bill dated 20.8.06, 17074 Rft instead of 16674 Rft previously paid for should have been deducted from the total of 236 98 So there is an excess payment for 700 Rft = 7-4-8
		(b)	47 cft of machine ground Surki mortar at -/3/- overpaid = Rs 8-13-0. 18 Rft of resetting Slabs with mortar = /9/-
11			
12	DO	2 Rotsa meas 2	out of 236 96 Rft of Slabs removed, 17,747, Rft have been reset. How is the balance used Bill dated 24.12.05, in favor of Sanjeevappa for Rs 191-6-0 Surki mortar concrete The contractor has been paid in excess for 2 cft at 0-4-0 = 0-8-0
13	1st May 1909	14	Bill dated 12.7.06 for Rs 82-1-10, in favour of Yagappa. A fine of Rs 10 was inflicted on the contractor for bad work done by him as ordered by Ex Engineer

from the con- is a clerical error	The overpayments should be re-covered and adjusted			
ction will be made the contractor's	do - do - do.	10	12	-
yet settled and		858	1	6
ill be recovered contractor	do - - - do	16	10	8
Para 2 of Diary for	What accounts have been maintained	371	13	-
ended 10-4-09	of stats removed from old drains			
an error in calculation	This overpayment should be recovered & adjusted	—	8	0
and, rectified the	Order for cancelling the time	10	-	-
mission	should be shown to the auditor			
		1287	1	2

			on the Daily report dated 2-6-06 & 16 5 06 The fine has not been deducted from the contractor's bill and there is no order for remitting the fine
14	1st May '09	1,2½ 3&6	Bill dated 19-1-06 for Rs 115-9-10 in favor of Sangawappa Manholes - Surki mortar concrete The contractor has been overpaid ½ eft at 0-3 9 or 0-15-0 Bills dated 19 1 06 in favour of Siddappa Flush tanks - Burnt brick in Surki The contractor has been overpaid for 9 eft at 0-3-3 or 1-13-3 Flush tanks - Surki mortar The contractor has been overpaid ½ eft at 0-100 2-0-0 Bill dated 26 1-06 in favour of Chennakesava Lining & fixing cement pointing with old mortar Slabs The Contractor has been paid for 27 eft at Rs 1-11-0 or Rs 1-11-0
15	15th May '09	70 & 71	Final bill dated 30-12-06 in favour of Angelo & Co for Rs 249-15-11 A quantity of 4,40 Rft of ½" round iron supplied & fixed at 0-0-6 per Rft = 13-12-0 which was entered in measurements dated 18-10 06 as disallowed, has been included in the final bill and paid without a loss of entry in the measurement book
16	do	2,3 & 4	Earth work excavation in ordinary soil. Contractor Chennakesava mott has been

	If there has been no order of merit Standing Committee also fear by the Contractor, cannot on the face of the Question Do if the order might be obtained =	1287	1	2
Calculation	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted	6	7	3
missing inspection and original entry measurement book	It is observed that Mr Pranakantha has made at page 14 of the Measurement book a pencil remark that the cost of 4x6 Rft of 4" round iron is included in Concrete. The same item was again included also as a Rft in the final bill with the same official remark at page 11, that the rate was for extra reinforcement for cement as per Ex. Engineer's instructions But now it is stated that payment was withheld pending inspection. These two statements may be reconciled + Prior approval to Ex. Engr	13	12	-
calculation	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted.	6	5	-
		1313	9	5

The contractor has the work done without approval by authority and the work is allowed by the Ex. Engr.

Entirely unjustifiable and excessive payment by authority

			overpaid for 1½ft at 0-2-0 = 0-8-0 (vide bill dated 25-1-01) (3) Manholes machine ground surki mortar concrete. Contractor Basappa has been overpaid for 2 ft at 0-4-0 = 0-8-0 (4) Burnt brick in surki mortar vide bill 317 of Basappa Contractor has been overpaid for 2 ft at 0-3-6 = 0-7-0 vide same bill (7) Machine ground surki mortar, the Contractor Dyavappa has been overpaid for 24 ft at 0-3-3 = 4-14-0; vide his bill dated 1-1-07.
17	22nd May '09	3	In November '07, a payment of Rs 76-1-8 has been made to the Superintendent of Industrial school for 1124 lbs of 14" iron sheets of which the S.D.O reported that 75 4½ ft were used in silt traps the weight of this is said to be 755 lbs. Contractor returned 240 lbs to Dn office. The balance 129 lbs is treated as wastage and the cost thereof stands at debit of the work. It is thus seen that agst 755 lbs of sheets used, there is a wastage of 129 lbs or 17% c. The value of 240 lbs also stands charged to subhead of works not brought to materials, at site account
18	7th Nov 08	28	Bill dated 7-2-05 & 21-2-05, for Rs 18-12 for the hire of a cart at Rs per diem for 30 days for supplying water for constructing Jalagar houses, charged to Compensation
19	12th Dec 08	15816	out of the amount of Rs 174-7-2, a sum of Rs 42-13-0 is fine inflicted on the contractor. The balance of Rs 131-10-2 is Nig. Gulam Hussain as per bill dated 29-8-04 12-8-0 Javarappa 86-14-12 Mani Ryachar 23/8/04. 32-14-0 paid to headworkers for a work done by them

		1313	9	5
much owing to shape s. and to size of sheets ze is not at all great	This is not understood Code distinctly says that every weight has to be given to economical considerations special sanction of Government to charge off such a heavy percentage should be obtained and communicated-			
brought into accounts. quiry	The value of 240 lbs of 1¼" iron sheets viz Rs 16 4-0 may be brought in accounts	16	4	-0
n the orders of the give them facilities	This is irregular not a proper charge against the Board these remarks are stated to have been communicated to the chairman	30	-	-
man alone can Than	The addition was initialed by A S Huffa Swamy mudi Sub overseer & passed by the S.D.O & Ex engineer The Ex Engineer should therefore be in a position to explain this better than the chairman who perhaps accepted the bill on the responsibility of the Ex. Engineer. The sum of Rs 131 10-2 should be noted as an overpayment	174	7	2
		1534	4	7

				Its inclusion therefore in the bill of Contractor Ramaswamayya is not understood
20	30th jan 1909	notes on measts 5		Nagarbad Drainage area Bill dated 6 6 06 in favour of Hebbayya and Nanjiah Burnt brick in Surki mortar 9 cft as on-qualy entered but it ought to be 2 cft This is overpayment at 0 3 9 = 1-10-3
21	20th Feb 09	2+3		Bill for final measurements dated 26-6-07 in favour of Dasaiah The total of cement concrete comes to 35 79 & not 35-88 The Contractor is overpaid for .09 Squares at 0-12-0 = 0-1-1 The total of cement plastering comes to 20 02 Sqrs & not 20 18 The excess for .16 Sqrs at 125 per Sqr = 0-12-9
22	do	8		Constructing Experimental Bill dated 22-2-05 in favour of Ponnuswamy. When deducting for doors, 15 cft of mud wall have been deducted instead of 19 cft & 4 cft at 0 0 5 or 0-1-8 is the overpayment
23	13th Feb 1909	8		Formation of a new Dodda holagere In bill dated 18 10 06 for Rs 389-8-0 in favour of Linga Jowda, Rs 115-10-0 have been billed for 9 25 cups of cutting earth at 0-2-0, instead of 9 0 5 cups only
24	— do —	9		Recovery of Rs 98-2-10, cost of constructing Compound wall to houses in block no 1, as per bill in favour of Linga Jowda dated 21 5-07
25	— do —	13		Cost of forming the eastermost road of Weaver's lines for the visit of Their R. H. Prince & Princess of Wales to be recovered from Mr S. Krishnaswamy Iyer

	and also it is to be seen whether there is a distinct order for the remission of the fine	1504	4	7
...al error in calculation ...ion	The overpayment should be recovered and adjusted		10	3
...rical error by the ...ional clerk	overpayments should be adjusted	~~13~~	13	10
Idga ...rical error by the clerk	Do Do	—	1	8
...rical error in the ...ion	The overpayment of 20 cyds of earth work at 0-2-0, should be recovered & adjusted	2	8	-
	Extract sent to the chairman	98	2	10
...chairman disbursed ...ion and allowances ...f	do - do - do	10	5	0
		1647	14	2

				Nazarbad Drainage area
26	13th Feb. 1909	notes on meas 1,2+3		Bill in favour of Siddappa dated 5 2 05 The Contractor has been overpaid 1 cft of burnt brick in Surki mortar at 0 3 3 The total under Covering Slabs comes to 29 37 1/2 Sft and not 29 4 0 as corrected Hence overpayment is 2 1/2 Sqft at 0-3-0 = 0-7-6
27	— do —	6		Bill dated 24-4 06 in favour of Sanjeevappa The total for Repairing Drains in Nazarbad comes to 16 11 Sqrs but not 16 25 Sqrs Rs 1-1-11 for 1/4 Sqrft at Rs 8 per Square has been overpaid
		9		Repairing drains with 1/2" cement plastering. The total comes to 6 71 Sqrs & not 6 76 Sqrs The contractor is overpaid - 0-6-5
28	27th Feb 09	18		Rs 80-14-0, paid for constructing masonry cistern to store water for building houses vide Pillappas bill dated 17/7/08
29	— do —	3		Bill dated 20 8 04 in favour of Krishnachary cost of 17 2/3 maunds of Coir ropes shown to be adjusted from this bill, has been omitted to be adjusted
30	6th March 09	10		Water Supply to the new Dodda Bill for final measurements dated 15-6 06, in favour of Pillappa for Rs 61-2-3 Besides paying separately for excavation of earth. Carrying of pipes, a sum of Rs 37-8-0 (60 Carts at 10 as each) has been paid for carting 4 pipes from the Railway station The excess rate noticed above & the cartage had both been as allowed by Ex Engineer

		1647	14	2
clerical error in original clerk	overpayments to be adjusted	-	10	9 ✓
—	do	1	8	4

			Constructing Experiment	
31	6th March '09	Notes on Measurement Book	Final bill dated 29 6 05 in favour of Narasimhiah Chunnam plastering with cement rendering for latrine .10 sqrs at Rs 6-4-4 = 0-6-4 has been overpaid to Contractor	
32	— do —		In the Construction of three houses at Jalapura and 2 Nos Cost of Slabs & ridge tiles have not been charged (vide paras 53 and 131)	A, 12
			Water Supply to the New Dodda	
33	13th March 09	20	A write back of Rs 2825-8-3 in December '07, has been made to laying pipes to Doulton's Stone ware pipes. The expenditure incurred underlaying 6" pipes totals 3733-8-3 for laying 3622 Rft against the provision of Rs 0-8-3 for laying 1170 Rft at 0-12 5 The amount written back is exactly the excess over the sanctioned provision. The write back has been made to cost of pipes in nos IV & V Drainage areas under which subheads there were savings. The Dodda Holagera is entirely in no V Drainage area and it is not practicable to find a write back of cost of pipes to no IV Drainage area. The write back was however effected in the chairman's office, who has said that it may be reversed after the sanction of Govt is obtained	the sup The pac Sulom
34	— do —	25	It is observed that against 2314 Rft of 1" pipe available 2271 Rft have been paid for, for laying etc How the balance of 43 Rft has been disposed of is not known	Possib

...ji Extension ...error in calculation the Sub-Division	The overpayment should be adjusted	1797	2	7
			6	4
		158	6	3
...e to an error in ...be submitted to Board ...ns were mistaken	It is wrong in principle to debit an unsanctioned outlay to a sanctioned estimate. Cost of laying pipes to Dodda Holagere should be written back from NOT drainage area to NOT drainage area. The intention with which it appears, the write-back effected is highly objectionable	276		
Chairman wanted ...timate checked and ...which one submitted. ...has first been ...Board and ...not				
...in Jalapura	Ex Engineers replies are not definite. The fact must be ascertained & reported	10	12	-
		2262	11	2

			Cutting and forming Roads	
35	26th march '09	Notes 18 F22	Supplying water to house buildings in the Chatnahalli Extension — vide Karnā's bill Dated 15.6.07 & 5.7.07	
			Drainage of Weavers li	
36	27th March 1909	5	measurement Book no 9 Bill dated 25.6.05 in favour of messrs Mahony & Jackman The contractors have been paid for 6 cyds of earth work excavation, hard soil at 0 3-0, more than the quantity of work done by them	ca
37	22nd may '09	7 A	A Sum of Rs 114-6-1, was paid in march '08 to messrs Arbuthnot's Industrial Company for bent tube Syphons and charged to the work, direct to Subhead concerned without authority The payment made was for 9 bent tube Syphons, while the fitting only one has been paid for to Appajappa, as per his final bill It is not known how the other Syphons were accounted for and how the Value of all the 9 Syphons could be charged to the work	The an wor pay a Th wor to th hav nsta ach ased back es ca the Ca
38	— do —	1+3	Bill Dated 20-12-07 for Rs 84-8-9 Cement Concrete. The contractor has been overpaid for 1.79 at 0-12-0 = 1-5-5 Bill Dated 12.5.08 for Rs 618-14 Earth work to Drain The contractor has been overpaid for 9.30 at 12 annas a cft = 6-15-7	This necess rical

		2242	11	2
Canes in the Chatnahalli extension under the orders ...	Is this a legitimate (ii) to the ~~Board~~ Board	35	8	-
clerical error in the sub-division	The overpayment should be recovered and adjusted.		2	-
question were received the City Improvement bill was received for late money under was available in this portion debit was made the time this should ...tten back and adjusting to the number ...structed and siphons ...ted necessary write ...ffected this matter ...ce when submitting Report	It would have been better, if the article in the first instance had been debited to 'material at site account' tured up. The direct debit to work on account of inattention to rules has resulted in this confusion. The Completion Report having already been submitted Rs 101-10-9 the value of the unused siphons may now be credited to Mysore City Improvement Fund as Revenue and if there be any siphons lying unused they should be brought into stock without value if they are useful for other purposes for this latter ... to ~~...~~	101	10	9
...recovered if is owing to clerical calculation	The overpayment of 1-12-11 and Rs 6-15-7 for 9.30 cft of cement concrete at 0-12-0 per cft, may be recovered and adjusted			
	Sir please make these words - the siphons are allowed to be used in the ... by ...	8	5	0
		2389	4	11

39	29th May '09	Note on Meas. 10	Bill dated 22-5-08 for Rs 2277-4-6 in favour of B Siddappa A quantity of 2 11 Sqrs of Cement plastering ½" thick has been paid for at Rs 8/- per Sqr though the rate agreed upon is Rs 7-8-0
40	— do —	4	In the above bill 2 of plastering with white mortar paid for in excess at Rs 3/ = 0-10-0 The Contractor is overpaid for 10½ Sft at 0-1-6 = 0-15-9 under burnt stone slabs
		5	Bill dated 28 6. 08 for 2214 9 1 of the same Contractor The contractor has been overpaid for 20 Sqft at 0-0-6 = 0-10-0
		6	Bill dated 28.6 08 for Rs 3510-1-6 of Wallian pille The contractor has been overpaid 1.40 cft at 0-13-3 = 1-2-7. Laying Doulton's Stoneware
41	5th June 09	1	Bill dated 23-4 05 for Rs 2374-5-0. The measurements show that 1036 Rft of 9" old pipes were removed How these pipes were accounted for is not clear and there is no nominal roll for Rs 10/- deducted from this bill
42	— do —	3	Bill for measurement dated 12 6 05 in favour of Puttegowda for Rs 974. The bill has been prepared by S.O V C Krishnaswamy mon but signed by mr H.F. Marker who has not noted his check measurements A quantity of 765 cft of cutting shown in the book has been split up in the bill equally among the three classes of soil

will be recovered contractor This error	Rs 1-0-10, should be recovered and adjusted°	1	-	10
ll be adjusted in inal bill...	The overpayments should be recovered and adjusted	3	6	4
That these pipes so nearly ½ of that, were ceable while re- Some were used in Holagen and some Stores. Rs 10 Rs 27-8-0	Noted But proper accounts should have been kept whether the articles were serviceable or not, so long as they were in Divisional Stores value of pipes is not less than 1000 of Rs	1000	-	-
they get measurement bills in the Divi- ing quantity Cals The Rs bill be forwarded to the certificate for	The explanation is not satisfactory If a class of cabin was impossible a lump sum rate should have been allowed To split up of pay is objectionable, Code para 96 3 requires that all bills should be checked against their measurement books in the Divisional office under the control of the accountant			
		3393	12	1

without consulting the Divisional authorities this permission cannot be accorded

			It is not known on what basis the distribution was made:	
			~~Cutting work~~ including blasting Hard Inorum Soil	
			Cutting work with wedges & picks	
			The bills also contains a claim for 304 cft of dismantling at 0-0 3. While details in the measurement book work up to 299. There is thus an excess payment of 0-1-3	
43	— do —	Notes on Meast. 8	From a perusal of the details of materials in the roll as having been purchased it will be seen that the tyrie buckets and a lantern (8-12-0 & 0-4-9) have been brought. How these articles are accounted for is not clear	
44	— do —	2	How the 876 Rft of Covering Slabs carted to No. III Sub Division office are accounted for is not clear	No ac use
45	— do —	4	The Contractor is overpaid for 542 cft at 0-4-0 = Rs 2-1-8	There
46	12th June 09	4	Documents in support of the following are not forthcoming	
			July 06; Siddah, md Pavan of Thulla 0-14-0	
			Md. Gouse & Salamma 4-12-0	
			Bumba & Khasim Khan 1-0-0	bill
			D Nathmall & Co 11-12-0	
			August 06	
			Hussain Sheriff 5-00	
			Roshan Lall 6-0-0	

		3393	12	1
is approximate, unable to classify locality and it has proved by the chai- o has also paid it to be has been cor- measurement book responding correction are in the bill	The disbursers' responsibility is not extinguished by simply paying a bill prepared by a Sub-Divisional officer unless he can also say that the amount paid has been correctly calculated and justly due.	-	1	3
which were used for ll they became unfit or use by wear and They were cheap	These are items of Tools and Plant and they have to be brought on to that account and when they became unserviceable, a Survey report ought to have been made and sanction of proper authority obtained for their working off	9	-	9
maintained but works ? "Such data may be printed out if bills left out"	a proper account of materials should have been kept the loss at the rate of 0-1-0 per Rft is Rs 54-12-0	54	12	-
over payment by the auditor have not been pointed out'	The over payment should be re-covered	2	1	8
Unvouched for	Hanging lamps Rs 10 8-0 and a Stove Rs 10-4-0. Have these been brought on 'tools & plant' account If not, why not? The S D Officers memo to which attention has been invited has not been sent. The vouchers marked "will be searched for" should be shown to the auditor, if not already shown	20	12	-
		34907		

47	12th June '09	notes in meas 6	A stone was purchased of Messrs Gallo and Co, for Rs 20-14-0, in August '07 and charged to work. It is not known how the stone is accounted for	
48	— do —	1-2	Bill dated 25.6.06, for Rs 1365 6-10 in favour of Messrs Maloney and Jackman. Earth work excavation. Overpayment for 3½ cyds at 0-2-0 = 0-7-0 Excavation moorum & gravel The total for the item comes to 153 and not 157 cyds. Thus there is an overpayment for 4 cyds at 0-3-6 = 0-14-0 Burnt brick in machine ground surki mortar. The contractors have been overpaid for 320 cft at 0-3-6 = Rs 70-00	A an wa an Para Th
49	19th June '09	11	Bill dated 29-1-07, for Rs 420-12-0, in favour of Pulte Gowda. Under "Roadway embankment" dressing & filling of 240 railing stones have been paid for at Rs 3/- each. Dimensions of bed slab required for each stone are found to be uniformly 2'x1½'. at this rate the quantity required amount to 720 cft. while only 660 cft have been paid for at 0-3-6 a cft. One of the items indicating the number of stones fixed on the tank bund 65, has been altered to 85 the original figure 6 being written over with a different ink, so as to make it appear 8. Again the total no. 220 has been corrected to 240 It is not known whether the actual no. of stones fixed is 220, 230 or 240	The ex check seen of the the ac 20 s stone and fo who in the paid h vector density of the s Divisi recover

		3480	7	9
purchased for this work. The new heating room be taken to accounts	It ought to have been taken to 'Tools and plant' account. This should be now be done	20	14	-
calculation				
...ely on within con- ...e Sub-Divisn this bill ...by clerk B. Subbarao ...ice by accountant ...ate in the chairman's office ...ed ...ecify.	The overpayments should be recovered. → to the Y.G. Subbarao ← Explanation not put in full.	71	5	-
...as made before the clerk ...ements as will be ...e clerk's own correction ...d 4/8 in overhauling ...there about of that ...found that these very to ...by the same contractor ...Trunk Road ...ed a bill dated 21.12.07 ...favour and had ...paying the Cont- ...Stones this was wi- ...being returned ...paid on 20/11 Sub- ...overpayment made ...Contractors' arrears	The Conversion could not have been caused, if departmental rules had been strictly followed. The over-payment should be recovered	60	-	-
		632	10	9

50	19th June '09	motor mean 1.248	laying Doulton's Pipes Bill dated 23 6 06, for 1365-6-10 The contractor has been overpaid ~~for 5 cft at 0-4-0~~ 1-4-0 ~~The page of measurement book~~ no 15 P. 31. ~~for~~ removing and fixing Covering Slabs, the contractor is overpaid more for 2 sft at 0-1-6 = 0-3-0	m.
51	26th June '09	4	Bill of M. Siddappa dated 24-8-06 1 cft of Burnt brick in machine ground Surki mortar, overpaid	m
		1	Burnt brick in machine ground Surki mortar. The contractor is overpaid for 2 cft at 0-3-6 = 0-7-0	for not for
52	30th July '09	3	Bill in favour of Ranga Rao raidu dated 23-1-06 for Rs 2266-5-9 The contractor is overpaid for 3 cys of removing boulders at 0-8-0	It det

Calculation	The overpayment should be recovered	1	7	-
Recovered if necessary		—	3	3
Question is 87 and 88, may be recovered from contractor	— do — do —	—	3	6
calculation is not with the Sub Division	— do — do —	1	8	0
	Total Rupees	3636	-	6

Copy

H. Nizlingarao

For Alg Secy to Govt

Mysore City Improvement Trust Board

Appendix C

(Para. 7.5 of the Report)

			This is a charge to be borne by the [illegible] & yet is not known where it goes to. There is no Voucher for Rs 12-11-3 Value adjusted in December from the amt 195-11-5 due to Chennakesava Moodr a Bill d 24-1-05 for Sanitary Improvements in Anaiparai
2	do	6	
3	do	Nos 10	Bill d 28-12-05 in favor of Sahibar Hussain d 16-2-06 — Pillappa — First bill d 20-12-05 do Bill d 20-1-07 — Chennakesava Moodr Mr Ganathathuhara Iyer the Sub Engineer certifies on the above bills that he has checked measurements & found them correct. In his ja does not mention of his having visited the
4	3rd Actuals	1 & 2	Bill d 26-2-06 in favor of Somasamy for for Sanitary Improvements No. I Drainage Rs 1-12-9 adjusted by credit to his advance Voucher for Rs 135-3-4 Value of stock Dasappa Vide his bill d 19-8-06 for Rs 146-9 adjusted in February 07 does not seem to have taken
5	do	3	Mr K. Ganathathuhara Iyer S.D.O. states on his in favor of Bellaiya for Rs 19-13-6 that he check measurements on 13-7-06 and found them correct journal shows no sign of his having checked on that date.
6	do	4	The following bills of which measurements have recorded by K. Rahaman Labbai Maraiy have been passed by Appanpillai Moodr & Alah Moorin who before signing certificate on them that the measurements were,

Connection with the taking of the floor by order of the Chairman & the Sub Divisional Board. The Sub Board was operating in the area. Vide Regulation [illegible] Chapter [illegible] which will be obtained from Sub Divisional Board. The previous accountant evidently did not call for a voucher in checking the payment, nor was two called for by the Chairman who should submit voucher for Rs [illegible]

Charges for improving the old work should have been covered by a separate Estimate.

Voucher to be obtained

He ascertained from the Sub divisional officer that he was not in the habit of writing in his journal all the works he inspected as the works were all in proximity to one another.

All the works inspected by the Sub divisional officer should have been mentioned in his journal.

An amount of Voucher will be obtained & forwarded not called for by the Chairman's office.

This was an adjustment made by the D O The voucher for adjustment officer was called for and it was not submitted by him

Voucher to be obtained

These blocks belong to No 1 drainage area evidently an omission of little importance.

Please see remarks against 7 to 10 of the previous diary

Should have been seen by the Sub divisional officer & could not be detected at the drainage measurement books are not received here.

In the explanation of Vape relin made for defining the certificate of measurements, when the measurements were taken as a matter of fact they have among must be obtained & sent

		Bill d 16-11-05 Ramaswami Naidu (Rs 5)
		— 20-12-05 B C Mappa 24
		— 16-2-06 do 39
		— 26-2-06 P Ramasami 57
		— 29-8-05 Chenakrishna Naidu 53
3rd October 08	6	Bill d 29-8-05 in favor of Chenakrishna for Rs 53-7-6
		The Sub Divl Officer remarks on this bill checked the measurements on 29-8-05 and date his journal shows, he visited Mr I in Rs II Dry land area. Balance passed for which this bill was are entered in measurement book Rs 7 J. Krishna Rao Sub Inspector, bill was pr by Salak Narayana Ramana but signed, Nagamalu Naidu.
14th October 08	1.	Arrears of Rs 5 on bill d 31-7-04 in favor Muddappa for Rs 88-4-0 have been adj towards by credit to deposits But this not been noted on the bill. This amount have been left at credit of the work as then incomplete
9 do	4	Regular materials account was not maintained. Since this date, the accounts were checked & found correct up to 30-6-08.
10 do	6.	The C Register does not bear evidence of the -al accountant having checked the entries made up to end of August 05. The only occasion which the entries are checked by Mr Ramachandra Iyer is on 7-6-06. Mr Mahler's 5-6-06 the honour initials the entries since August 05 is no evidence of the Exec Engr having seen the
11 do	7-8.	Bill dated 31-3 for Rs 209-7-9 for Cement & other

The Sub Divisional Officer is responsible for the Division office records and not measurement books.

Sub Divisional officers Inspection may be sent in.

It was proposed to close the Estimate [illegible] was brought on to deposits as [illegible] were not known. The note on the [illegible] was written madvertently by Subba Rao [illegible] duty of accountant.

The amounts due to Contractors should not be credited to deposits for the purpose of closing the work though after the work is closed the amount may be so dealt with. This practice is objectionable. Vide para 1477 of the P.W. Code.

There was no accountant, Kuppuswamy is culpable.

The accounts ought to have been kept correctly.

The simple reason that there was no regular Accountant in this office was pointed in the Chairman's office Vide Letter No. 895 dt 7-11-08. The C Reporters [illegible] the Chairman's office with the bills [illegible] accounts were compiled. For the same reason [illegible] Sub D C [illegible] to under their orders for this [illegible] because not have [illegible] work stopped.

The check of C Reporters is a very important duty. If by this check was not exercised in the Chairman's office may be explained.

[illegible] work to build the quarter. Co[illegible] [illegible] the work.

			rightly chargeable to the Board have to be done by the Contractor at his a as these are preliminary operations, it should take for Conqly permanent, & also the Cost of which is not cha the Contractor.
			This bill & bill d 31-3-904 in favor for Rs 322-10-2 has not been checked, Engineer.
12	17th October	10	Bill dated 28-6-04 in favor of Che for Rs 924-12-1 Date of payment made by the Chairman has not been rec bill or from the C Refister.
13	do	11	Bill 18-6-04 in favor of Pamasamae Rs 28-4-0 & bill d 4-7-04 in favor for Rs 67-0-0. The sum of the two has been paid. Both the bills were prepared Kappa Ram, Cashier under the written o the Executive Engineer, but the measurem seem to have been recorded in the bo the charge is for preparing Covers measuring Ciallung. The full outlay sh have been charged to Covers for Cistern "Constructing measuring Cistern" noted charging a portion Rs 28-4-0 to Covers bill may.
14	do	12.	No Vouchers seems to be forthcoming for 204-1-9 debited in July 04 from Ch Chennakesava made bill d 28-6-04 for 924-12-1.
15	do	15	Bill d 17-10-04 in favor of Chennakesa for Rs 307-13-8
			The measurements recorded herein up of this bill fall short of the measurements already billed and paid for by the amount shown against them have been adjusted by minus entries.

of his work Contract

The bills ought to have been checked & attested by a responsible officer. No bill ought to have been paid without its being regularly examined.

expect the commencement of the work was done under my personal supervision & orders.

The bills ought to have been checked & attested by a responsible officer. No bill ought to have been paid without its being regularly examined.

omission in the Chairman's office.

Date of payment should be taken & noted on the bill & the fact reported.

Kaphyrhans omission.

The Gauges should have been taken stuck & issued to works.

This is very irregular & should not be repeated.

Graphs are imaginary Gauges with the head sunk below another drain. They are practically the same.

No measurements are to be taken by an imaginary subordinate & should not be left to be done by an office hand.

It is Chairman's office where Vouchers should have been taken.

No payment should be made without first obtaining the requisite Vouchers.

[illegible] the Chairman that the [illegible] feet of drains [illegible] were in quite [illegible] the [illegible] were further [illegible] the Inspectors [illegible] the [illegible] after indicating the difficulty

The Subordinate who takes the measurements should always initial the measurements taken in the Inspector's [illegible] in the imaginary [illegible]

16	17th October	17	Bill dated 17-1-05 in favor of Yan[illegible] Rs 21-3-0 has been prepared by the Cashier & no legal has signed under provided certificate of measurement bill. In reference to the measurements whereon the measurements billed have been recorded has been taken.
17	24th October	4-5	Nominal roll of labor employed from 23rd to [illegible] Deccan for levelling & marking sites in Intenjan for Rs 5-0-0. This sum has been debited to Earthwork under Drains & Canal labor roll 4-3-04 for Rs 15/- [illegible] Narayana has employed from 21-1-04 to 19-[illegible] at a rate of 8a day for writing notices & [illegible] them, maintaining notice register. It is doubtful if the charge is debitable to Earthwork in the Jalapuri drainage [illegible] & C
18	do	6	Nominal Roll amounting to Rs 68-14-[illegible] of Rs 4-15-9 charged in the accounts [illegible] March 04. Of this amount Rs 61-8-3 has been charged to an intermediate subhead "Miscellaneous advances". It is not possible to trace how of Rs 68-14-9, Rs 62-8-[illegible] is only chargeable to the Jalapuri Intenjan [illegible] of Mastry Kuppuswami Naidu's employed [illegible] Nos: 1 & 3 & 29-3-04 the Inspection

[illegible] in measurement to the estimate [illegible]

[illegible] was decided to [illegible] measures & [illegible] in doing so [illegible] reasons by reviewing the [illegible] the [illegible] was [illegible] and of the late measurements

Roll was made out by Cashier Kaffin, presently for the frame supplied & office & should have been signed by him or any signature should have been obtained. The omission in this respect was also Kaffin's otherwise [illegible] supplied should have been taken to stock & issued to work.

No work must have been started before estimate was sanctioned and funds appropriated. This is a violation of the first principles of accounts. No was preliminary to the sanction of the estimate & starting of damage work.

Roll is signed by the Chairman, who charged to work as no office data shown. Had men been paid sanctioned the roll was paid by Mr Paramanandam & had not been sign by one all [illegible]

[illegible] made up of the amount of Roll 21-3-0 to 29-3-0 & 29-3-0. These are the [illegible] to [illegible] & to [illegible] & [illegible] materials obtained from different

Measures of measure & weight were made were adopted for measuring when the G.P.W. Code prescribes the inch & the foot for linear measurement to should be explained.

It was not the duty of an office head, & which leads the Cashier to prepare bills. It is the duty of Engineer subordinates to do this. Why a bill was prepared in the office in an informal manner is not explained. This is a violation of an elementary principle. The management relating to this claim should be traced & the omission rectified & steps taken reported.

The check should not be written back from work to establish work.

was incurred for carriage of lifting
of materials for repairs of the
Drill water machine at Sukhpanch and
the 14th to 27-3-[illegible]. Materials from
Rs 49-2-9 as detailed on the margin
were intended for labor only. The
Divn. adjutant was advised on the a
decision by debit that expense
Rs. 20 Rs. 20 Rs. 20

[illegible] different [illegible] as [illegible]
[illegible] So a [illegible] bill for the
[illegible] from one may [illegible] not
[illegible] stand. Hence [illegible] in the [illegible] Roll.

The [illegible] Roll has been approved by
the [illegible] under Caper of [illegible]
[illegible] which amounts to pay order
[illegible] certificate will [illegible] be
[illegible] by [illegible] who paid the roll
[illegible] admitted to defend.

The initials of the
Executive Officer does
not amount to pay
order. There should be
a written order to pay.

The certificate will now be defined.

Names of Coolies arranged for work
[illegible] in the roll when the work was
[illegible] in expectation of their turning up
for duty, their presence or absence
[illegible] noted. At this distance of time
it is not possible to ascertain what
facts are.

The rules relating to
the preparation of
Muster rolls and
payments therein
should receive
strict attention.
No Violation can be
permitted.

Labor employed was debited to Contractors
[illegible] not only [illegible] the labor [illegible]
[illegible] supplied them

Every write-back
should be supported
by a Voucher in the
Shape of a Write
back order

[illegible] not represents the value
[illegible] element [illegible] to Contractor
[illegible] if [illegible] [illegible] to work
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

22 31st December 10 — [illegible] of Rs 100/- is debited in the Machine Grand Cutki Master Drain represents a portion of cost of machine that the master tank [illegible] and the [illegible] Master [illegible] is sold to Contractors and Value of the Cost of the shed and the Value sold should have been recovered.

23 7th March 08 1 — Rs 36-10-8 has been written back in Grain Machine Grand Cutki Master Drains to materials at site — details this write back are not known. In the account of the Sukkur, the remark appears and this is obviously not

24 do 5 — Rs 249-13-5 paid to the Superintendent Industrial School Impore in Aug. This is a portion of Rs 967-6-4 paid against a claim of Rs 2468-13-8. [illegible] were disallowed and the balance of 2403-2-8 paid. Before passing the payment any approach of the grain and materials articles referred should have been acknowledged by receipt in order to see that the articles stated were actually received by Account Staff. No subordinate shows any trace materials as remaining with [illegible] in his monthly returns &c.

25 do 8 — It is said that in order to avoid [illegible] in payment of salaries of watchmen, sweepers, the Sub Divl Officers were [illegible] in December '08 to pay them an advance [illegible] month without [illegible] [illegible] Orders of the Executive Engineer [illegible] Rs held are stated therefore take [illegible] as this account from December '08.

25 do 10 — Bill of 10-2-0 for Rs 58-0-5 for [illegible] Cistern for raising up water for [illegible]

"I was Concerned in the interest [illegible] Mill & hence [illegible] by Government and not [illegible] to Contractors.

A separate Estimate should have been considered for the purpose.

The Sum represents the Cost of Machine Grand Durbi Master should not have charged that was therefore been treated as payment the been subsequently [illegible] from the bills of Contractors an account of materials, an Entry had taking made to adjust the error by credit to Machine Grand Durbi Master.

The Exec. Engineer remarks the Fittings were urgently needed & ordered as per requirements & were not fitted to works. The bills were subsequently received and paid. The fittings were, it is believed were [illegible] by the Industrial School.

The Exec. Engineer's remarks the fittings it is believed were [illegible] by the Industrial School. It is not understood. A clearer explanation should be [illegible] for reported.

Payments to Madhavan Shroffs were permitted to be made on the responsibility of the Chief & officer the, [illegible] on the account [illegible] with the Vouchers.

It is very improper to make payments without a bill of the payment has been made by the Executive officer. It was simply [illegible] to make to have [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] was just as in the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Cancelling [illegible]

			This charge does not appear [illegible] the Bank, but it is recommended [illegible] Contractors who used the Cistern [illegible]
27	7th March '08	13, 18 & 21	A further charge of Rs 164-4-6 a [illegible] Supplemental Vouchers in favor of [illegible] on which there is no endorsement of payment on the bill [illegible] by Accounts Office
28	do	14	Bill of 26-6-0 for Rs 14-1-0. The [illegible] has not signed the bill & the endorsement of payment is not signed by [illegible]
29	do	17	Bill dated 27-6-08 for Rs 8-4-0. The [illegible] on this bill is so different from that [illegible] bill of 29-4-08 for Rs 10-6-0 as to [illegible] his signature on the counterfoil of this amount.
30	14th March '08	1.	Bill dated 12-3-08 in favor of B. O. [illegible] for Rs 236-5-1 paid in May '08. The [illegible] ments are entered in favor of B. Sidd[illegible] & the bill was apparently prepared [illegible] name of the Contractor who subsequently [illegible] corrected to B. Ollapha's name in the [illegible] book & the bill which has however been [illegible] by B. Ollapha
31	do	6	Rs 67-9-1 charged as Miscellaneous charges in [illegible] 1908. The list of Vouchers shows this [illegible] this charge is a portion of the Independence [illegible] made up of payment to labor as well as [illegible]

[illegible] most public Convenience.

[illegible] Kappa has omission in not taking order on the bill.	The omissions are serious, & not perhaps so thought of by the Free Labourer. Still the Cashier is responsible for the omission but the Superintendent is not prosecuted for not looking into these things merely because there is another to look to this work.
Pay order has been noted on the bill. If such omissions were due to the [illegible] keeping an accountant & a competent Cashier	The omissions are serious & not perhaps so thought of by the Superintendent, though from them they are not of the very [illegible] of accounts & should be strictly [illegible].
Probably he was an illiterate Contractor [illegible] learn just their two name.	The explanation is more a damage than a mere effect. What the real facts were should be reported.
Billappa is the Schedule Contractor Bidappa was working as his [illegible]	If Bidappa was the agent of Billappa, bill should not have been prepared in Billappa's name.
not [illegible] to furnish at this [illegible] the details for the [illegible] of [illegible]. Now it is the Superintendent	If accounts had been carefully required and the [illegible] which had been received, the misappropriation of Rs [illegible] would not have passed unchecked in time. It is the absence of check and

			Rs 21-11-6 debited Divisional Ofs. These are allocated as labor charges Grinding mortar as per nominal roll for supplying 13 mortar tin cans
32	14th Monies	9.	Rs 13-12-11 has been shown as Div in May'04. Of this Rs 1-5-0 seems been paid on account of freight sample pipes received. How these are accounted for is not apparent
33.	do	11	Rs 5-0-0 charged as Divl charges. Acholeria. Of this Rs 1"/. paid to Impare Jail. Rs bill is dark coming payment for value of charcoal lemoha been debited to Workshop
34	do	22	Bill C 25-5-04 for Rs 166-13-5 31.57 of "Plastering with surki mortar" has billed for at Rs 4-12-0 per sqare yd is the rate for cement plastering. Rs agreed upon for plastering with surki Rs Rs 3/4 per sqare. But the measurement book shows the work done take "Plas with surki mortar" cement for which Rs is agreed upon.

£6 should have been charged
[illegible] Master & Hog £6 & value
[illegible] Cars purchased. This is an
error of Cashier Hoffman.

So it stated above of these charges were
incurred before Sanction was accorded
to the Estimate

the accounts of the Cashier
demonstrate that the resulted
in all this confusion Cashier
Hoffman's responsibility
does not terminate to Cashier
Ellis nor is that a proper
explanation.

It is one of the first
principles in the
prosecution of work,
that no work should
be done without an
Estimate having been
sanctioned. It is distinctly
noted that Sanction to
an Estimate does not
authorise the starting
of the work as such
such charges ought not
to have been incurred
at all. Having been
incurred; they must
be written off to
that proper heads.
Purely they are not
Railway charges
should be properly
allocated.

Price of cleaning lamps has been
[illegible] to sanction an
increase to the 20 of lamps
and to a [illegible].

[illegible] for placing [illegible]
[illegible] equipment as entered
[illegible] but the work
[illegible] which are

more care is needed in the
preparation of bills to
prevent such [illegible]
which after the expense
of time [illegible] trouble.
The [illegible] & [illegible]
[illegible] made [illegible]
[illegible] have
[illegible]

28	21st November	3.	Bill dated 15-8-04 in favor of [illegible] for Rs 89-15-4. The Engineer has a[illegible] this bill. Draw charge & do not a[illegible] bill is signed by Contractor? This is [illegible].
36	28th do	1.	Measurements dated 15-8-04 for Rs [illegible] in favor of Ramaguramanja. The Cam[illegible] bond was received on 11-4-04. Da[illegible] of work for which extra were ap[illegible] are by [illegible] heads of work. It w[illegible] say that although there was a Cash bond on file, the bill was prep[illegible] payment has been made by mis[illegible] from the fund in the agreement, rendered the verification of the bill [illegible] the agreement impracticable.
37.	5th December 08	9 & 12	Measurements d 20-1-05 in favor of [illegible] for Rs 84-6-3. Bill [illegible] bond evidence of its having been checked by any Executive Officer [illegible] Rs [illegible] in favor of [illegible] for Rs 9-15-4.
38	do	15	A sum of Rs 113-9-2 has been sp[illegible] the Government of Mysore Garden. [illegible] not by us, to that date was Rs 257-3.
39	do	Bills cancelled	No I Drainage area. Bill in favor of Sunagere Dyana. The [illegible] of Inspection is not entered in the measurement [illegible] Dyana [illegible] Rs [illegible] as the [illegible] in pencil [illegible] in pencil 25-6.

Perhaps this is technical. He in delivery is not [illegible] but [illegible], he would have had it the [illegible]	Why a cheque should not be drawn before it is actually intended to be given away, the page has for strong reasons for disapproval. The drawing of cheque & keeping it in custody without delivering it to the party offering to pay is inadmissible. It is not technical but a common sense rule.
The Estimates were prepared by the Executive Engineer. He tenders bills by per other route by the Chairman. The Order for Conversion of route is in bill in the Estimate. The manner of measuring & billing was in accordance with Chairman's personal instructions.	When the estimates were [illegible] the measurements taken were delayed for different kinds of measurements. It was not correct to have departed from the measurement & entered in the contract book.
This is a bill for demolition work, work was done under the direct permission of the Chairman.	The Chairman's Estimate should be got & prepared.
& for plastering the compound &c.	This is incorrect. The pro-forma indicated by the Auditor should be followed.
[illegible] measured & billed [illegible] [illegible] the measurement	This does not tell when was the date of measurement. [illegible]

40 5th Dec 08 Mach 5. Bill in favor of Subhanji & 20
Machine Jamal Shah Magistrate
the balance after deducting 4
34 Cubic yds not exceeding 24 cft
Hence 3 cft lime to be paid for
41 12th Do 4. the measurements recorded in
meast book. It is to appear that
Kitchen Eng. S.D. Kuppuswamy Mas
Overseer on 24-2-08 which date
Correctly Crossed in pencil & 25-1-0
Corrected in red ink. The bill shows
as per meast book.

42 Do 5 At page 22 of the meast book 14
have been inserted. This seems to
made by the Sub Overseer after
for the work for which measurements
already recorded. The resulting
of Sufki mortar Concrete must be
been paid for also 4-3- the Contr
said Rs 53-2-0 less

43 Do 6 At page 5 of the bill Rs 5/- have
entered as cost of fitting & Vanishing
@ Rs 1-8-0 each without an original
in entry in the meast book.

Delay due to Contractor

The bill was prepared by S.C. who executed it

It is impossible that the bill could have reached in the usual course, the measure taken on 25th under date 2-05. Presumably the entries in the measure book are not original but copied from notes taken in the field. The Engineer explained in his letter that he received the control over the contractor's subordinate, but does he seem to know whether a particular work was done or not. It is not also understood by when a subordinate was engaged in taking directly he omitted a part of it that to insert it at the request of the Contractor & still omitted it from the bill. The Engineer should definitely say whether the work had actually been done & if so, whether it was due to the Contractor or no, here, also.

The amount

1. Ex. 1.2. - 12 of March, book No. 2 This is only an entry in the space reference to the measure book

The date of the bill was prepared, the Contractor complained of a lack of work done by him last and it was afterwards ascertained that omitted take entered in the bill.

	12 Dec'08	11	Construction of 2"x3" drains has been hindered by Lahore 3 day bazaar for rakes. During it was not felt for of sewage the heads to not appear
45	do	12	Detail made for 3/4 of 1 of large sewer pipe of which the first clear during the deepening the work is included in the quantities taken Contractor which is not
46	19th Dec'08	13	Credit to deposit accounts in Punjab of Rs 3/- to Kanhaiya Lal Darzi Ashary has Rs 15-12-0 for Rs 14 Underwent of payment in money of Rs 20-11-9 has not been defined Pillappai bill of 25-8-0 for Rs
47	do	14	Remind Rd for Rs 4-9-6 of work from 9th to 16th Jan for letting out the drains and the matter appears the disbursing officer
48	do	18	The certificates of payment on Jan paid in March have not been sent the paying officer.
49	26th Dec'08	5	Mess Pamm & Co for 3 Cantonment Rs 3/43-11-0 paid in account
			do
			Marlandi Price & Co 250 lbs powder Rs 4-6-0
			Mr Charles Punchbell for Kalapahar 119-1-

[illegible] to be [illegible] that were
[illegible] the Contractor but it should
have passed this office if we had
[illegible] and

It requires no [illegible]
to report and these in-
[illegible] besides the following
have been paid for the [illegible]
[illegible] after [illegible]
[illegible] the Cashier, he should
have seen to what he
was paying.

[illegible] work done to parapets at by [illegible]
[illegible] deducted in the bill as the total
[illegible] measurements of parapets were included
[illegible] the Contractors bill.

Reference to measurement book
wherein the work done
by Amin may be noted
the Muster Roll Remarks
with it may be shown to
the auditor.

This [illegible] work done H.G. Subbaraya
should have looked to this.

The peshkar of the Engineering
Dept understood & clearly stated
that no precaution is taken
over the payments to be made.
It is to that angle to have
seen that no payment is
made without an express
Pay order & the endorsement
of payment is recorded by
them or in their presence
under their initials at the time
of making payment.

The left bears the pay order of Exec Engr
of 19-11-04. The signature of the Endorsement
was not obtained by Cashier Subba Rao.

Payment has been passed by the
[illegible] on 17-2-04 and by Exec Engr
19-2-04.

The record of passing of
the check should be
found on the Roll.

[illegible] for his initials under the certificate
the [illegible] done passed by the [illegible]

Instead of the payment
individually or by cheque as
well as signature indicating
proper certificate provide
should be given.

As 1-12-0 should not have
been debited to Home Gen-
eral Prem and Contrib.
This should have been written
back to the proper head.

[illegible]

[illegible] charge [illegible] to Contra of [illegible].

			[illegible]	[illegible]
51	25 [illegible]	8	Rs 42/[illegible] [illegible] which [illegible] from 2nd [illegible] payment [illegible] total payment initial [illegible] the amount Rs 9-9-0. [illegible] 5 [illegible] male [illegible] is not [illegible] Rs 5/- [illegible] [illegible]	[illegible] charged as [illegible] the Cashy of [illegible] [illegible] been [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] officer [illegible] [illegible] on the [illegible] officer's initials [illegible] when Rs 1-8-0 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] made [illegible]
52	do	20		
52	9 January	2	Bill Rs 4-10-0 [illegible] was [illegible] which [illegible] [illegible] Rs 3/23 [illegible] made up [illegible] arrears [illegible] Rs 3/02-4-0 [illegible] & Corrections	[illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
53	do	4	Bill Rs 20-[illegible] [illegible] reference [illegible] book [illegible]	
54	do	5	Bill [illegible] [illegible] 69 [illegible]	

	of the Board as they stand in the transfer to Suffaxis account of the Inner Board. They both Rs do should be charged by Sale & Flour account.
The S.D.O is called upon to explain	The Sub-divisional Officer's explanation is awaited.
Services of the mistaken were dispensed from per Jangad, hence the same was services were dispensed with & dismissed by Jamandar Rs 60 left.	The fact that mistaken's services were dispensed with does not preclude with the necessity for the preparation of a bill for payment made in February 56. Since the payment was made without a bill may be explained.
Amount of work done to Sitly of Francis Rs 162-2-5 was connected at authority by Cashier Rupees 175-4-3 without making corresponding credits in the C Register. All these actions are made by Rupees Cashier to bring a Collaborating officials who were mainly responsible	Cashier's Rupees explanation may be obtained & submitted with Treasury's remarks thereon.
bill for material supplied which should have been entered as under in the main book.	Record of materials supplied must be sent to the Divn Vide para 958 P.W. Code
Cancel was left out by the	Cashier's explanation with Treasury's remarks thereon is awaited.

			the above payments have been directly to the heads of work. We know whether the articles bought account for.
50	26th Decr	8.	Rs 42/ have been charged as &c. of which Rs 9 is the cost of labor from 27th Decr to 31st Decr. The payment has not been signed by Total payments made by him initials appear on the Ledger to the amount charged in the Ledger Rs 9-9-0. Such of Officer's initials 5th Male Coolies to whom Rs 1-8- is not found.
52	do	20	Rs 5/ pay of Mr Ashmun for two days has been made out Cash
52	9th January	2	Bill d 17-10-04 in favor of Siddappa was compared with the posting in which shows the amount due to the Co. Rs 3,23,15-7 the difference has made up by bringing forward arrears to the Contractor's bill of 5- Rs 3,02-4-0 & trace the original difficulty as there are too many alterations & corrections in bills.
53	do	4	Bill d 20-2-05 for Rs 50/ paid in Jan no reference to the number of page of book is given.
54	do	5	Bill d 20-4-05 in favor of Rudra Bo Rs 69-12-4. The rate for filling water for 6 Ankanams was raised by Rs 1-10-0, to Rs 2-8-0. The bill was corrected according to the increased rate Rs 5-4-0 became thus payable to the

of the Board as they stand
the transfer to I & Facts
account of the Inner Board
The bill Rs 6 should be
charged by Sale & Hire
account.

The Sub-Inspector's
explanation is awaited.

The SRO is called upon to explain

The pay of that Mahalmari's
services were dispensed
does not dispense with the
necessity for the preparation
of a bill for payment
made in February 56. How
the payment was made
without a bill may be
explained.

Cashier's Kuppa-Rao's
explanation may be
obtained & submitted
with Receiver's remarks
thereon.

The services of the Mahalmari were dispensed with from 1st January, hence the same was entered in February bill.

Amount of work done to Sitty Pt Francis Rs 162-2-5 was connected with authority by Cashier Kuppanna Pt 5-4-3 without making corresponding entries in the Cashier. All these actions are made by Kuppanna Pillai to bring calculating on Ordinaries who were mainly shortage.

Bill for material supplied which to Shant'h have been entered as under in the inner book.

Record of material supplied
under the sanction to M/S
Vide Adva 958 P.W.D. Code

Amount was taken out by the
Cashier.

Cashier's explanation
with Receiver's remarks
thereon is awaited.

[illegible]

	1st January 07	1.	Rajarhat drainage area. Bill d 20-1-05 for Rs 421-15- of Panama. This bill includes Rs 55-15- for Ganesh Bale and repg heated house. It is law that charge is debitable to the
56	do	3	Bill d 20-1-05 in favor of M Siddiq Rs 366-8-2. This is the value of 15 cwt metal issued to the Contractor, but the metal was treated as a stock to the Contractor in bill d 13-12-04 Rs 465-5-0. The sum of Rs 366-8-2 to Siddiqa in January 05 after the outlay and increasing the arrears, was not shown in accounts. The value spanded metal was directly debited Rajarhat drainage area.
57	23rd January 07	12	Bill d 14-5-05 in favor of Pake Rs 118-4-0. 78 cubic of filling @ 3 annas per sqyd amount Rs 14-10 only Rs 1-3-6 has been billed for resulted in a short payment of Rs 1 facing of 115 sqft of rough tiles & 135 sq slabs has been paid @ 2-0-6 & 2-0-0 each. Cost of materials does not seem to have charged to the work.
58	do	13	Bill d 22-5-05 in favor of Ramaji Ram for facing of 113 sqft of rough tiles & 197 sqft slabs has been paid for at 2-0-6 & 2-0-0 respectively. Cost of these materials does appear to have been debited to work & 15 rough tiles @ 2-0-6 each or Rs 4-11-0 has deducted from this bill. The cost of rough tiles therefore apparently included with the rate of per sqft paid for facing

In the Concerning the demolition have in the Chairman's hands no information required will have to be obtained from the Chairman [illegible]	Extract has been sent to the Chairman.
This was prepared in Chairman's office.	The statement of materials at site showing that it contained that item of 15½ sheets of corrugated metal may be given to the Auditor for check.
The necessary write back was made in the accounts for March.	
This is obviously a clerical error. Instead of calculating at 3as it has been calculated at 3 pies.	
Cost of rivet tiles has been charged to the work. Cost of slabs is not charged.	If payment to the Municipality had been made, the cost of the slab's would be correct have been charged to the work
Cost of rivet tiles has been charged to the work. The cost of rivet tiles is included in the rate for roofing	If is correct strange that the rate for roofing should include cost of rivet tiles but not that of fixing them. Fuller explanation is required on this point.

[illegible]

[illegible]

3. 16.20 [illegible] of [illegible] with [illegible] made [illegible] Pillaphai hill [illegible] [illegible] of old [illegible] [illegible] the worst.

do 6 8 [illegible] for regimental [illegible] in [illegible] [illegible] for Rs 31-2-10 [illegible]

70 [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] 70 [illegible] [illegible] 65 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] in the [illegible]

Chelimahan [illegible] 29-6-05 for Rs 3-8-0

Sanhani Naika [illegible] 25 — Rs 7-1-

B. Pillaiha [illegible] 29-6-05 [illegible] 75-3-0

— [illegible] —

[illegible] into the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Ramasamy [illegible] [illegible] 30-9-05 [illegible]

Bella [illegible] 75-8-0 [illegible] 35

The details for 262 [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] who built the [illegible] off of [illegible] Ramaraam. The other items were [illegible] for the clerk who checked the [illegible] D. Venkatachellia. The Sub Assistant Sub Assistant officer who measured & checked [illegible] are not now in service. 16.20 [illegible] to Ramaraam is not same 16.20 [illegible] to B. Pillappa. The S.C. has referred to detailed measurements in favour of B. Pillappa for 16.20 squares.

The Secretary will please give a more definite reply to the matter of [illegible] Raghu-pathi's signature though he is not now in service could he obtained to the bill referred to in para 2 of the Circ for week ending 5-2-19 & requesting the explanation of the Sub Assistant Cannot also be obtained for this omission in the bill. The explanation of the parties should now be obtained.

In explaining in the CR the excess under roofing is partly due to wedge tiles having been put as no special provision was made in the estimate but it is now alleged that the rate for roofing included the value of ridge tiles. The Sec Engr is requested to reconcile these 2 statements.

The final bill was checked & initialed [illegible] accountant working in the Chief's [illegible] the distance of time it is not [illegible] why they were omitted [illegible] from the bill.

It is presumed that the measurement book was sent along with the bill, if so the explanation of the accountant should be obtained & recorded.

The explanation of the [illegible] should be obtained [illegible]

			[illegible]
			5 yds of [illegible]
61	6th February	1	[illegible]
			[illegible]
			[illegible]
			[illegible]
			[illegible]
62	do	2	Bill d 29-6-05 in favor of B.
			for Rs 75-3-1 [illegible]
63	do	8 to 10	[illegible]
			[illegible]
			[illegible]
			[illegible]
			[illegible]
64	do	11 & 14	There is no entry under this bill d 29-6
			Rs 184-13-3
65	13th February	1	Bill d. 28-4-04 for Rs 80-14-5 do.
			do do 111-11-5 do
66	do	2	Bill d 5-8-04 in favor of B. Ramas
			Rs 35-9-9 [illegible]
			[illegible]
			[illegible]
67	do	7	Bill d 6-6-05 for Rs 295-0-0. This is
			[illegible] 263 feet and the [illegible]
			[illegible] Rs 115-10-0 [illegible]
			already for [illegible]

It is not possible to say why
a clerk was omitted to be transferred
to the bill.
In all bills where the ordinary factor
Ram & Ascoomal and Vaitha Ram
The Contr's signature will be obtained
and the signature of the sub
contractor although he is not now an
employee will also be obtained.
It is explained that the signature
of certain contractors will be obtained
now & the signature of some contractors
are obtained as their whereabouts are
known & the signatures of others
are not necessary as they have signed
final bills &c

Have the omissions pointed out been supplied?

The omission of contractors signatures in intermediate bills is harmless and irrelevant for the time being as it is impossible to say whether the final bill thereafter being accepted by the contractor as such. It is therefore recommended that such omissions may not be allowed in future.

payment has been initiated by Executive
do — do — do
do — do — do

The principle involved is that no payment should be made without a pay order previously passed by the Government.

diction is possibly due to work
[illegible] as that amount
[illegible] actually paid to him.

The pecuniary consideration is not concerning

[illegible]

1[illegible]	do	[illegible]	The amount of the first bill was Rs 82-8-0 when it was in the Post office and paid [illegible] This was subsequently reduced [illegible] to Rs [illegible] for the ma[illegible] The overpayment was afterwards by recovery from the Contractor as [illegible] shews the amount of the bill for [illegible] Septr and necessary adjustments therein in Remarks by [illegible]
74	24th April 1[illegible]	1	Bill d 8th 120th Augt 06 for ho[illegible] not signed by the Contractor
75	1st May 1[illegible]	6.	Bill dated 8-2-05 in favor of Bal[illegible] Singh for Rs 22-0-0 paid in Jeth[illegible] prepared in manuscript. It is not [illegible] what became of the two later purch[illegible] bills.
76	do	13	Bill d 3-6-06 in favor of Mosha for for removing and carting from Lac[illegible] Main drain behind Pallaghan Lake and carting silt from Upper Chanalwah road to Lymoka. The bill contains details of pay of labor & carts which warrants the of regular remunerative rates and two are mentioned. The quantity of work not also specified. The bill bears [illegible] d 4-6-06 by the S.D.O. that measurements were checked & found correct but the [illegible] entries in the measurement book are not the impression of any measurement that could be [illegible] No II Dhamaka area.
77	8th do	4.	Bill for measts in favor of Ganpat Rs 104/- for removing silt from the [illegible] and washing the same. Measts recorded no more than the mere nomenclature of the work. The date of entry in the measurement book

hard payment had legitimate dependence by pending check of final bill.	The pending in the Chg. should always accord with the entries in the bill. Errors of the kind should not be allowed in future.
His signature will now be obtained.	Has signature of the Contractor be sought?
The bill was prepared & submitted to the office by Balakrishna Singh who carried out the work. The tubs are used as waste & worn out being cheap ones.	The accounts on which the tubs were required on the date of the purchase & the orders to write them off the account when they were worn out may be shown.
This was during the time that the Chairman's replacements.	Quantity of work done should be specified. It is improper to enter three cartloads as measure of work done. The length breadth depth & the contents should always be given.
Measts paper to me: of carts cooles &c.	
[illegible] should have been [illegible] measts book.	It is hoped that the [illegible] will [illegible]

		Amitted and the date shown is 24-3-05. The Sub-Divisional Officer left it for clearing the mountain a fresh order accounting that 6 acres cleared at a cost of Rs 45-0
98-15th March	1	Construction with drawing area. A sum of Rs 35 was spent for 20 coolies road rammers & for providing them with handles. It that in October no material was maintained & it is not known were accounted for
99-do-	13	Nal Roll of labor stated to have employed on 20th & 21st August 1898 Rs 13-9-0. The dates from which the labourers employed have carried from 1888. The date on which Nal Roll was closed has been after 4 days of Deepavali which is also of payment all the 48 coolies Cam Sapolam. The date 14-9-05 on which S.D.O has signed the printed certificate has been erased. The quantity of work done has not been recorded in any book.
80-do-	14	Nal Roll from 4th to 15th March of repairing & watering roads in Kakkimane. Paid on 26-3-07 Rs 4-12-0 Payment to all the coolies made after they cease to work. The amount of Rs 4-12-0 includes Rs 1 being value supplying a cart due to Hebbenaguppe Ranganna. The roads of work done are recorded.

The tampers were used for works till they became unfit for use

The Storekeeper's account of daily receipts & issues of different articles produced a balance which cannot be explained. Refer the disposal of tampers. See remarks on para 6 of diary & minutes.

As it is it does not appear cannot be altered inasmuch as it serves no purpose to relate the date on which the work was finished & the date of payment which at all events remains the same.

The Roll Roll was submitted to the Chairman & affirmed by him.

Enquires whether the correction has been made as per rules & if not it should be stated which the prefaloroty was noted in the opinion above. If all the rules were observed the Roll Roll should show their absence should be shown.

work having been done on the ac[illegible] of the Chairman to the S.D.C. Roll: Roll had the submitted to the [illegible] in the Exec[illegible] and passed [illegible] the [illegible] Channel to S.D.C for [illegible] as an Occasion of the pending [illegible] of the S.D.C's Engineer [illegible] A.E.

Omission to pay in the Amount paid without the certificate. Enquires whether the omission was not noted while passing the S.D.C's Engineer

	28 May 09		at page 24 of measurement book, dated for 4.35 left of Stake outside the g which portion has been filled fo from the details it is seen tha Stake remained from the old dr the Chairman's house 7.30 left the Sand officer's house. It w why Cantlie was paid for the Septic per left
83	do	9.	Bill for March d 23-7-09. for R Cantlie a claim for Rs 3-12-0 12 coolies for clearing the septic t -5-0 per day. there is no roll call recorded are informed of Ramaswa Pillappa has signed the bill. no taken for adjustment of arrears by credit to miscellaneous adj
84	do	9	Bill d 18-5-09 for Rs 35-10-0 Ca an 11-1-08 to Rs 45-14-0. The corrections due to an increase from 8 to 12 as a arrear of 10-4-0 therefore brought again. Bills not to be corrected after payme
85	do	14	Bill d 23-7-09 for Rs 812-5-0 Rs. signed by Pillappa Contractor's. Purchasing & laying the Stoneware pipes in roads. 5 & 15 the areas of the Improve city.
86	5th June 09	2	Bill d 24-5-09 for Rs 198-4-7 Con a claim for wages of 6½ men @ 5/- a profit of 20% on Rs 24/4. It was employed a roll call. Cannot be proved is payable to Contra

This was necessary because the Cartas from the place of their usual to where they were paid	The distance should have been specifically noted when carting was necessary & none of this information should in all cases be insisted upon.
The Coolies were employed by the Contractor & to them was billeted & paid	A memorial of Coolies that were actually employed on the work should have been demanded.
The encumbering was not noticed either in the Sub Div or Div office. The Voucher will be obtained here.	The Div officers responsible for the accuracy of the documents on which the matter happened. It is hoped that such mistakes will be avoided in future.
A separate bill for the increase of rate has been prepared & as there was no necessity for Capital & Account Debit may be charged the amount of the bill wrongly and an arrears of Rs 10-4-0	Such corrections should not be allowed.
The signature of the Contractor cannot be obtained now as his here abouts are not known	Such irregularities should be
[illegible]	A Model should have been prepared. [illegible]

87	8 Omeog	5	Bill d 18-5-05 for Rs 13-4-0 for labor employed. If the labor was a roll call should have been the Commission was payable to the Chief. If the labor was employed on Supervision, a different rate should have been paid to the Contractor.
88	12th Omeog	11	Bill d 25-6-06 for Rs 1365-6-10. were not found in the measure book of work was written by the Interdivisional quantities were entered by the Sub Ex. the basis of a statement of 18-7-06 and by Sub Overseer, of materials work and omission of the quantities in the measure to be a mistake.
89	19th Omeog	6	Bill d 18-5-06 for Rs 974-13-6. Sig of 1866 cyds of earthwork excavation - paid at 4 a cyd against the rate of 0-4-6 for excavation & earthwork
90	— do —	12 & 13	Of 1000 ft of pipes received by measurement 372 were found to have been spared. It is seen that 90 pipes are equivalent to 37 pipes. At this rate the length of each is 14 5/9 ft. The length of 372 pipes = 5 & adding 1000 ft to this, the cost of it would be 0-5-8 on an average & how a payment was made is not known. Bill d 19-2-08 for Rs 500 for receiving 1000 ft pipe railings. The S.E. has remarked Bill d 21-2-08 that 154½ ft of pipes returned & wants an order to take it to 14. It is presumed the whole 1000 ft has been used on works.

Cases which are only spasmodic of work as soon as the time the Executive Authorities could remind. It has found the chaprasi of getting the work done. No more Commissioner the rate for earthwork of the laying is cheap enough for the other legs locality.

Subd. officer will be asked to put this in the measurement book under his initials if necessary.

Rate was fixed in consultation with Chairman. It had already been reported to Chairman that rate for earthwork varying from 3 to 5 annas according to soil.

Pipes measured 4 coft to length of the $\frac{400}{23}$ or $17\frac{9}{23}$ rft. At the rate total rft of pipes fixed came to Rs. 0-8-0 is the rate provided Estimate & sanctioned by Chairman this work. This includes cost of pipes & fitting labourers.

[illegible] erected.

[illegible] is necessary. The work [illegible] payment.

Vide remark above. Whether the proper procedure followed should not be regularly kept and it should be conformed to the clear rules laid down in the PWD code.

This violates the main back log a piece of inclose in a court of law. These elementary requisites should have been strictly adhered to.

Sanction of the Competent Authority ought to have been obtained in each individual case.

The objection could have been avoided by the mention of [illegible] in the [illegible]

90	7th July 09	2	And of the amount of Rs 3 lakh-10-0 Hardyman purchased in April & June at Rs 8-8-0 during the value of Cy articles such as Gib, Gravers, Graph paper should have been debited; Contingency (Tools & Plan 1)
91	—do—	4.	A Reflectors for 1903-04 & 1904-05 as peach figures & do not bear Sur. Lady Manning checked them
92	24th July 09	2	Metal manhole lids, bearings 29 lb as received during inspection, 24 j received as per stock account has been entered in the A form.
93	—do—	5	In September 4 y manhole frames & sheaves to have been issued, but a form 48 are shown as issued & 2 frames are shown as issued as per Account Current.
94	—do—	9 & 10	The balance amount of stock on 31st December as per stock current & from that shown in the A Form Ref balance quantity of pig lead leaves the an 31st December, but only 45 y be brought forward on the 1st of January 09
95	—do—	13	On 1st January 09, there were 55 sq ft of slabs & 56 ½ sheets as issued as per Account Current, have issued more than balance &
96	—do—	16	In February, there was a balance of 10 lbs Cameckline & on 1st March 12 have been as balance
97	do	17	In the beginning of March 09, there was a b of 35 manhole lids as per A Form, but no per distribution Cameron 40.
98	31st March 09	1	2 Cement barrels were shown as issued in the when there were none 13 barrels.

[illegible] was made by Chairman the harvesters were for right in [illegible]	The debit to Confectioner is correct & should be adjusted.
[illegible] Petrol & Petries in the Refreshers will be noted.	Petrol & Petries in Canteen & Refreshers should now be allowed.
[illegible] Stock account current fries the [illegible] amount [illegible] & the [illegible] from the Cone of [illegible] be credited.	The explanation confirms with Petries in Canteen & refreshers. The whole Stock ought to have been brought on the books.
[illegible] manhole frames were due manhole [illegible] with Cover. Also there was no [illegible] debit held for manhole frames [illegible]	The Confusion should not have been given if the proper nomenclature was adhered to & not loosely referring to the same thing by different names.
[illegible] balance b/d of [illegible] — 6924-2-5 [illegible] receipts during period — 777-2-10 Total 7701-5-3 [illegible] during period — 1904-9-2 Balance on 31-12-06 5796-12-1 [illegible] will be re-stated now.	The explanation only confirms the view that the A Farm Refreshers not being kept posted regularly up to date.
[illegible] was 56½ [illegible] when opened [illegible] to indicate accumulation in [illegible] accounts.	Rough & ready methods of depreciation everything should be opened.
[illegible] been paid for. Correct balance [illegible] 14 [illegible] now.	Explanation not clear.
[illegible] was charged [illegible] and debited to the [illegible]	Where was the necessity for this. The additional [illegible] [illegible] should be avoided [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

91	30th July 03	2	Rent of the amount of Rs 3165-10-0 paid Ranjan & others in April & August, at Rs 8-8-0 being the value of cattle & [illegible] upon as April, Jaccard, Gulam & [illegible] papers should have been debited to [illegible] Contingency (Tools & Plan &)
91	do	4	A Refashers for 1903-04 & 1904-05 been passed figures & do not bear evidence body having checked them
92	24th July 03	2	Inspector manhole lids, receipts 29 lids as received during April, 24, have been credited as per Stock Account Current has been omitted in the A form.
93	do	5	In September 47 manhole frames & ca[illegible] shown to have been issued, but as per form 48 are shown as issued & 2 m[illegible] frames are shown as issued as per S[illegible] Account Current.
94	do	9 & 10	The balance amount of stock in hand 31st December as per Stock Account Current was from that shown in the A Form Report. Balance quantity of pipe lead have in the on 31st December, but only 457 have been brought forward on the 1st of January 03
95	do	13	On 1st January 03, there were 55 [illegible] slabs & 56½ shown as issued as per [illegible] Account Current. How issued more than balance?
96	do	16	In February, there was a balance of 10 [illegible] Cancellations & on 1st March 12 have been [illegible] as balance
97	do	17	In the beginning of March 03, there was a [illegible] of 35 manhole lids as per A Form, [illegible] as per distribution was 10 [illegible].
98	31st Mar 03	1	2 Cement barrels were shown as issued in [illegible] when there were none 13 barrels.

Payment was made by Chairman for two purchases were for Jeffs Machine.	The debit to Confectionery is correct & should be adjusted.
Pencil entries in the Refreshers will be inked.	Pencil entries in Accounts & Refreshers should never be allowed.
The stock account carried forward the total amount, but deducted and the A Form the correct amount received.	The Explanation Cashier with Entries in Antipodean refreshers the whole stock ought to have been brought on the books.
Two manhole frames were one manhole frame with cover. Also there was no separate debit head for manhole frames really.	The Cashier should not have been given of the proper manner before was adhered to & not heedily referring to the same thing by different names.
The balance at end of month — 6926-2-5 Receipts during period 174-2-10 Total 7101-5-3 Issues during period 1904-9-2 Balance as 31-12-06 5196-12-1 will be re-stated now	The Explanation only confirms the view that the A Form Refreshers not being kept up to date regularly up to date
The mark was 56½ lgth when issued to work & widening accumulation in taking measurements.	Rough & ready measurements be discouraged. Everything should be square.
12 had been paid for. Correct balance was here. It passed now.	Explanation not clear.
The [illegible] was separated from the [illegible] and added to the [illegible].	Where was the measurement [illegible]? My additions [illegible]
[illegible] back in the [illegible] [illegible] the same barrels were always on stock [illegible]	[illegible] ought to have been [illegible]